Physics 280: Session 14

Plan for This Session

Questions

Three Extra Credit Opportunities

Midterm Review Session, Saturday March 9th, 5-7pm

Loomis 144

Midterm Exam, Thursday March 14th,

Noyes 100, 2.-3.20pm

News and discussion

Module 5: Nuclear Weapon Delivery Systems

Extra Credit Opportunity (I)

Seminar of the Program in Arms Control, Disarmament and International Security (ACDIS)

"From Seoul to the Hague: Making the Most of the 2014 Nuclear Security Summit "

12 PM, Friday, March 1st, 356 Armory

Audrey Williams, University of Iowa,
Graduate of the 2012 ACDIS Summer Workshop in International Security

(1) Attend seminar – sign in sheet (!)
(2) Submit essay electronically

Extra Credit Opportunity (II)

PHYSICS DEPARTMENT COLLOQUIUM

"The Physics of Nuclear Disarmament"

4 PM, Wednesday, March 6th, 141 Loomis Lab

Dr. Charles D. Ferguson
President, Federation of American Scientists

(1) Attend colloquium – sign in sheet (!)
(2) Submit essay electronically

Extra Credit Opportunity (III)

MILLERCOMM LECTURE

Leveraging Science and Technology to Transform International Security: The Social Responsibility of Engineers and Scientists

7:30 PM, Thursday, March 7th, Spurlock Museum Auditorium

Dr. Charles D. Ferguson

President, Federation of American Scientists

(1) Attend lecture – sign in sheet (!)

(2) Submit essay electronically

Meet with Dr. Charles Ferguson

Immediately following Class on Thursday there will be an opportunity to informally meet with Dr. Charles Ferguson

Thursday Coffee/Tea Meeting:
3:30 pm to 4:30 pm on March 7
in 251 Loomis Lab
open to anyone interested.

News and Discussion

The Washington Post

Iran nuclear talks end on upbeat note

By Joby Warrick and Jason Rezaian,
Updated: Wednesday, February 27, 2:57 PM

Prospects for a nuclear deal with Iran received an unexpected boost Wednesday when negotiators from Tehran and six world powers emerged from talks with a commodity rarely seen in recent Iranian diplomacy: optimism.

Two days of negotiations in Almaty, Kazakhstan, yielded little tangible progress other than a commitment to hold more talks in the coming weeks. But both sides described an improved atmosphere and an apparent softening of bargaining positions, leading a senior Iranian official to hail a possible "turning point" in the decade-long effort to resolve the nuclear crisis.

News and Discussion

The Telegraph

By James Kirkup, David Blair, Holly Watt and Claire Newell

9:55PM GMT 26 Feb 2013

Iran is developing a second path to a nuclear weapons capability by operating a plant that could produce plutonium, satellite images show for the first time.

Water vapour, circled, is seen being emitted from forced air coolers at the Arak heavy water production plant earlier this month, showing that the facility is operational Photo: DigitalGlobe Inc/McKenzie Intelligence Ltd

The Telegraph can disclose details of activity at a heavily-guarded Iranian facility from which international inspectors have been barred for 18 months.

The images, taken earlier this month, show that Iran has activated the Arak heavy-water production plant.

Heavy water is needed to operate a nuclear reactor that can produce plutonium, which could then be used to make a bomb.

News and Discussion

Iran is developing a second path to a nuclear weapons capability by operating a plant that could produce plutonium, satellite images show for the first time.

Water vapour, circled, is seen being emitted from forced air coolers at the Arak heavy water production plant earlier this month, showing that the facility is operational Photo: DigitalGlobe Inc/McKenzie Intelligence Ltd

Module 5: Delivery Systems

Part 1: Overview of nuclear weapon delivery methods

Part 2: Aircraft

Part 3: Cruise missiles

Part 4: Ballistic missiles

Part 5: Technical and operational aspects

Part 6: Nuclear command and control

Nuclear Delivery Systems

Part 1: Overview

Basic Propulsion Mechanisms

- None
  (examples: mines, depth charges, shipping container)
- Explosives
  (example: artillery shell)
- Propellers
  (example: torpedo, speeds ~ 50 mph)
- Jet engines
  (example: bomber, speeds ~ 600 mph)
- Rocket motor
  (example: missile, speeds ~ 18,000 mph)
- Unconventional
  (examples: barge, boat, Ryder truck, backpack)

Examples of Weapon Delivery Methods

Air-breathing vehicles —

- Aircrafts (manned)
- Cruise missiles (unmanned aircraft)

Rocket-propelled vehicles —

- Land-based ballistic missiles
- Submarine-based ballistic missiles
- Surface ship-based ballistic missiles*
- Space-based ballistic missiles*
- Short range rockets (no guidance)

Other —

- Artillery/howitzers
- Land mines
- Torpedoes

* Never deployed by US or USSR/Russia for nuclear weapons

Important Attributes of Delivery Systems

- Range
- Speed
- Accuracy
- Recallability
- Reliability
- Payload/throw-weight
- Ability to penetrate defenses
- Survivability (at deployment base)
- Capital and operational costs
- Safety

Air-Breathing Vehicles

Aircraft (manned) —

- Long-range (“heavy”) bombers
  (examples: Bear, Blackjack, B52, B-1, B-2)
- Intermediate-range bombers
  (examples: B-29, FB-111, …)
- Tactical aircraft
  (examples: F-16, F-18, F-22, …)

Cruise missiles (unmanned) —

- Air-launched cruise missiles (ALCMs)
- Sea-launched cruise missiles (SLCMs)
- Ground-launched cruise missiles (GLCMs)

Rocket-Powered Vehicles

Land-based ballistic missiles —

- Intercontinental-range ballistic missiles (ICBMs)
- Shorter-range ballistic missiles

Sea-based ballistic Missiles —

- Submarine-launched ballistic missiles (SLBMs)
- Surface-ship-launched ballistic missiles

Historical Examples of Other Nuclear Weapon Delivery Methods

Nuclear artillery shells:

- 16” naval guns
- 280 mm cannons (howitzer)

"Atomic Annie" 1953: 15-kt projectile to range of 17 miles

Operation Upshot/Knothole (1953)

Davy Crocket Nuclear Bazooka

- 76 lb., 10–250 t yield, 1.2–2.5 mile range
- Deployed 1961–1971; 2,100 produced

Atomic Demolition Munitions (ADMs)

Carried by back pack, 0.01 kt yield?

Nuclear-armed torpedoes

Non-missile Delivery Methods

"U.S. territory is more likely to be attacked with [chemical, biological, radiological, or nuclear] materials from non-missile delivery means—most likely from terrorists—than by missiles, primarily because non-missile delivery means are —

- less costly
- easier to acquire
- more reliable and accurate

They also can be used without attribution."

— *Foreign Missile Developments and the Ballistic Missile Threat Through 2015*, Unclassified Summary of a National Intelligence Estimate, December 2001

The U.S. Cold-War Strategic “Triad” – 1

Initially US nuclear weapons delivery systems were developed without a coherent plan, in the —

- Truman administration
- Eisenhower administration

McNamara (Kennedy’s Secretary of Defense) changed this —

- Survivable basing
- Secure command and control
- Determine how much is enough by calculation!

  Concluded 400 ‘effective’ megatons (EMT) would be “enough”
- The need to give roles to the USAF and the USN defined the “Triad” paradigm, which lasted until the 1990s
- Established the SIOP (Single Integrated Operational Plan) for targeting

The U.S. Cold-War Strategic “Triad” – 2

Strategic nuclear delivery vehicles (SNDVs) —

The definition of “strategic” nuclear weapons was important for arms control but was controversial during the Cold War: the Soviet Union wanted to count weapons on its periphery whereas the U.S. did not want to count these:

- Systems with intercontinental range (U.S. def.)
- Systems able to strike directly the homeland of the adversary (Soviet def.)

Systems in the Triad —

- Intercontinental-range bombers
- Intercontinental-range ballistic missiles (ICBMs)
- Submarine-launched ballistic missiles (SLBMs)

Module 5: Nuclear Delivery Systems

Part 2: Aircraft

Examples of Intercontinental Bombers – 1



Examples of Intercontinental Bombers – 2



U.S. B-2 Stealth Bomber

Speed: Mach 0.85

Height: 50,000 feet

Range: 7,600 miles

Possible payloads:
- 16 B83 gravity bombs
- 20 B61 bombs
- 80 500 lb bombs

Currently Deployed U.S. and Russian Bombers

Current US bombers —

- B-52 Hs, carrying bombs
- B1-Bs, each can carry 16 bombs
- B-2, each can carry 16 bombs

Russian bombers* —

- Bear-H16s, carrying bombs
- Bear-H6s, carrying bombs
- Blackjacks, carrying bombs

*Very few are currently operational

Intercontinental Bomber Issues

Evolution of bomber missions —

- High-altitude bombing
- Low-altitude penetration and bombing
- As a stand-off launch platform for Air-launched cruise missiles (ALCMs)

Operational considerations —

- Launch, release to targets, and arming of weapons requires permission from the National Command Authority (NCA) (in the United States, the President or his designated successor)
- Can be recalled until weapons (e.g., bombs, cruise missiles, or air-to-surface ballistic missiles) are dropped or fired from the bomber
- The United States has substantial in-flight refueling capability; other countries have none

iClicker Question

Terrorism

Which of the following is *not* one of the "lethal triple cocktail" of factors that Richardson argues leads to terrorism?

(A) Extreme poverty

(B) A disaffected individual

(C) A legitimizing ideology

(D) An enabling community

iClicker Answer

Terrorism

Which of the following is *not* one of the "lethal triple cocktail" of factors that Richardson argues leads to terrorism?

(A) **Extreme poverty**

(B) A disaffected individual

(C) A legitimizing ideology

(D) An enabling community

iClicker Question

Which one of the following is *not* one of Richardson's "Three Goals of Terrorists"?

(A) Revenge

(B) Reaction

(C) Resources

(D) Renown

iClicker Answer

Which one of the following is *not* one of Richardson's "Three Goals of Terrorists"?

(A) Revenge

(B) Reaction

(C) **Resources**

(D) Renown

iClicker Question

Which one of the following delivery vehicles was *not* considered a leg of the Cold War nuclear "Triad"?

(A) Submarine-launched ballistic missiles

(B) Ship-launched ballistic missiles

(C) Land-based intercontinental ballistic missiles

(D) Land-based intercontinental bombers

iClicker Answer

Which one of the following delivery vehicles was *not* considered a leg of the Cold War nuclear "Triad"?

(A) Submarine-launched ballistic missiles

(B) **Ship-launched ballistic missiles**

(C) Land-based intercontinental ballistic missiles

(D) Land-based intercontinental bombers

Module 5: Nuclear Delivery Systems

Part 3: Cruise Missiles

Introduction to Cruise Missiles – 1 (Important)

Cruise missiles (CMs) are pilotless vehicles powered by jet engines:

- Fly within the atmosphere
- Speeds are subsonic

Although cruise missiles were conceived 60 years ago, CMs did not become important until the late 1970s, when technological advances made them militarily useful. These advances were:

- Smaller and lighter nuclear warheads
- Efficient turbofan engines
- Highly capable miniaturized computers
- GPS, TERCOM (Terrain Contour Matching), and terminal guidance
- “Stealth” airframe technology

Introduction to Cruise Missiles – 2 (Important)

Key properties —

- Small
- Easily stored and launched
- Highly penetrating
- Versatile
- Highly accurate
- Very cheap (about ~ $1 million per copy)

Long-Range Cruise Missiles – 1



range :1000 – 2000 miles

pay loads : 500 – 1200 lbs

Long-Range Cruise Missiles – 2



Conventionally-Armed Tomahawk Cruise Missile

velocity: 550 mph
pay load: 1000 lbs
range : 1550 miles

Chinese Silkworm Anti-Ship Cruise Missile



Chinese CSS-C-2 SILKWORM / HY-1 / SY-1 Anti-Ship Cruise Missile

Velocity: 680 mph
payload: 660 lbs
range: 180 miles

Launching Cruise Missiles – 1

Launching Cruise Missiles – 2

Cruise-Missile Guidance – 1



TERCOM: Terrain Contour Matching
DSMAC: Digital Scene Matching Area Correlation

Cruise-Missile Guidance – 2



Cruise-Missile Guidance – 3



Tomahawk Land Attack (Non-Nuclear) Operational Concept

Source: Joint Cruise Missiles Project Office.

Accuracy of Cruise Missiles

Implications of Cruise Missiles – 1

The US developed and deployed CMs without coherent plan that considered the offensive, defensive, and long-range impact of their deployment.

Military history —

- Cruise missiles were the US countermeasure to the heavy Soviet investment in air defenses
- They capitalized on the temporary US lead in this technology
- However, the US is more vulnerable to CMs than Russia due to the proximity of potential targets to the sea shores.

Implications of Cruise Missiles – 2

Implications for U.S. security—

- Very small (hard to find and count with National Technical Means)
- Can be based almost anywhere (hard to count)
- Dual capable (almost impossible to distinguish nuclear from high-explosive warhead)
- Cheap (can be produced in very large numbers)

"Several countries could develop a mechanism to launch SRBMs, MRBMs, or land-attack cruise missiles from forward-based ships or other platforms; a few are likely to do so—more likely for cruise missiles— before 2015."

– *Foreign Missile Developments and the Ballistic Missile Threat Through 2015*, Unclassified Summary of a National Intelligence Estimate, December 2001

Physics 280: Session 15

Plan for This Session (1)

Questions

Extra Credit Opportunities this week:

(1) *"The Physics of Nuclear Disarmament"*

Charles D. Ferguson, President Federation of American Scientists

4pm, Wed., March 6th, 141 Loomis

(2) *"Levering Science and Technology to Transform International Security: The Social Responsibility of Engineers and Scientists "*

Charles D. Ferguson, President Federation of American Scientists

7.30pm, Thu., March 7th, Spurlock Museum Auditorium

Physics 280: Session 15

Plan for This Session (2)

Midterm Review Session, Sat. March 9th, Loomis 144, 5-7pm

Midterm Exam, Thursday March 14th, Noyes 100, 2.00-3.20pm

News and discussion

Module 5: Nuclear Weapon Delivery Systems

News

On news of Chinese and US envoys reaching tentative deal
on UN Security Council sanctions in response to North Korea's
Third nuclear weapons test

North Korea threatens to scrap armistice ending war

8:45am EST

from Reuters, March-5-2013

By Jack Kim and Louis Charbonneau

SEOUL/UNITED NATIONS (Reuters) - North Korea threatened on Tuesday to scrap an armistice that ended the 1950-53 civil war and sever a military "hotline" with the United States if South Korea and Washington pressed on with two-month-long war games.

It was a notable sharpening in the North's often bellicose rhetoric and followed word from U.N. diplomats that the United States and China had struck a tentative deal on a draft U.N. Security Council sanctions resolution that would punish North Korea for its third nuclear test, which it conducted last month.

"We will completely nullify the Korean armistice," the North's KCNA news agency said, quoting the Korean People's Army (KPA) Supreme Command spokesman.

"The war exercise being done by the United States and the puppet south Korea is a systematic act of destruction aimed at the Korean armistice."

The two Koreas remain technically at war since the 1950-53 conflict ended in a truce rather than a peace treaty.

Implication of Cruise Missiles

Implications of Cruise Missiles – 1

The US developed and deployed CMs without coherent plan that considered the offensive, defensive, and long-range impact of their deployment.

Military history —

- Cruise missiles were the US countermeasure to the heavy Soviet investment in air defenses
- They capitalized on the temporary US lead in this technology
- However, the US is more vulnerable to CMs than Russia due to the proximity of potential targets to the sea shores.

Implications of Cruise Missiles – 2

Implications for U.S. security—

- Very small (hard to find and count with National Technical Means)
- Can be based almost anywhere (hard to count)
- Dual capable (almost impossible to distinguish nuclear from high-explosive warhead)
- Cheap (can be produced in large numbers)

"Several countries could develop a mechanism to launch SRBMs, MRBMs, or land-attack cruise missiles from forward-based ships or other platforms; a few are likely to do so—more likely for cruise missiles— before 2015."

– *Foreign Missile Developments and the Ballistic Missile Threat Through 2015*, Unclassified Summary of a National Intelligence Estimate, December 2001

Module 5: Nuclear Delivery Methods

Part 4: Ballistic Missiles

Air Breathing Delivery Systems (Bombers & Cruise Missiles) vs Ballistic Missiles

Air breathing systems:

- o carry the fuel on board but take the oxidizer from the atmospheres ➔ operate endo-atmospheric

Ballistic missiles:

- o carry fuel and oxidizer ➔ can operate exo-atmospheric



Attributes of Ballistic Missiles

Basing modes —

- Fixed (e.g., blast-hardened silos in the ground)
- Mobile (e.g., on railroad cars)

Propellants —

- Liquid (fuel and oxidizer are separate)
- Solid (fuel and oxidizer are mixed)

Payloads —

- Single warhead + penetration aids (“penaids”)
- Multiple warheads + penetration aids

Categories of Ballistic Missiles Based on Their Ranges (Important)

Short-range ballistic missiles (SRBMs) —

- Ranges under 1,000 km

Medium-range ballistic missiles (MRBMs) —

- Ranges between 1,000 km and 3,000 km

Intermediate-range ballistic missiles (IRBMs) —

- Ranges between 3,000 km and 5,500 km

Intercontinental-range ballistic missiles (ICBMs, SLBMs) —

- Limited-range ICBMs (LRICBMs): 5,500 to 8,000 km
- Full-range ICBMs (FRICBMs): > 8,000 km
- Ranges of US and Russian ICBMs are ~ 12,000 km

These categories are not fluid, because they are based on the performance characteristics of the missile.

Categories of Ballistic Missiles Based on Their Ranges (Important)



Source: national air and space intelligence center

"Ballistic and Cruise Missile Threat", 2009

Intercontinental-range ballistic missiles (ICBMs, SLBMs) —

- Limited-range ICBMs (LRICBMs): 5,500 to 8,000 km
- Full-range ICBMs (FRICBMs): > 8,000 km
- Ranges of US and Russian ICBMs are ~ 12,000 km

These categories are not fluid, because they are based on the performance characteristics of the missile.

Phases of Flight of Intercontinental-Range Ballistic Missiles (Important)

Basic phases of flight of a MIRVed intercontinental ballistic missile (ICBMs and SLBMs) —

- Boost phase: rocket motors burning
- Post-boost phase (release of payload from bus)
- Midcourse phase: ballistic motion in space
- Terminal phase: passage through atmosphere

Phases of Flight of Intercontinental-Range Ballistic Missiles (Important)



Categories of Ballistic Missiles Based on Their Purposes

Tactical ballistic missiles (TBMs) —

- For use on the battlefield (e.g., on a particular front)
- Usually have shorter ranges (SRBMs)

Theater ballistic missiles (TBMs) —

- For use in an entire theater of war (e.g., the Middle East)
- Usually have longer ranges than tactical missiles

Strategic ballistic missiles (an example of SNDVs – Strategic Nuclear Weapons Delivery Vehicle) —

- For attacking the homeland of the adversary
- May have longer, perhaps intercontinental ranges

These categories are fluid, because they are based on the intent of the user at the time the missile is fired.

Missile Guidance Technologies

Inertial —

- Uses gyroscopes and accelerometers
- No contact with outside world

Stellar —

- Star trackers update inertial guidance system

Satellite —

- Uses accurate (atomic) clocks on satellites
- Uses coded radio transmissions
- Uses sophisticated receivers
- Can determine both position and velocity very accurately using signals from 3 to 4 satellites

Trajectories and Phases of Flight of Missiles With Various Ranges



Proliferation of Ballistic Missile Technologies



Titan Family of Missiles and Launch Vehicles

1959 – 2005 ICMB & civilian uses



TIME Magazine, Monday September 29th 1980

Light on the Road to Damascus

Titan terror explodes in the Arkansas hills

Shortly after sunset one day last week, a maintenance worker on the third level of a silo housing a 103-ft. Titan II Intercontinental ballistic missile near Damascus, in the Arkansas hills north of Little Rock, dropped the socket of a wrench. The 3-lb. tool plummeted 70 ft. and punctured a fuel tank. As flammable vapors escaped, officials urged the 1,400 people living in a five-mile radius of the silo to flee. The instructions: "Don't take time to close your doors—just get out.“ And with good reason. At 3:01 a.m., as technicians gave up trying to plug the leak and began climbing from the silo, the mixture of fuel and oxygen exploded. Orange flames and smoke spewed out, lighting up the sky over Damascus. The blast blew off a 750-ton concrete cover. One worker was killed; 21 others were hurt.

Today: LGM-30G Minutemann III ➔ 3 stage solid rocket fuel
Range: 11,000km +
Speed : 24,100 km/h or 6.7km/s (terminal phase)

Soviet Scud Missiles and Derivatives - 1



Soviet Scud-B Missile
(based on the German V2)
Range: 300 km

Iraqi Al-Hussein SRBM
Range: 600–650 km

Scud Missiles and Derivatives – 2



Pakistan's Ghauri MRBM and transporter (range 1,300 km).
It is almost identical to North Korea's No Dong MRBM, which is based on Scud technology that North Korea got from Egypt in the 1970s.

iClicker Answer

Which one of the following technologies was *not* crucial in developing militarily useful cruise missiles?

A. Lighter metals for the airframes

B. More efficient engines

C. Much smaller and more capable computers

D. GPS and other methods for more accurate guidance

E. “Stealth” technologies to make them harder to detect

iClicker Answer

Which one of the following technologies was *not* crucial in developing militarily useful cruise missiles?

A. Lighter metals for the airframes

B. More efficient engines

C. Much smaller and more capable computers

D. GPS and other methods for more accurate guidance

E. “Stealth” technologies to make them harder to detect

iClicker Question

Which one of the following delivery vehicles was *not* considered a leg of the Cold War nuclear "Triad"?

A. Submarine-launched ballistic missiles

B. Ship-launched ballistic missiles

C. Land-based intercontinental ballistic missiles

D. Land-based intercontinental bombers

iClicker Question

Which one of the following delivery vehicles was *not* considered a leg of the Cold War nuclear "Triad"?

A. Submarine-launched ballistic missiles

B. Ship-launched ballistic missiles

C. Land-based intercontinental ballistic missiles

D. Land-based intercontinental bombers

iClicker Question

Which one of the following strategic nuclear delivery vehicles can be recalled after launch?

A. Submarine-launched ballistic missiles

B. Land-based intercontinental ballistic missiles

C. Land-based intercontinental bombers

iClicker Question

Which one of the following strategic nuclear delivery vehicles can be recalled after launch?

A. Submarine-launched ballistic missiles

B. Land-based intercontinental ballistic missiles

C. Land-based intercontinental bombers

Re-Entry Vehicles (RVs)

Basic types —

- MRV = multiple RV
  - —Final stage carries more than 1 RV
  - —Final stage has no propulsion
  - —RVs are *not* independently targetable
- MIRV = multiple, independently targetable RV
  - —Final stage carries more than 1 RV
  - —Final stage has guidance package and propulsion
  - —RVs are independently targetable
- MARV = maneuverable RV
  - —RV has a guidance package
  - —RV maneuvers during the terminal phase, using, e.g., thrusters or aerodynamic forces

MK21 re-entry vehicles on Peacekeeper MIRV bus

Flight of a Minuteman ICBM (Schematic)



Flight of MIRV'd ICBMs

Four phases of the flight of an intercontinental-range missile armed with MIRVs (Multiple Independently Targetable Reentry Vehicles)—

- Boost phase (lasts about 1–5 min)
  - — Rocket motors are burning
  - — Missile rises through the atmosphere and enters near-Earth space
  - — Stages drop away as they burn out
- Post-boost phase (lasts 5–10 min)
  - — Bus separates from the final stage
  - — Bus maneuvers and releases RVs
- Midcourse phase (lasts about 20 min)
  - — RVs fall ballistically around the Earth, in space
- Terminal phase (lasts about 20–60 sec)
  - — RVs re-enter the Earth's atmosphere and encounter aerodynamic forces
  - — RVs fall toward targets, until detonation or impact

Flight of a MIRV'd ICBM (Schematic)



Flight of a MIRV'd ICBM (Schematic)

1. The missile launches out of its silo by firing its 1st stage boost motor (*A*).
2. About 60 seconds after launch, the 1st stage drops off and the 2nd stage motor (*B*) ignites. The missile shroud is ejected.
3. About 120 seconds after launch, the 3rd stage motor (*C*) ignites and separates from the 2nd stage.
4. About 180 seconds after launch, 3rd stage thrust terminates and the Post-Boost Vehicle (*D*) separates from the rocket.
5. The Post-Boost Vehicle maneuvers itself and prepares for re-entry vehicle (RV) deployment.
6. The RVs, as well as decoys and chaff, are deployed during backaway.
7. The RVs and chaff re-enter the atmosphere at high speeds and are armed in flight.
8. The nuclear warheads detonate, either as air bursts or ground bursts.

MIRV Technology

MX Peacekeeper MIRV

Soviet SS-20 ICBM MIRV

MIRV Technology

MX Peacekeeper missile tested at Kwajalein Atoll

Source:www.smdc.army.mil/kwaj/Media/Photo/missions.htm

Historical Examples of US and Russian ICBMs

Recent US ICBMs —

- MMIII Solid-propellant, range ~ 12,000 km, 3 warheads (Minuteman)
- MX Solid-propellant, range ~ 12,000 km, 10 warheads (Peacekeeper, retired 2005)

Recent Russian ICBMs —

- SS-18 Liquid-propellant (storable), range ~ 12,000 km, 12 to 18 warheads
- SS-24 Solid-propellant, range > 9,000 km
- SS-25 Solid-propellant, range > 9,000 km

US ICBMs – 1



current land based
US ICMB

US ICBMs – 2

Launch of a Minuteman

Launch of an MX

Russian, Chinese (and North Korean) ICBMs – 1



Source: national air and space intelligence center
"Ballistic and Cruise Missile Threat", 2009

Russian, Chinese (and North Korean) ICBMs – 2

Missile	Number of Stages	Warheads per Missile	Propellant	Deployment Mode	Maximum Range* (miles)	Number of Launchers
Russia						
SS-18 Mod 4	2 + PBV	10	Liquid	Silo	5,500+	104
SS-18 Mod 5	2 + PBV	10	Liquid	Silo	6,000+	(total for Mods 4 & 5)
SS-19 Mod 3	2 + PBV	6	Liquid	Silo	5,500+	122
SS-25	3 + PBV	1	Solid	Road-mobile	7,000	201
SS-27 Mod 1	3 + PBV	1	Solid	Silo & road-mobile	7,000	54
SS-27 Mod-X-2	3 + PBV	Multiple	Solid	Silo & road-mobile	7,000	Not yet deployed
China						
CSS-3	2	1	Liquid	Silo & transportable	3,400+	10 to 15
CSS-4 Mod 2	2	1	Liquid	Silo	8,000+	About 20
CSS-10 Mod 1	3	1	Solid	Road-mobile	4,500+	Fewer than 15
CSS-10 Mod 2	3	1	Solid	Road-mobile	7,000+	Fewer than 15
North Korea						
Taepo Dong 2	2	1	Liquid	Undetermined	3,400+	Not yet deployed

Source: national air and space intelligence center
"Ballistic and Cruise Missile Threat", 2009

Russian, Chinese (and North Korean) ICBMs – 3

The Russian Dnepr space launch vehicle is based on the SS-18 ICBM.

Chinese CSS-10 Road-Mobile Launcher

ICBM

Russian SS-27 Road-Mobile Launcher

Physics 280: Session 16

Plan for This Session (1)

Questions

Extra Credit Opportunity today:

"Levering Science and Technology to Transform International Security: The Social Responsibility of Engineers and Scientists "

Charles D. Ferguson, President Federation of American Scientists

7.30pm, Thu., March 7th, Spurlock Museum Auditorium

Immediately following Class today there will be an opportunity to informally meet with Dr. Charles Ferguson

Thursday Coffee/Tea Meeting: 3:30 pm to 4:30 pm today in 251 Loomis Lab open to anyone interested.

Physics 280: Session 16

Plan for This Session (2)

Midterm Review Session, Sat. March 9th, Loomis 144, 5-7pm

Midterm Exam, Thursday March 14th, Noyes 100, 2.00-3.20pm

Conflict Exam: Tuesday at 4.30pm in Loomis 464

(register by e-mail to mgp@illinois.edu by Friday, March-8, 9 pm)

News and discussion

Module 5: Nuclear Weapon Delivery Systems

News On Tuesday

On news of Chinese and US envoys reaching tentative deal on UN Security Council sanctions in response to North Korea's third nuclear weapons test

North Korea threatens to scrap armistice ending war

from Reuters, March-5-2013

8:45am EST

By Jack Kim and Louis Charbonneau

SEOUL/UNITED NATIONS (Reuters) - North Korea threatened on Tuesday to scrap an armistice that ended the 1950-53 civil war and sever a military "hotline" with the United States if South Korea and Washington pressed on with two-month-long war games.

It was a notable sharpening in the North's often bellicose rhetoric and followed word from U.N. diplomats that the United States and China had struck a tentative deal on a draft U.N. Security Council sanctions resolution that would punish North Korea for its third nuclear test, which it conducted last month.

"We will completely nullify the Korean armistice," the North's KCNA news agency said, quoting the Korean People's Army (KPA) Supreme Command spokesman.

"The war exercise being done by the United States and the puppet south Korea is a systematic act of destruction aimed at the Korean armistice."

The two Koreas remain technically at war since the 1950-53 conflict ended in a truce rather than a peace treaty.

News Today

Just before the UN Security Council vote on sanctions following North Korea's 3rd nuclear test, North Korea threatens to launch a pre-emptive nuclear strike against the United States and South Korea

The New York Times

March 7, 2013

North Korea Warns of Pre-emptive Nuclear Attack

By CHOE SANG-HUN

SEOUL, South Korea — North Korea on Thursday threatened for the first time to launch a pre-emptive nuclear strike against the United States and South Korea, issuing the warning as the United Nations was preparing tough new sanctions over its nuclear program.

The threat from the North Korean Foreign Ministry came hours before the United Nations Security Council was scheduled to meet on the sanctions, which are aimed at squeezing the international financing of the already isolated North Korean regime.

Calling such sanctions "an act of war," North Korea has sharply escalated its threats against the United States and its allies in the last few days, declaring the 1953 armistice that stopped the Korean War null and void and threatening to turn Washington and Seoul into "a sea in flames" with "lighter and smaller nukes."

News Today (UK, Guardian)

The UN Security Council voted today to expand sanctions on North Korea.

US will not engage in negotiations without prior fundamental change in attitude on the side of North Korea. Obama administration points out that any attack on the US Would be suicidal for the leadership in North Korea.

US warns North Korea over 'suicidal' nuclear threat as UN expands sanctions

Obama administration says it will not engage in negotiations as North Korea threatens 'absurd' pre-emptive nuclear attack

Ewen MacAskill in Washington and Associated Press in New York
guardian.co.uk, Thursday 7 March 2013 12.25 EST

UN ambassador Susan Rice votes at a security council meeting on imposing a fourth round of sanctions against North Korea. Photograph: Spencer Platt/Getty Images

The Obama administration warned of "costly consequences" for North Korea on Thursday, in the wake of Pyongyang's threat to launch a pre-emptive nuclear strike on the US and its recent nuclear test.

Speaking at a Senate hearing, the US State Department's special representative on North Korea said Washington would not engage in negotiations without a "fundamental change in attitude" from the pariah state.

The Senate foreign affairs committee chairman, Robert Menendez, described the threat of a nuclear strike as "absurd and suicidal".

The hearing was taking place as the United Nations security council voted in New York to expand sanctions against North Korea. The US-drafted resolution was approved unanimously by the 15-nation council. It came after three weeks of negotiations between the US and China after North Korea's latest nuclear test on 12 February.

US and Russian SSBNs



US Trident SSBN (14 SSBNs, 4 SSGNs)

Trident Missile Tubes
With Covers Open

24 Trident C4 SLBMs
8 MIRVs with 100kt W76
➔ up to192 targets
SLBM range 7400 km

Trident Submarine Underway

speed : 20 knots
SSBN range : unlimited
deployment : 70-90 days, two rotating crews
Displacement : 16500 tons
Length : 170 m
width : 13 m

Cold Launch Mode

Missile is ejected with high pressure steam before rocket engines are started.



US Trident SSBN

Submarine-Based Missiles

US SLBMs —

- Trident C4 missiles carried 8 MIRVs each (solid propellant, range 7400 km)
- Trident D5 missiles carry 8 MIRVs each (solid propellant, range 7400 km)

Russian SLBMs —

- SS-N-8 missiles carried 1 warhead each (range 9100 km, 64 warheads total)
- SS-N-18 missiles carried 3 warheads each (liquid propellant, range 6500 km)
- SS-N-20 missiles carried 10 warheads each (solid propellant, range 8300 km)
- SS-N-23 missiles carried 4 warheads each (liquid propellant, range 8300 km)

US and Russian SLBMs



iClicker Question

On U.S. submarines with nuclear-armed ballistic missiles, who must agree in order for them to be launched?

(A) The captain

(B) The captain and the first officer

(C) All officers

(D) A majority of the crew

(E) All of the crew

iClicker Question

On U.S. submarines with nuclear-armed ballistic missiles, who must agree in order for them to be launched?

(A) The captain

(B) The captain and the first officer

(C) All officers

(D) A majority of the crew

(E) All of the crew

Module 5: Nuclear Delivery Systems

Part 5: Technical and Operational Aspects

Range-Payload Tradeoff



MTCR is the 1987 Missile Technology Control Regime to restrain missile exports

A. Karp, Ballistic Missile Proliferation, sipri, 1996, p. 157

The Performance Required for Missile Warheads Increases Greatly with Increasing Missile Range









Missile Range–Accuracy Tradeoff



A. Karp, Ballistic Missile Proliferation, sipri, 1996, p. 112

Lance missile

CEP: circular error probable (random error)
50% of missiles land within CEP from target
93% within 2 x CEP from target

Ballistic Missile Accuracy

Distribution of RV impact points —





CEP: circular error probable (random error)
50% of missiles land within CEP,
93% within 2 x CEP from target

Ballistic Missile Accuracy

The accuracy of a ballistic missile—like the value of *any* physical quantity—can only be specified *statistically*.

Important concepts:

- D = total miss distance
- CEP = “circular error probable” (random error)
- B = Bias (systematic error)

Algebraic relation —

$$D = (B^2 + CEP^2)^{1/2}$$

CEP is *not* a measure of the miss distance. The miss distance is *at least as large* as the CEP, but can be *much larger* if there is significant bias.

Ballistic Missile Accuracy

Published CEPs for some ICBMs and SLBMs

	Missile	CEP
US	MMIII	220 m
	Trident I	450 m
	Trident II	100 m
Russia	SS-18	450 m
	SS-N-18	600 m

ICBM Accuracy & Vulnerability

Missile accuracy steadily improved during the Cold War as the result of technological innovation.

As ICBMs become more accurate, they become more vulnerable to attack by the adversary, increasing crisis instability.

Each ICBM and each SLBM was armed with more and more warheads during the Cold War.

As each missile was armed with more warheads, it became a greater threat to the nuclear forces of the adversary and a more attractive target for a pre-emptive or first strike, increasing crisis instability.

Silo-Based Missiles

Vulnerable to attack

- Silo locations are known very accurately
- MIRVed missiles make it possible to launch several warheads against each silo

Effect of silo hardness

- Hardening is expensive
- US assumes its silos can withstand 2,000 psi (5 psi will completely destroy a brick house)
- US assumes Russian silos can withstand 5,000 psi (example of ‘worst-case’ analysis)
- To destroy a silo this hard, a 300 kt warhead would have to land within 100 m

Silo-Based Missiles

Effect of missile accuracy

- Theoretically, missile survival is very sensitive to the miss distance D of incoming warheads
- An an example, assume
  - 1,000 Minuteman silos are hardened to 2,000 psi
  - Two 1.5 MT warheads are targeted to explode at ground level on each silo
- Computations predict
  - If D = 300 ft, then 20 missiles survive (60 if 5,000 psi)
  - If D = 500 ft, then 200 missiles survive (600 if 5,000 psi)

Sources of Systematic Error

- Gravitational field variations
- Atmospheric drag variations

Gravitational Field Variations

Some possible causes —

- Bumps on the Earth (mountains)
- Mass concentrations (masscons)
- Gravitational pull of the Moon

  (Motion of the Moon changes *g* by 3 ppm. An error in *g* of 3 ppm introduces a bias of 300 ft.)

The Earth's gravitational field is carefully measured over US and R (E-W) test ranges —

- US: Vandenberg to Kwajalein
- R: Plesetsk to Kamchatka and Tyuratam to Pacific

But wartime trajectories would be N-S over pole.

Atmospheric Drag Variations

Some possible sources —

- Jet streams
- Pressure fronts
- Surface winds

(30 mph surface wind introduces a bias of 300 ft.)

Density of the atmosphere —

- Is a factor of 2 greater in the day than at night
- Varies significantly with the season
- Is affected by warm and cold fronts

Data from military weather satellites and from models of weather over SU targets were reportedly used to update US warheads twice per day

Uncertainties on Silo-Based Missiles

Fundamental uncertainties

- Missile accuracy
- Warhead yield
- Silo hardness

Operational uncertainties

- System reliability
- Wind and weather
- Effects of other warheads (fratricide)
- Extent of ‘collateral damage’
  (‘digging out’ missiles creates enormous fallout)

Effects of Explosive Yield, Missile Accuracy, and Silo Hardness on Land-Base Missile Vulnerability

Probability of destroying ("killing") a missile silo: $P_K = 1 - e^{-K/f(H)}$

- A 10-fold increase of warhead yield Y increases the kill factor K by about a factor of 5.

- A 10-fold decrease in the warhead miss distance D increases the kill factor K by 100.

- For a kill factor of 20, a 10-fold increase in the silo hardness from 300 psi to 3000 psi reduces the probability of silo destruction from about 85% to about 35%.

Counterforce Capabilities in 1985

U.S. ICBMs: K = 107,000

U.S. SLBMs: K = 48,000

U.S. Trident II D5: K = 475,000

Russia ICBMs: K = 131,000

Russia SLBMs: K = 9,500

Submarine-Based Missiles

Operational considerations

- Relative vulnerability
  (size of operational areas, ASW threat, counter-ASW capability)
- Ability to survive
- US SSBNs are quieter than Russian SSBNs
  (but Russia is improving rapidly)
- US leads in anti-submarine warfare (ASW) capability
  and access to high seas
- Fraction of forces on-station
  (duration of patrols, time required for repairs)
- System reliability
- Effectiveness of command and control

Submarine-Based Missiles

Effective number of warheads (example) before New START

- United States

2688	[SLBM warheads}
x 0.75	[fraction typically on-station]
x 0.90	[estimated reliability]
= 1,814	[effective number of warheads]

- Russia

2384	[SLBM warheads}
x 0.25	[fraction typically on-station]
x 0.70	[estimated reliability]
= 447	[effective number of warheads]

These examples show that many factors *other than just the number of warheads* are important in comparing the effectiveness of nuclear forces.

Module 5: Nuclear Delivery Systems

Part 5: Nuclear Command and Control

Nuclear Command and Control – 1

C3I: Command, Control, Communication, Intelligence

Specific goals—

- Provide strategic and tactical warning
- Provide damage assessments
- Execute war orders from National Command Authority before, during, and after initial attack
- Evaluate effectiveness of retaliation
- Monitor development of hostilities, provide command and control for days, weeks, months

Nuclear Command and Control – 2

Some important aspects and implications —

- Organizational structure of command and control
- Available strategic communications, command, control and intelligence (C^3I) assets
- Vulnerability of strategic C^3I assets to attack

Alert levels —

DEFCON 5 Normal peacetime readiness
DEFCON 4 Normal, increased intelligence and strengthened security measures
DEFCON 3 Increase in force readiness above normal readiness intelligence and strengthened security measures
DEFCON 2 Further Increase in force readiness
DEFCON 1 Maximum force readiness.

Nuclear Command and Control – 3

Satellite systems

- Early warning
- Reconnaissance
- Electronic signals
- Weather
- Communication
- Navigation

Response Times for Attack or Breakout

The Threat of Accidental Nuclear War – 20 Dangerous Incidents

1) November 5, 1956: Suez Crisis Coincidence

2) November 24, 1961: BMEWS Communication Failure

3) August 23, 1962: B-52 Navigation Error

4) August-October, 1962: U2 Flights into Soviet Airspace

5) October 24, 1962- Cuban Missile Crisis: A Soviet Satellite Explodes

6) October 25, 1962- Cuban Missile Crisis: Intruder in Duluth

7) October 26, 1962- Cuban Missile Crisis: ICBM Test Launch

8) October 26, 1962- Cuban Missile Crisis: Unannounced Titan Missile Launch

9) October 26, 1962- Cuban Missile Crisis: Malstrom Air Force Base

10) October, 1962- Cuban Missile Crisis: NATO Readiness

Source: www.nuclearfiles.org/kinuclearweapons/anwindex.html

The Threat of Accidental Nuclear War
20 Dangerous Incidents

11) October, 1962- Cuban Missile Crisis: British Alerts

12) October 28, 1962- Cuban Missile Crisis: Moorestown False Alarm

13) October 28, 1962- Cuban Missile Crisis: False Warning Due to Satellite

14) November 2, 1962: The Penkovsky False Warning

15) November, 1965: Power Failure and Faulty Bomb Alarms

16) January 21, 1968: B-52 Crash near Thule

17) October 24-25, 1973: False Alarm During Middle East Crisis

18) November 9, 1979: Computer Exercise Tape

19) June , 1980: Faulty Computer Chip

20) January, 1995: Russian False Alarm

Source: www.nuclearfiles.org/kinuclearweapons/anwindex.html

Possible Risk Reduction Measures

- Put ballistic missiles on low-level alert
- Reduce number of warheads on missiles
- Remove warheads to storage
- Disable missiles by having safety switches pinned open and immobilized
- Allow inspections and cooperative verification

Source: B. Blair, H. Feiveson. F. von Hippel, Taking Nuclear Weapons off Hair-Trigger Alert, Scientific American, November 1997

End of Module 5