

Your budget must conform to your technical description

Go through the narrative and highlight every activity that has financial implications— make a list of everything that will have to be paid for during the course of the project

Assign each one of those costs to an appropriate budget category—personnel, equipment, materials and supplies, telecommunications, travel, services, publications...

Make a note, too, of the non-monetary contributions your institution will make to the project

You will want to emphasize these contributions in your budget narrative

Look at it from the funder's point of view—
your institution's prior investment in
facilities and equipment lowers the cost of
doing this project for the funder, putting
you at an advantage over competing
proposals

3

Your budget must be realistic

Reviewers and program officers know what things cost; if your budget is not realistic they will think you are either dishonest or stupid

A budget that is too low may make it impossible to complete the project, which will jeopardize your chances for future funding

Estimate costs as accurately as possible; get formal price quotations for equipment and written agreements for subcontracts

Budgets must conform to the RFP and include only *eligible* costs

Personnel





Equipment and Supplies





Other Eligible Costs





4

The RFP will also tell you what costs are <u>not</u> eligible

Payments to individuals who do no work on the project

Travel that is not connected to the research project

Equipment and supplies that will not be used in the research project

Entertainment (including meals)

Construction, repair, or remodeling of facilities

Evaluate every item in your budget with a reviewer's skeptical eyes

Teach yourself to ask the questions that a reviewer would ask



For what? How much? Why?

7

Likely reviewer questions about personnel:



Are all these people really needed to do the work?

What is each person's assigned role? What will he/she contribute to the project?

Does the budget allocation accurately reflect each person's work contribution?

Is there an appropriate distribution of categories of personnel?

Are the salaries in line with funder guidelines?

Likely questions about equipment and research supplies:



Is the equipment needed to carry out the experiments described in the work plan?

Should the grantee already have this equipment? Is it replacing existing equipment? Why?

Is the equipment requested the best suited for the job to be done?

Are the supplies requested needed and the amounts reasonable?

9

Is the travel justified?



Is the travel necessary to carry out the work proposed?

Why travel to conferences in the first year of the project before there are any results to present?

Does the conference travel represent appropriate fora for results obtained in this project?

Why travel to one another's labs at the end of the project?

What about subcontracts?



Is the subcontract really necessary?

Should the PI be able to do this work in his own laboratory?

Are the fees reasonable?

Is the time charged consistent with the amount of work to be done?

11

Overhead or indirect costs?



Are the institutional costs calculated correctly?

Do they exceed the maximum allowed by the funder guidelines?

Finally, review the rules and double-check everything



Check your arithmetic — is everything added correctly?Have you included all required information?Has every line and every box been completed?

13

Stop—you're not done yet!



Now you've got to write the "budget justification"

Narrative that explains what assumptions you made and what methods you used to estimate project costs

The budget narrative should explain how costs were determined

Explain what each person will do to contribute to the project

Explain why equipment is needed and how it will be used in the project

Provide written price quotations for expensive items of equipment

Itemize each budget line and explain how those numbers were determined

Ensure that your costs are realistic and in line with the scope of work to be done

	SUMMARY YEAR 1				
Map your narrative	ORGANIZATION University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR / PROJECT DIRECTOR		AWARD NO	Propose	d Granted
• •	Anthony J Leggett A SENIOR PERSONNEL: PIPO. Co-Pts. Faculty and Other Senior Associates	NSF			Funds
to the formal	(List each separately with title, A.7. show number in brackets) 1. Anthony J Leggett - PI	CAL ACA	D SUMR	Funds Requested By proposer \$ 0	granted by NO (if different) \$
	3 4				
budget forms /	C. (0) OTHERS (LIST INDIVIDUALLY ON BUDGET JUSTIFICATION PAGE) C. (1) TOTAL SENIOR PERSONNEL (1 - 5)	0.00 D		0	
/	9: OTHER PERSONNEL (SHOW NUMBERS IN BRACKETS) 1. (0) POST DOCTORAL SCHOLARS	0.00 0		0	
	2.(0) OTHER PROFESSIONALS (TECHNICIAN, PROGRAMMER, ETC.) 3.(7) GRADUATE STUDENTS 4.(0) UNDERGRADUATE STUDENTS	0.00 0.	0.00	99,488	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	O) SECRETARIAL - CLERICAL (IF CHARGED DIRECTLY) O) OTHER			0	
Senior personnel / /	TOTAL SALARIES AND WAGES (A + B) C. FRINGE BENEFITS (IF CHARGED AS DIRECT COSTS)				
	TOTAL SALARIES, WAGES AND FRINGE BENEFITS (A + B + C)				
Other personnel	•				
other personner	TOTAL EQUIPMENT			0	
Fuince benefits	E. TRAVEL 1. DOMESTIC (NCL. CANADA, MEXICO AND U.S. POSSE 2. FOREIGN	SSIONS)		4,000 2,500	
Fringe benefits	F. PARTICIPANT SUPPORT COSTS		\blacksquare		
	1. STIPENDS \$ 0 2. TRAVEL 0				
Equipment	3. SUBSISTENCE 0 4. OTHER 0				
Equipment	TOTAL NUMBER OF PARTICIPANTS (0) TOTAL PART G. OTHER DIRECT COSTS	CIPANT CO	STS	0	
	MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES PUBLICATION COSTS/DOCUMENTATION/DISSEMINATION CONSULTANT SERVICES			4,200 2,000	
Travel /	CONSULTANT SERVICES COMPUTER SERVICES SURAWARDS			0	
	5. OSTHER TOTAL OTHER DIRECT COSTS			55,713 61,913	
Other direct costs	H. TOTAL DIRECT COSTS (A THROUGH G) J. INDIRECT COSTS (F&A)(SPECIFY RATE AND BASE)			173.970	
Other unect costs	Facilities and Administration (Rate: 58.5000, Base: 118256) TOTAL INDIRECT COSTS (F&A)			69,180	
	J. TOTAL DIRECT AND INDIRECT COSTS (H + I) K. RESIDUAL FUNDS			243,150 0	
Indirect costs	L. ANOUNT OF THIS REQUEST (J) OR (J MINUS K) 5 243,150 5 M. COST SHARING PROPOSED LEVEL \$ 8 AGREED LEVEL IF DIFFERENT 5 PIPED NAME FOR NBF USE ONLY			\$	
	PIPD NAME Anthory J Leggett ORG REP. NAME*	INC	WRECT COST	SF USE ONLY T RATE VERIFI Of Rate Sheet	CATION

Example of a well Section F. Budget Justification An itemized loudget for each year of the three-year proposed project and a cumulative budget are presented on NSF Form 1030, pages 1-4 of this section. We believe that the program of consideral matter physics and anterioral reservoir confideral in the proposal and the contribution it will make to scientific understanding, education and training, and the aution's research inflavorus une fully journify the respected budget. organized, clear **budget** narrative

Section headings correspond to **budget forms**

Detailed explanations are given for how costs were calculated

In should be noted that NSF support for this research group has been effectively enhanced by direct University support and prior investments in research infrastructure, which is critical to our program, as dealined in the facilities section of this proposal. The Department of Physics provide secretarial and administrative support through the Physics Business Office, and the University provides thand research equipment, to which the PI has access, through the Micro and Nanotechnology Laboratory and the Materials Research Laboratory.

In the following sections, detailed justification is provided for each budget item for Year I which represents actual first-year estimated costs. Budget numbers for Year 2 and 3 represent Year-1 costs plus modest allowances for inflation. Section headings used below correspond to the respective sections of Form 1030.

One month of summer salary is requested for the principal investigator in each year of the project. He will be heavily involved in directing and carrying out the research program outlined in the project description.

Funds are requested for one postdoctoral research associate (100-percent time for 6 months ry year) and one graduate student (50-percent time for 11 months). In the Department of Physical Registrates are typically appointed for time months during the actionary year and two online during the summer term. To undertake the wide-ranging experimental program described reim, both a pottode and as studient will be needed.

Fringe benefits have been calculated in accordance with the rates published in the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign FY09 Final Facilities/Administrative (F&A) and Tuition Remission Rates and FY09 Provisional Fringe Benefit Rates schedule (rev. 08/15/08).

D. Equipment
No funds are requested for purchasing new equipment. The equipment currently available in
the PI's lab and also available through the Micro and Nanotechnology Laboratory and the Materials Research Laboratory is sufficient to carry out the work presented in this proposal.

E. Travel

Dometic travel has been budgeted to allow for the researchers to present results at appropriate conferences and symposia and to pursue collaborative exchanges with other researchers.

Probable destinations include the annual American Physical Society March meetings (Portland, Oregen, 2010. Dallar, Texas), 2011. Boston, Massachatents, 2012.

The Travel Industry Association of America travel rends and hotel industry trends, which show a significant increase from previous years, were consulted to estimate travel costs. We also reviewed current airfarte, post travel covalers, and miscallaments workness to estimate travel expenditures, since we are mable to predict at this time the exact number of trips and their destina-

Use the budget narrative to show institutional support of and commitment to your project

To make your proposal more attractive, identify existing special equipment or unique facilities already owned that will be used in the project

N.B. Voluntary "cost-sharing" is now prohibited by NSF on most proposals; do not imply any financial support by your institution

The budget narrative should make a persuasive case for investing in you and your team

Demonstrate that project is cost-effective, that it will have a significant impact for a reasonable cost

Remind the reviewer again in a few sentences why your project is important

But—don't include any scientific arguments that could be construed as an attempt to circumvent page limits of the project description