Probability and Statistics for Computer Science



"Statistical thinking will one day be as necessary for efficient citizenship as the ability to read and write." H. G. Wells

Credit: wikipedia

Hongye Liu, Teaching Assistant Prof, CS361, UIUC, 10.20.2020

Interpretation of Confidence Interval



Interpretation of Confidence Interval



the confidence intervals Interpreting

Figure 8.5 A sample of one hundred observed 95% confidence intervals based on samples of size 26 from the normal distribution with mean $\mu = 5.1$ and standard deviation $\sigma = 1.6$. In this figure, 94% of the intervals contain the value of μ .



Vegnot Yz 487



Last time

* Hypothesis test

* Chi-square test

* Maximum likelihood estimation

Objectives

More on Maximum likelihood frequentist Estimation (MLE) # Bayesian Inference (MAP) Poster:or 13 ayes: an distri.

Maximum likelihood estimation (MLE)

 ${}^{\#}$ We write the probability of seeing the data D given parameter θ

$$L(\theta) = P(D|\theta)$$

- * The **likelihood function** $L(\theta)$ is **not** a probability distribution
- * The maximum likelihood estimate (MLE) of

θis

$$\hat{\theta} = \arg \max_{\theta} L(\theta)$$

Likelihood function: binomial example



Q. What is the MLE of binomial N=12, k=7

A. 12!/7!/5!
B. 7/12
C. 5/12
D.12/7

 $\frac{1}{10} = \frac{1}{11}$ vo (thet: hood B.mo hr

Q. What is the MLE of Poisson $k_{1=5}$, $k_{2=7}$, n=2

L(6)=11 L(Dolo)

 $\hat{\Theta} = MLE(Poisson)$ with π)

2 (:

N

Lg L (0)

A 6

B. 35/2

D. other

C. 12

MLE Example

74 (l You find a 5-sided die and want to estimate its probability θ of coming up 5, you decided to roll it 12 times and then roll it until it comes up 5. You rolled 15 times altogether and found there were 3 times when the die came up 5. Write down the likelihood function L(θ). $P(\nabla_{i}(\theta) = P(\nabla_{i}(\theta) P(\nabla_{i}(\theta)))$ $L(\theta) = P(D|\theta)$ 12 13/15

MLE Example

You find a 5-sided die and want to estimate its probability θ of coming up 5, you decided to roll it 12 times and then roll it until it comes up 5. You rolled 15 times altogether and found there were 3 times when the die came up 5. Write down the likelihood function $L(\theta)$. 12 times to check to f Exp-1 L,(D) "+ " L~(0) Exp-2 15+ $L(0) = L(0) \cdot L(0)$

$$L(\theta) = L_{1}(\theta)L_{2}(\theta)$$

$$= {\binom{12}{1}} \theta^{2} (1-\theta)^{(0)} ((-\theta)^{2} \theta)^{(0)}$$

$$= {\binom{12}{12}} \theta^{3} (1-\theta)^{(2)}$$

$$\hat{\theta} = a \eta a + L(\theta)$$

$$\hat{\theta} = \cdots$$

-> L(0)= C 0³(1-0)ⁿ + log L (0) = log c + 3 log 0 + 12 log (1-0) d 6/107 0+3 - 12 20 129= 3.30

Drawbacks of MLE

- Maximizing some likelihood or log-likelihood function is mathematically hard
- If there are few data items, the MLE estimate maybe very unreliable
 - If we observe 3 heads in 10 coin tosses, should we accept that p(heads)= 0.3 ?
 - If we observe 0 heads in 2 coin tosses, should we accept that p(heads)= 0 ?

Bayesian inference

In MLE, we maximized the likelihood function

$$L(\theta) = P(D|\theta)$$

- * In Bayesian inference, we will maximize the **posterior**, which is the probability of the parameters θ given the observed data D. $P(\theta|D)$
- * Unlike $L(\theta)$, the posterior is a probability distribution
- * The value of θ that maximizes $P(\theta|D)$ is called the **maximum a posterior (MAP)** estimate $\hat{\theta}$

The components of Bayesian Inference

* From Bayes rule $P(\theta|D) = \frac{P(D(\theta)P(\theta))}{P(D)}$

The components of Bayesian Inference

* From Bayes rule L(0), $P(0|D) = \frac{P(D|0)P(0)}{P(D)}$

- * Prior, assumed distribution of θ before seeing data D
- * Likelihood function of θ seeing $D : L(\Theta)$
- * Total Probability seeing D --- P(D)
- **Posterior**, distribution of θ given **D**

The usefulness of Bayesian inference

- ** From Bayes rule $P(\theta|D) = \frac{P(D|\theta)P(\theta)}{P(D)}$
- * Bayesian inference allows us to include prior beliefs about θ in the prior $P(\theta)$, which is useful
 - When we have reasonable beliefs, such as a coin can not have P(heads) = 0
 - When there isn't much data



- Suppose we have a coin of unknown probability θ of heads
 - ₩ We see 7 heads in 10 tosses (D)
 - * We assume the prior about θ . $P(\theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{3} & if \ \theta = 0.5\\ \frac{1}{3} & if \ \theta = 0.6\\ 0 & otherwise \end{cases}$
 - We have this likelihood:

$$P(D|\theta) = {\binom{10}{7}}\theta^7 (1-\theta)^3$$

What is the posterior $P(\theta|D)$? ₩

- ₩ We see 7 heads in 10 tosses (D)
- * We assume the prior about θ . $P(\theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{3} & if \ \theta = 0.5 \\ \frac{1}{3} & if \ \theta = 0.6 \\ 0 & otherwise \end{cases}$
- * We have this likelihood: $P(D|\theta) = {\binom{10}{7}} \theta^7 (1-\theta)^3$
- \ast What is the posterior $P(\theta|D)$?
 - $P(\theta|D) = \frac{P(D|\theta)P(\theta)}{P(D)}$

 $P(\theta|D) = \frac{P(D|\theta)P(\theta)}{P(D)} \quad P(D) = \sum_{\theta, \sigma, \theta} P(D|\theta_i)P(\theta_i)$

- ₩ We see 7 heads in 10 tosses (D)
- * We have this likelihood: $P(D|\theta) = {\binom{10}{7}}\theta^7 (1-\theta)^3$
- ** We assume the prior about θ . $P(\theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{3} & if \ \theta = 0.5 \\ \frac{1}{3} & if \ \theta = 0.6 \\ 0 & otherwise \end{cases}$

 $\theta_i \in \theta$

What is the posterior $P(\theta|D)$? ₩

- ₩ We see 7 heads in 10 tosses (D)
- ✤ We have this likelihood: $P(D|\theta) = {\binom{10}{7}}\theta^7 (1-\theta)^3$
- * We assume the prior about θ . $P(\theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{3} & if \ \theta = 0.5 \\ \frac{1}{3} & if \ \theta = 0.6 \\ 0 & otherwise \end{cases}$

* What is the posterior $P(\theta|D)$?

MAP $\hat{\theta}$ =0.5 $P(\theta|D) = \begin{cases} 0.52 & if \ \theta = 0.5\\ 0.48 & if \ \theta = 0.6\\ 0 & otherwise \end{cases}$ Biased by the prior

- Suppose we have a coin of unknown probability θ of heads
- ∗ We see 7 heads in 10 tosses (**D** $) ↑ <math>P(\theta)$
- We assume $P(\theta) = \begin{cases} 5 & if \ \theta \in [0.4, 0.6] \\ 0 & if \ \theta \notin [0.4, 0.6] \end{cases}$
- \ast What is the posterior P(heta|D) ?







The constant in the Bayesian inference

$$P(D) = \int_{\theta} P(D|\theta) P(\theta) d\theta$$

It's not always possible to calculating P(D) in closed form.

* There are a lot of approximation methods.



P(0)

Drawbacks of Bayesian inference

- ***** Maximizing some posteriors $P(\theta|D)$ is difficult
- * Some choices of prior $P(\theta)$ can overwhelm any data observed.
- It's hard to justify a choice of prior

The concept of conjugacy

- * For a given likelihood function $P(D|\theta)$, a prior $P(\theta)$ is its conjugate prior if it has the following properties:
 - $\# P(\theta)$ belongs to a family of distributions that are expressive
 - * The posterior $P(\theta|D) \propto P(D|\theta)P(\theta)$ belongs to the same family of distribution as the prior $P(\theta)$
 - * The posterior $P(\theta|D)$ is easy to maximize
- For example, a conjugate prior for binomial likelihood function is Beta distribution

Beta distribution

A distribution is Beta distribution if it has the following ▓ 0 50 5 ($P(\theta) = \mathbf{K}(\alpha, \beta) \theta^{\alpha - 1} (1 - \theta)^{\beta - 1}$ o D. W. pdf: d>0, \$>0 pdf of Beta – distribution Beta(1,1) $\boldsymbol{k}(\alpha,\beta) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)}$ Beta(5,5) Beta(50,50) Beta(70,70) ω Beta(20,50) Beta(0.5,0.5) Is an expressive family of ँ 9 density distributions 4 $\# Beta(\alpha = 1, \beta = 1)$ is uniform N 0

0.0

0.2

0.4

0.6

0

0.8

1.0

Q. Beta distribution is a continuous probability distribution

A. TRUE B. FALSE

Beta distribution as the conjugate prior for Binomial likelihood

- * The likelihood is Binomial (*N*, *k*) $P(D|\theta) = \binom{N}{k} \theta^{k} (1-\theta)^{N-k}$
- * The Beta distribution is used as the prior $P(\theta) = K(\alpha,\beta)\theta^{\alpha-1}(1-\theta)^{\beta-1}$
- ** So $P(\theta|D) \propto \theta^{\alpha+k-1}(1-\theta)^{\beta+N-k-1}$ $\hat{J} = \lambda + k$ ** Then the posterior is $Beta(\alpha+k,\beta+N-k)$ $\hat{\beta} = \beta + N - k$ $P(\theta|D) = K(\alpha+k,\beta+N-k)\theta^{\alpha+k-1}(1-\theta)^{\beta+N-k-1}$

 $\mathcal{L} = 1$ $\mathcal{B} = 1$

The update of Bayesian posterior

- Since the posterior is in the same family as the conjugate prior, the posterior can be used as a new prior if more data is observed.
 - Suppose we start with a uniform prior on the probability θ of heads
 - * Then we see 3H 0T

▓

- * Then we see 4H 3T for 7H 3T in total
- * Then we see 10H 10T for 17H 13T in total
- * Then we see 55H 15T for 72H 28T in total



The update of Bayesian posterior

- Since the posterior is in the same family as the conjugate prior, the posterior can be used as a new prior if more data is observed.
 - Suppose we start with a uniform prior on the probability θ of heads



⊯



Simulation of the update of Bayesian posterior

https://seeing-theory.brown.edu/bayesian-inference/ index.html

Maximize the Bayesian posterior (MAP)

* The posterior of the previous example is

$$P(\theta|D) = K(\alpha + k, \beta + N - k)\theta^{\alpha + k - 1}(1 - \theta)^{\beta + N - k - 1}$$

* Differentiating and setting to 0 gives the MAP estimate $\hat{\theta} = \frac{\alpha - 1 + k}{\alpha + \beta - 2 + N} \quad \begin{array}{c} \cdot f \quad \mathcal{A} = I \\ \mathcal{B} = I \\ \mathcal{B} = I \end{array}$

$$= \frac{1}{\alpha + \beta - 2 + N} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \beta = 1 \\ \hat{0} = \frac{k}{N} \end{array}$$

θ

Conjugate prior for other likelihood functions

- If the likelihood is Bernoulli or geometric, the conjugate prior is Beta
- If the likelihood is Poisson or Exponential, the conjugate prior is Gamma
- If the likelihood is normal with known variance, the conjugate prior is normal

Assignments

Finish Chapter 9 of the textbook

** Next time: Covariance matrix, PCA

Additional References

- Robert V. Hogg, Elliot A. Tanis and Dale L. Zimmerman. "Probability and Statistical Inference"
- Morris H. Degroot and Mark J. Schervish "Probability and Statistics"

See you next time

See You!

