# Probability and Statistics for Computer Science 


"Unsupervised learning is arguably more typical of human and animal learning..."--- Kelvin Murphy, former professor at UBC

Credit: wikipedia

## Last time

粦 Linear Regression (II)
粦 Nearest Neighbor Regression

## Objectives

## 粦 The curse of dimensionality

## 粦 Multivariate normal distribution

粦 Concept of unsupervised learning
粪 Clustering（I）

## First let's take a look at a 3D object

Is there more fruit than peel?


Credit: Prof. David Varodayan

## First take a look at a 3D object

Is there more fruit or more peel?

Total Volume: $2^{3}$
Vol. of fruit: $(2-2 \varepsilon)^{3}$
Vol. of peel: $2^{3}-(2-2 \varepsilon)^{3}$
Fraction of peel: 1-(1-ع) ${ }^{3}$


If $\varepsilon=0.05$ fraction of peel $\approx 0.143$

## What if we have a d-dimensional orange?

Is there always more fruit?
A. YES
B. NO

## In arbitrary d－dimension

粦 Total amount of orange

米 Amount of fruity part

粦 Fraction of orange that is peel

## The curse of dimensions

If a dataset is uniformly distributed in a highdimensional cube (or other shape), majority of data is far from the origin.

The above can be roughly proved by calculating the expected distance from the origin

## The Expected distance from the origin in d-dimensional cube

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left[\boldsymbol{x}^{T} \boldsymbol{x}\right]=E\left[\sum_{i=1}^{d} x_{i}^{2}\right]=\sum_{i=1}^{d} E\left[x_{i}^{2}\right] \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{d} \int_{\text {cube }} x_{i}^{2} P(\boldsymbol{x}) d \boldsymbol{x}
\end{aligned}
$$

## The Expected distance from the origin in d-dimensional cube

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left[\boldsymbol{x}^{T} \boldsymbol{x}\right]=E\left[\sum_{i=1}^{d} x_{i}^{2}\right]=\sum_{i=1}^{d} E\left[x_{i}^{2}\right] \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{d} \int_{\text {cube }} x_{i}^{2} P(\boldsymbol{x}) d \boldsymbol{x} \\
& P(\boldsymbol{x})=P\left(x_{1}\right) P\left(x_{2}\right) \ldots P\left(x_{d}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$
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$$
\begin{aligned}
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## The Expected distance from the origin in d-dimensional cube

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left[\boldsymbol{x}^{T} \boldsymbol{x}\right]=E\left[\sum_{i=1}^{d} x_{i}^{2}\right]=\sum_{i=1}^{d} E\left[x_{i}^{2}\right] \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{d} \int_{\text {cube }} x_{i}^{2} P(\boldsymbol{x}) d \boldsymbol{x} \\
& P(\boldsymbol{x})=P\left(x_{1}\right) P\left(x_{2}\right) \ldots P\left(x_{d}\right) \\
& \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} P\left(x_{i}\right) d x_{i}=1 \quad \text { Assuming the general law } \\
\Rightarrow & E\left[\boldsymbol{x}^{T} \boldsymbol{x}\right]=\sum_{i=1}^{d} \int_{-1}^{1} x_{i}^{2} P\left(x_{i}\right) d x_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

## A lot of data is far from the origin.

On average, data points are d/3 away from the origin (using square of distance)

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left[\boldsymbol{x}^{T} \boldsymbol{x}\right] & =\sum_{i=1}^{d} \int_{-1}^{1} x_{i}^{2} P\left(x_{i}\right) d x_{i} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} x_{i}^{2} d x_{i} \\
& =\frac{d}{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

What do high-dimensional cubes look like?

## What do high-dimensional cubes look like?

Petrie polygon Orthographic projections


Credit:

# What does a convex object K in high dimensions look like? 

## The spikes are outliers in high dimension



Credit: G. Pfander editor, "Sampling theory, a Renaissance"

A general convex set
With this scaling, most of the volume of $K$ is located around the Euclidean sphere of radius $\sqrt{n}$. Indeed, taking traces on both sides of the second equation in (1.2), we obtain

$$
\mathbb{E}\|X\|_{2}^{2}=n
$$

Therefore, by Markov's inequality, at least $90 \%$ of the volume of $K$ is contained in a Euclidean ball of size $O(\sqrt{n})$. Much more powerful concentration results are known-the bulk of $K$ lies very near the sphere of radius $\sqrt{n}$ and the outliers have exponentially small volume. This is the content of the two major results in highdimensional convex geometry, which we summarize in the following theorem.

## Distance between points grows with increasing dimensions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left[d(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v})^{2}\right]=E\left[(\boldsymbol{u}-\boldsymbol{v})^{T}(\boldsymbol{u}-\boldsymbol{v})\right] \\
& =E\left[\boldsymbol{u}^{T} \boldsymbol{u}\right]+E\left[\boldsymbol{v}^{T} \boldsymbol{v}\right]-2 E\left[\boldsymbol{u}^{T} \boldsymbol{v}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

# High dimensional histogram of a data set is unhelpful 

粦 Most bins will be empty
粦 Some bins will have single data
Very few will have more than one data point

## Dealing with high dimensional data

Collect as much data as possible
Cluster data into blobs/cluster
Fit each blob with simple probability model

## Multivariate normal distribution

粦 Extension of the normal distribution to multiple dimensions

粦 Bivariate normal distribution looks like this:
$f(x, y)=\frac{1}{2 \pi \sigma_{X} \sigma_{Y} \sqrt{1-\rho^{2}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\left(1-\rho^{2}\right)}\left[\left(\frac{x-\mu_{X}}{\sigma_{X}}\right)^{2}-2 \rho\left(\frac{x-\mu_{X}}{\sigma_{X}}\right)\left(\frac{y-\mu_{Y}}{\sigma_{Y}}\right)+\left(\frac{y-\mu_{Y}}{\sigma_{Y}}\right)^{2}\right]}$
$-1<\rho<1$


## Multivariate normal probability densitiy

A multivariate normal random vector $\mathbf{X}$ of dimension d has this pdf:

$$
P(\boldsymbol{x})=\frac{1}{\sqrt{(2 \pi)^{d}|\Sigma|}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu})^{T} \Sigma^{-1}(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu})\right)
$$

where

$$
\boldsymbol{\mu}=E[\boldsymbol{x}] \text { is d-dimensional mean vector }
$$

$$
\Sigma=E\left[(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu})(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu})^{T}\right] \text { is the } d \times d \text { positive }
$$ definite covariance matrix

## Multivariate MLE

Given a d-dimensional data set (\{x\}) we can fit a multivariate normal distribution using MLE

$$
P(\boldsymbol{x})=\frac{1}{\sqrt{(2 \pi)^{d}|\Sigma|}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu})^{T} \Sigma^{-1}(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu})\right)
$$

## Unsupervised learning

Unsupervised learning means knowledge discovery from the feature vectors without labels．

Unsupervised learning may include：
粦 Discovering latent factors
粦 Discovering clusters
粦 Discovering graph structure
粦 Matrix completion

## Q. Is this true?

Principal Component Analysis is an unsupervised learning method.
A. TRUE
B. FALSE

## Dimension Reduction is unsupervised learning

粦 For example in Principal Component Analysis, no labels are assumed about the data.

PCA discovers the latent factors--- the important eigenvectors of the covariance matrix

## The family of unsupervised learning

Dimension reduction

t-SNE

Clustering


K-means

Graph structure


Gaussian Graph model

## Clustering as an unsupervised learning method

粦 Clustering identifies specific structure called clusters．
类 In clustering data is not labeled．By identifying clusters，the method assigns cluster membership labels to data．

粦 A cluster is formed so that
粦 Items within a cluster are＂close＂to each other Items in different clusters are＂far＂from each other

粦 Distance metric is important in clustering

## Types of clustering method

By input type：
粦 Similarity based clustering：input is $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{N}$ similarity／ distance matrix

类 Feature based clustering：input is $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{D}$ feature matrix
By output type：
粦 Hierarchical clustering
粦 Top－down（divisive）
粦 Bottom－up（agglomerative）
粦 Flat clustering：
粦 Mixture models，K－means clustering，Spectral clustering．．．

## Hierarchical Clustering (I)

## Divisive clustering

粦 Treat the whole dataset as a single cluster
粦 Then split the data set recursively until you get a satisfactory clustering

## Hierarchical Clustering（II）

## Agglomerative clustering

粦 Treat each data item as its own cluster
粦 Then merge clusters until you get a satisfactory clustering
粦 A＂dendrogram＂is created


## Hierarchical Clustering example

Agglomerative clustering of matrix of gene-tissue pairs of human samples.

## 粦 Columns are

 tissues; rows are genesClustering is done for both directions


## K－means clustering

## 米 <br> Pick a value $\mathbf{k}$ as the

 number of clusters粦 Select krandom cluster centers

## 粦 Iterate until <br> convergence：



粦 Assign each data to the nearest center
Update the center within the cluster

（3）Source：wikipedia（4）

## Q. What are the values of c1 and c2?

Given a dataset $\{0,2,4,6,24,26\}$, initialize the $k$ means clustering algorithm with 2 cluster centers $c 1=3$ and c2 $=4$. What are the values of c1 and c2 after one iteration of $k$-means?

## Q. What are the values of c1 and c2?

Given a dataset $\{0,2,4,6,24,26\}$, initialize the $k$ means clustering algorithm with 2 cluster centers c1 $=3$ and c2 $=4$. What are the values of c1 and c2 after two iterations of $k$-means?

## What does k-means do mathematically?

It's an minimization of a cost function

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{\phi}(\delta, \boldsymbol{c}) & =\sum_{i, j} \delta_{i, j}\left[\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}-\boldsymbol{c}_{j}\right)^{T}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}-\boldsymbol{c}_{j}\right)\right] \\
& =\sum_{i}^{N} \sum_{j}^{k} \delta_{i, j}\left\|\boldsymbol{x}_{i}-\boldsymbol{c}_{j}\right\|^{2} \quad \delta_{i, j}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \text { if } \boldsymbol{x}_{i} \in \text { cluster } j \\
0 & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Cost is defined by the sum of squared distances of each data point from its cluster center

## K-means clustering example: Iris

True labels

|  |  |  | $-2$ | 2.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{\|ccccc} -7 & & 1 & 1 \\ -6 & & 4 & 5 & 6 \\ -5 & & & \\ -4 & \text { Petal } & \\ \hline & & \text { Length } & 4 \\ 3 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text {-吅 } \\ & \text { 蹅 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## 2 clusters

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | $\left.\begin{array}{rrrrr}-3 & & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ -2 & & & & \\ -1 & \text { Sepal } & \\ & \text { Width } & 0 \\ & & & -1\end{array}\right]$ |  |  |
| -2 0 1 2 <br> -1 Sepal   <br> -0 Length 0  <br> -2 -1 0 -1 <br> -1 1 $-2-1$ $-$ |  |  |  |

## K-means clustering example: Iris

True labels


3 clusters

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | -3  1 1 1 <br> -2  1 2 3 <br> -1 Sepal    <br>  Width 0   <br>    -1  <br> -2 -1 0  -2 <br> 1 1 1   <br>      |  |  |
|  1 1 1 <br> -2 0 1 2 <br> -1 Sepal   <br> -0 Length 0  <br>    -1 <br> -2 -1 0  |  |  |  |

## K-means clustering example: Iris

True labels

|  |  |  | $-2$ | 2.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{\|ccccc} -7 & & 1 & 1 \\ -6 & & 4 & 5 & 6 \\ -5 & & & \\ -4 & \text { Petal } & \\ \hline & & \text { Length } & 4 \\ 3 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text {-吅 } \\ & \text { 蹅 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## 4 clusters

|  |  |  | -1.5 0.00 .5 1.0 1.5 <br> -1.0    <br> -0.5 Petal   <br> -0.0 Width   <br> $0.0-0.5-$    <br>   $-1.0-$  <br> -1.5 -0.5  $-1.5-$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | -3  1 1  <br> -2   2 3 <br> -1 Sepal    <br>  Width 0   <br>    -1  <br> -2 -1 0  -2$-$ |  |  |
| $\left.\begin{array}{\|cccc}\hline-2 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ -1 & \text { Sepal } & \\ -0 & \text { Length } & 0 \\ & & & -1 \\ -2 & -1 & 0 & \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & -2\end{array}\right]$ |  |  |  |

## How to choose the value of k?

Sometimes we have the knowledge from the data set.
Sometimes we have some other natural way to choose k .
Otherwise given the cost function, we may perform clustering for many $k$ values and choose $k$ from the knee of the cost function empirically.

## Choose k from the cost function curve

Which is best?
Still depends on the application

Usually we want fewer clusters.


## Some variants of k-means clustering

Soft assignment allows some data items to belong to multiple clusters with weights associated with each cluster

Hierarchical k-means speeds up clustering for very large datasets

K-medioids allows clustering of data that cannot be averaged

# Q. What is different between a hierarchical clustering (hc) and k-means? 

A. HC produces dendrogram while k-means results in only flat clusters.
B. HC doesn't need to choose number of clusters while k-means needs that step.
C. HC has higher order time complexity than k-means
D. All the above.

## K－means clustering example：Portugal consumers

The dataset consists of the annual grocery spending of 440 customers

粦 Each customer＇s spending is recorded in 6 features：
粦 fresh food，milk，grocery，frozen，detergents／paper， delicatessen

粦 Each customer is labeled by： 6 labels in total
粦 Channel（Channel 1 \＆2）（Horeca 298，Retail 142）
粦 Region（Region 1， 2 \＆3）（Lisbon 77，Oporto 47，Other 316）

## Lisbon, Portugal



## Oporto, Portugal



## Visualization of the data

粦 Visualize the data with scatter plots We do see that some features are correlated.

But overall we do not see significant structure or groups in the data.


Scatter Plot Matrix

## Do kmeans and choose $k$ through the cost function

It's good to pick a $\mathbf{k}$ around the knee:
I choose 6 for it matches the number of labels


## Visualization of the data (PCA)

## PCA does show some separation. <br> Colors are the clusters

Data points show large range of dynamics!

PCA_sells


## Do log transform of the data

Log transform the data

粦 Do scatter plot matrix after the log transform

粦 Do the kmeans and color the clusters identified by kmeans


## PCA after log transformation: Clusters

PCA_sells
Colors show the clusters
identified by kmeans


## PCA after log transformation

PCA_sells
Colors show the Channel-region labels

What does this tell us?


## PCA after log transformation

PCA_sells
Colors show the Channel-region labels

Channels differ a lot


## Assignments

## Read Chapter 11 of the textbook

Next time: Clustering (II) \& intro. Of Markov Chain

## Additional References

䊩 Robert V. Hogg, Elliot A. Tanis and Dale L. Zimmerman. "Probability and Statistical Inference"

粦 Kelvin Murphy, "Machine learning, A Probabilistic perspective"

## See you next time

See You!


