Probability and Statistics 7 for Computer Science

"Statistical thinking will one day be as necessary for efficient citizenship as the ability to read and write." H. G. Wells

Credit: wikipedia

Hongye Liu, Teaching Assistant Prof, CS361, UIUC, 3.18.2021

Meaning of #% Confidence Inter

Meaning of #% Confidence Inter $-J\omega$ $M = E[X^{(N)}] = popmean$ $\mu - 6 \leq \chi_0 \leq \mu + 6$ $N(u,6)$ $68/6$ $\{x\}$ μ χ χ - 6 $\mu \leqslant \chi_{0}+\sigma$ (N) $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ – 6 \mathcal{M} + 6 $\mathcal{U}\in[\mathcal{X}_{0}-6,\mathcal{X}_{0}+6]$ x_{0} one $N\rightarrow\infty$ Sample mean ω poper can G (X_0 - 6, X_0 + σ) u wha e

Meaning of #% Confidence Inter

Figure 8.5 A sample of one hundred observed 95% confidence intervals based on samples of size 26 from the normal distribution with mean $\mu = 5.1$ and standard deviation $\sigma = 1.6$. In this figure, 94% of the intervals contain the value of μ .

Degroot Pg ⁴⁸⁷

Objectives

Komark Hypothesis test

KEMaximum Likelihood Estimation

NOV 25, 2018

* Should we reject this hypothesis given the poll data?

51%

Rejection region of null hypothesis H_o

Assuming the hypothesis H_0 is true

 $x =$

- pop mean = Vo
- **Example 3 and the Statistic mean({≠})** $(sample\ mean) - (hypothesized\ value)$
	- standard error Stderrcsx},
	- Since N>30, assume x comes from a standard normal

Rejection region (2α) $\begin{array}{ccc} & & & \text{o} \\ \text{o} & & & \text{p} \\ \end{array}$ Credit: J. Orloff et al. $\overline{\sim}$ N (0, 1) L 2 d

Fraction of "less extreme" statistic

- $*$ Assuming the hypothesis H₀ is true $x=1000$ - ' ' , to } ${x} = { ... , w , 30}$
	- Define a statistic for the test

Factorization of "less extreme" statistic

\nAssuming the hypothesis H₀ is true
$$
\mathbf{x}^2
$$
 to \mathbf{v} , \mathbf{v} , \mathbf{v} , \mathbf{v} .

\n**Define a statistic for the test**

\n
$$
x = \frac{(sample \ mean) - (hypothesized \ value)^{\mathbf{v}_z} \cdot \mathbf{v}}{\mathbf{v}_z}
$$

 $P_{m(\text{an}\{\{x\})=20}$

standard error

- Since *N*>30, we assume x comes from a standard normal
- $\frac{1}{2}$ So, the fraction of "less extreme" statistic is: $\frac{1}{2}$

action of "less extreme" statistic is:
\n
$$
f = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-|x|}^{|x|} exp(-\frac{u^2}{2}) du
$$

P-value: Rejection region- "The extreme fraction"

 $*$ It is conventional to report the p-value hypothesis test

$$
p = 1 - f = 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-|x|}^{|x|} exp(-\frac{u^2}{2}) du
$$

p-value: election polling

- H_0 . Ms. Smith's vote percentage is 55%
- $*$ The sample mean is 51% and stderr is 1.44%
- $*$ The test statistic $x =$ $51 - 55$ 1.44 $=-2.7778$
- $*$ And the p-value for the test is:

$$
p = 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-2.7778}^{2.7778} exp(-\frac{u^2}{2}) du = 0.00547 \qquad 0.05
$$

So we reject the hypothesis

Hypothesis test if $N < 30$

- $*$ Q: what distribution should we use to test the hypothesis of sample mean if N<30?
	- A. Normal distribution
	- B. t-distribution with degree $=30$
	- C. t-distribution with degree $= N$
	- C.
D. D. t -distribution with degree = N-1

The use and misuse of p-value

- p-value use in scientific practice
	- Usually used to reject the null hypothesis that the data is random noise
	- $*$ Common practice is $p < 0.05$ is considered significant evidence for something interesting
- Caution about p-value hacking
	- \\ Rejecting the null hypothesis doesn't mean the alternative is true
	- $*$ P < 0.05 is arbitrary and often is not enough for controlling false positive phenomenon

The parameter estimation problem

- Suppose we have a dataset that we know comes from a distribution (ie. Binomial, Geometric, or Poisson, etc.)
- What is the best estimate of the parameters (**θ** or **θ**s) of the distribution?
	- Examples:
		- **Example 3** For binomial and geometric distribution, $\theta = p$ (probability of success)
		- **Example 3** For Poisson and exponential distributions, $\theta = \lambda$ (intensity)
		- $*$ For normal distributions, **θ** could be *μ* or σ^2 .

Maximum likelihood estimation

 $P(X=k) = {N \choose k} p^{k} (1-p)^{N-k}$ kz
p:s unknown
write p as g k z o Write P(X=k) $L(\theta) = \binom{N}{k} \theta^k (1-\theta)^{N-k}$ Maximize L (O), we get $\hat{\theta}$ $\theta = Argmax L(0)$

Motivation: Poisson example

 $*$ Suppose we have data on the number of babies born each hour in a large hospital

- $*$ We can assume the data comes from a Poisson distribution
- \mathscr{H} What is your best estimate of the intensity λ ?

Credit: David Varodayan

a

Maximum likelihood estimation (MLE)

 $\mathscr W$ We write the probability of seeing the data D given parameter θ

$$
L(\theta) = P(D|\theta)
$$

- $\mathscr K$ The **likelihood function** $L(\theta)$ is not a probability distribution not
- **EXECTE:** The maximum likelihood estimate (MLE) of

θ is

$$
\hat{\theta} = arg \ max_{\theta} L(\theta)
$$

Why is $L(\theta)$ not a probability distribution?

A. It doesn't give the probability of all the $possible$ θ values.

B. Don't know whether the sum or integral of $L(\theta)$ for all possible θ values is one or not.

Likelihood function: binomial example

- **EXECT** Suppose we have a coin with unknown probability of coming up heads
- **EXECT:** We toss it **N** times and observe **k** heads
- $*$ We know that this data comes from a binomial distribution
- **What** is the likelihood function $L(\theta) = P(D|\theta)$?

$$
L(\theta) = \binom{N}{k} \theta^k (1-\theta)^{N-k}
$$

$$
N-k
$$

$$
\bigvee N-k
$$

$$
\bigvee N-k
$$

Likelihood function: binomial example

Suppose we have a coin with unknown probability of θ coming up heads

MLE derivation: binomial example

$$
L(\theta) = {N \choose k} \theta^k (1-\theta)^{N-k}
$$

 $\hat{\theta}$ In order to find: $\theta = arg\ max\ \overline{L}(\theta)$ We set: $\mathrm{d}L(\theta)$ $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} = 0$

MLE derivation: binomial example

$$
L(\theta) = \binom{N}{k} \theta^k (1-\theta)^{N-k}
$$

$$
(a(x) b(x))
$$

 P : prob of

seeing H

$$
\frac{d}{d\theta}L(\theta) = {N \choose k} (k\theta^{k-1}(1-\theta)^{N-k} - \theta^k(N-k)(1-\theta)^{N-k-1}) = 0
$$

$$
k\theta^{k-1}(1-\theta)^{N-k}=\theta^k(N-k)(1-\theta)^{N-k-1}
$$

$$
k - k\theta = N\theta - k\theta
$$

$$
\widehat{\theta} = \frac{k}{N}
$$

The MLE of **p** $\sqrt{2}$

Likelihood function: geometric example

- **EXEL Suppose we have a die with unknown probability** of coming up six
- \mathcal{W} We roll it and it comes up six for the first time on the kth roll
- \mathscr{W} We know that this data comes from a geometric distribution
- **What is the likelihood function** $L(\theta) = P(D|\theta)$? Assume $θ$ is p .

MLE derivation: geometric example

$$
L(\theta) = (1 - \theta)^{k-1}\theta
$$
 P(D|0)
What is the D?

$$
\hat{\theta} = \omega \hat{\theta} \frac{max}{\theta} L(\theta)
$$

MLE derivation: geometric example

$$
L(\theta)=(1-\theta)^{k-1}\theta
$$

$$
\frac{d}{d\theta}L(\theta) = (1 - \theta)^{k-1} - (k - 1)(1 - \theta)^{k-2}\theta = 0
$$

$$
(1 - \theta)^{k-1} = (k - 1)(1 - \theta)^{k-2}\theta
$$

$$
1 - \theta = k\theta - \theta
$$

$$
\hat{\theta}=\frac{1}{k}
$$

The MLE of p

MLE with data from IID trials

If the dataset $D = \{x\}$ comes from IID trials

$$
L(\theta) = P(D|\theta) = \prod_{x_i \in D} P(x_i|\theta)
$$

 \mathcal{L} Each x_i is one observed result from an IID trial

MLE with data from IID trials

If the dataset $D = \{x\}$ comes from IID trials

$$
L(\theta) = P(D|\theta) = \prod_{x_i \in D} P(x_i|\theta)
$$

log **askb**
slog **4**
log **a k**
log **a k**
log **a k**

 $*$ The likelihood function is hard to differentiate in general, except for the binomial and geometric cases.

 $*$ Clever trick: take the (natural) log

Log-likelihood function

 $*$ Since log is a strictly increasing function

$$
\hat{\theta} = arg \; max_{\theta} \; L(\theta) = arg \; max_{\theta} \; logL(\theta) \; \bigg\}
$$

EXEL So we can aim to maximize the **log-likelihood** function

$$
logL(\theta) = logP(D|\theta) = log \prod_{x_i \in D} P(x_i|\theta) = \sum_{x_i \in D} log P(x_i|\theta)
$$

 $*$ The log-likelihood function is usually much easier to differentiate

Log-likelihood function: Poisson example

 $*$ Suppose we have data on the number of babies born each hour in a large hospital

- $*$ We can assume the data comes from a Poisson distribution λ
- $\frac{1}{100}$ What is the log likelihood function $LogL(\theta)$?

Log-likelihood function: Poisson example

$$
L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{e^{-\theta} \theta^{k_i}}{k_i!}
$$
 ith hour, ki
p: **N, ki**

$$
log L(\theta) = log \left(\prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{e^{-\theta} \theta^{k_i}}{k_i!} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} log(\frac{e^{-\theta} \theta^{k_i}}{k_i!})
$$

$$
= \sum_{i=1}^{N} (-\theta + k_i log\theta - log k_i!)
$$

MLE : Poisson example

$$
LogL(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (-\theta + k_i \log \theta - \log k_i!)
$$

$$
\frac{d}{d\theta} log L(\theta) = 0 \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{N} (-1 + \frac{k_i}{\theta} - 0) = 0
$$

$$
-N + \frac{\sum_{i}^{N} k_i}{\theta} = 0
$$

$$
\hat{\theta} = \frac{\sum_{i}^{N} k_{i}}{N}
$$

The MLE of λ

- **Example 3** Suppose we model the dataset $D = \{x\}$ as normally distributed N (μ , 6)
- $*$ What should be the likelihood function? Is the method of modeling the same as for the Poisson distribution? x_i : height of one

 $\{x_i\}$

 P CD $($ O CM,

student

i i ^d

drials
Julie (

s)

A. Yes B. No

- **Example 3** Suppose we model the dataset $D = \{x\}$ as normally distributed
- $*$ What should be the likelihood function? Is the method of modeling the same as for the Poisson distribution? Yes and No. The idea is similar but the normal distribution is continuous, we need to use the **probability density** instead.

- **Example 3** Suppose we model the dataset $D = \{x\}$ as normally distributed
- $*$ The likelihood function of a normal distribution:

$$
L(\mu, \sigma) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} exp(-\frac{(x_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2})
$$

$$
Log L(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} log \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{(x_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}
$$

- **Example 3** Suppose we model the dataset $D = \{x\}$ as normally distributed
- **Example 3.1 There are two parameters to estimate:** μ **and** σ [₩] If we fix σ and set θ= μ $\hat{\theta} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i$
	- [₩] If we fix **μ** and set θ = **σ**

$$
\hat{\theta} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (x_i - \mu)^2}
$$

Drawbacks of MLE

- **EXECT** Maximizing some likelihood or log-likelihood function is mathematically hard
- $*$ If there are very few data items, the MLE estimate maybe very unreliable
	- $*$ If we observe 3 heads in 10 coin tosses, should we accept that $p(heads)=0.3$?
	- $*$ If we observe 0 heads in 2 coin tosses, should we accept that $p(heads)=0$?

Confidence intervals for MLE estimates

- $\mathscr K$ An MLE parameter estimate θ depends on the data that was observed $\overline{\hat{\hat{\mu}}}$ $\hat{\theta}$
- \mathscr{H} We can construct a confidence interval for $\hat{\theta}$ using the parametric bootstrap $\hat{\bm{\rho}}$ $\hat{\theta}$
	- **EXECT:** We the distribution with parameter $\hat{\theta}$ to generate a large number of bootstrap samples $\hat{\theta}$
	- $*$ From each "synthetic" dataset, re-estimate the parameter using MLE
	- Use the histogram of these re-esGmates to construct a confidence interval

Assignments

KEM Finish Chapter 7 of the textbook

 $*$ Next time: Maximum likelihood estimate, Bayesian inference

Additional References

- ✺ Robert V. Hogg, Elliot A. Tanis and Dale L. Zimmerman. "Probability and Statistical Inference"
- **KERETHER Morris H. Degroot and Mark J. Schervish** "Probability and Statistics"

See you next time

See You!

