Submission instructions as in previous <u>homeworks</u>.

1 (100 PTS.) Bogi sort.

Consider the following exciting sorting algorithm. For simplicity we will assume that n is always some positive power of 2 (i.e. $n = 2^i$, for some positive integer i > 0).

```
\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{bogiSort}(\mathtt{A}[0\mathinner{\ldotp\ldotp} n-1]): \\ & \mathbf{if} \ n \leq 16 \ \mathbf{then} \\ & \mathbf{InsertionSort}\Big(\mathtt{A}\big[0\mathinner{\ldotp\ldotp} n-1\big]\Big) \\ & \mathbf{else} \ / * \ n > 16 \ * / \\ & \mathbf{for} \ i \leftarrow 0 \ \text{to} \ 2 \ \mathbf{do} \\ & \mathbf{for} \ j \leftarrow 2 \ \mathrm{down} \ \text{to} \ i \ \mathbf{do} \\ & \mathbf{bogiSort}\Big(\mathtt{A}\big[jn/4\mathinner{\ldotp\ldotp\ldotp} (j+2)n/4-1\big]\Big) \end{aligned}
```

- **1.A.** (25 PTS.) Prove that **bogiSort** actually sorts its input. (You can assume that all the numbers in the array A are distinct.)
- **1.B.** (25 PTS.) State a recurrence (including the base case(s)) for the number of comparisons executed by **bogiSort**.
- 1.C. (25 PTS.) Solve the recurrence, and prove that your solution is correct. (Your proof should be self contained and not use off the shelf tools like the master theorem [puke]).
- **1.D.** (25 PTS.) Show that the number of swaps executed by **bogiSort** is at most $\binom{n}{2}$.

2 (100 PTS.) Pick it up.

You are given an array A with n distinct numbers in it, and another array B of ranks $i_1 < i_2 < \ldots < i_k$. An element x of A has rank u if there are exactly u-1 numbers in A smaller than it. Design an algorithm that outputs the k elements in A that have the ranks i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k .

- **2.A.** (20 PTS.) As a warm-up exercise describe how to solve this problem in O(nk) time.
- **2.B.** (60 PTS.) Describe a $O(n \log k)$ recursive algorithm for this problem. Prove the bound on the running time of the algorithm.
- **2.C.** (20 PTS.) Show, that if this problem can be solved in T(n, k) time, then one can sort n numbers in O(n+T(n,n)) time (i.e., give a reduction). Provide a strong intuitive reason why the above problem can not be solved in time faster than $O(n \log k)$.

3 (100 PTS.) Is good???

You are given an array A of n numbers (not necessarily sorted). You are given a function $\mathbf{isGood}(x)$, which can tell you for a number x if is good or not. A number x is \mathbf{good} if it is at most some unknown value α (i.e., $x \leq \alpha$). It is bad if $x > \alpha$. Think about calling \mathbf{isGood} as being an expensive operation, that your algorithm should perform as little as possible.

- **3.A.** (20 PTS.) (Easy.) Show how to compute all the numbers of A that are good using $O(\log n)$ calls to **isGood**. What is the running time of your algorithm. (Here, the solution should be short and simpler than what follows. (Here, short means a few lines.)
- **3.B.** (40 PTS.) Show how to find all the elements of A that are good using $O(\log n)$ calls to **isGood** and with total running time O(n). (The solution here should be simpler than the algorithm in (C)).
- **3.C.** (40 PTS.) **isGood** turns out to be better than good! Given a set Y of numbers, where $|Y| \le k$, the generalized **isGood**(Y), returns to you (in a single call) for each number of Y whether it is good or not. As a function of n and k describe an algorithm that (asymptotically) performs the minimal number of calls to the improved **isGood**. For full credit your algorithm should be as fast as possible. What is the running time of your algorithm? State the recurrences you used to derive your bounds. (Hint: Look on other problems in this homework.)