## Algorithms & Models of Computation CS/ECE 374, Fall 2017


# Non-deterministic Finite Automata (NFAs)

Lecture 4
Thursday, September 7, 2017

#### Part I

## NFA Introduction

#### Non-deterministic Finite State Automata (NFAs)




#### Differences from DFA

- From state q on same letter  $a \in \Sigma$  multiple possible states
- No transitions from q on some letters
- $\varepsilon$ -transitions!

#### Questions:

- Is this a "real" machine?
- What does it do?

#### Non-deterministic Finite State Automata (NFAs)




#### Differences from DFA

- From state q on same letter  $a \in \Sigma$  multiple possible states
- No transitions from q on some letters
- ε-transitions!

#### Questions:

- Is this a "real" machine?
- What does it do?

#### Non-deterministic Finite State Automata (NFAs)




#### Differences from DFA


- From state q on same letter  $a \in \Sigma$  multiple possible states
- No transitions from q on some letters
- ε-transitions!

#### **Questions:**


- Is this a "real" machine?
- What does it do?




- From  $q_{\varepsilon}$  on 1
- ullet From  $q_{arepsilon}$  on 0
- ullet From  $q_0$  on arepsilon
- From  $q_{\varepsilon}$  on 01
- From  $q_{00}$  on 00




- From  $q_{\varepsilon}$  on 1
- $\bullet$  From  $q_{\varepsilon}$  on 0
- ullet From  $q_0$  on arepsilon
- From  $q_{\varepsilon}$  on 01
- From  $q_{00}$  on 00




- ullet From  $oldsymbol{q}_arepsilon$  on  $oldsymbol{1}$
- From  $q_{\varepsilon}$  on 0
- ullet From  $q_0$  on arepsilon
- From  $q_{\varepsilon}$  on 01
- From  $q_{00}$  on 00



- ullet From  $oldsymbol{q}_arepsilon$  on  $oldsymbol{1}$
- From  $q_{\varepsilon}$  on 0
- ullet From  $oldsymbol{q}_0$  on  $oldsymbol{arepsilon}$
- From  $q_{\varepsilon}$  on 01
- From  $q_{00}$  on 00




- From  $q_{\varepsilon}$  on 1
- From  $q_{\varepsilon}$  on 0
- ullet From  $oldsymbol{q}_0$  on  $oldsymbol{arepsilon}$
- From  $q_{\varepsilon}$  on  $\mathbf{01}$
- From  $q_{00}$  on 00



- From  $q_{\varepsilon}$  on 1
- From  $q_{\varepsilon}$  on 0
- ullet From  $oldsymbol{q}_0$  on arepsilon
- From  $q_{\varepsilon}$  on  $\mathbf{01}$
- From  $q_{00}$  on 00


### NFA acceptance: informal



**Informal definition:** An NFA N accepts a string w iff some accepting state is reached by N from the start state on input w.


L(N) and defined as:  $L(N) = \{w \mid N \text{ accepts } w\}$ .

#### NFA acceptance: informal



**Informal definition:** An NFA N accepts a string w iff some accepting state is reached by N from the start state on input w.


The language accepted (or recognized) by a NFA N is denote by L(N) and defined as:  $L(N) = \{w \mid N \text{ accepts } w\}$ .




- Is 01 accepted?
- Is 001 accepted?
- Is 100 accepted?
- Are all strings in 1\*01 accepted?
- What is the language accepted by N?

**Comment:** Unlike DFAs, it is easier in NFAs to show that a string is accepted than to show that a string is **not** accepted.


6




- Is 01 accepted?
- Is **001** accepted?
- Is 100 accepted?
- Are all strings in 1\*01 accepted?
- What is the language accepted by N?




- Is 01 accepted?
- Is **001** accepted?
- Is 100 accepted?
- Are all strings in 1\*01 accepted?
- What is the language accepted by N?




- Is 01 accepted?
- Is **001** accepted?
- Is 100 accepted?
- Are all strings in 1\*01 accepted?
- What is the language accepted by N?



- Is 01 accepted?
- Is **001** accepted?
- Is 100 accepted?
- Are all strings in 1\*01 accepted?
- What is the language accepted by N?




- Is 01 accepted?
- Is **001** accepted?
- Is 100 accepted?
- Are all strings in 1\*01 accepted?
- What is the language accepted by N?



- Is 01 accepted?
- Is **001** accepted?
- Is 100 accepted?
- Are all strings in 1\*01 accepted?
- What is the language accepted by N?


Example the first



Run it on input ababa.


Idea: Keep track of the states where the NFA might be at any given time.

Example the first




Remaining input: ababa.

Example the first




Remaining input: ababa.




Remaining input: baba.

Example the first




Remaining input: baba.

Example the first




Remaining input: baba.




Remaining input: aba.

Example the first



Remaining input: aba.


Example the first



Remaining input: aba.


Remaining input: ba.

Example the first




Remaining input: ba.

Example the first




Remaining input: ba.




Remaining input: a.

Example the first




Remaining input: a.

#### Example the first




Remaining input: a.



Remaining input:  $\varepsilon$ .

Example the first



Remaining input:  $\varepsilon$ .

Accepts: ababa.

#### Formal Tuple Notation

#### **Definition**

A non-deterministic finite automata (NFA)  $N = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, s, A)$  is a five tuple where

- Q is a finite set whose elements are called states,
- Σ is a finite set called the input alphabet,
- $\delta: Q \times \Sigma \cup \{\varepsilon\} \to \mathcal{P}(Q)$  is the transition function (here  $\mathcal{P}(Q)$  is the power set of Q),
- $s \in Q$  is the start state,
- $A \subseteq Q$  is the set of accepting/final states.

 $\delta(q,a)$  for  $a \in \Sigma \cup \{\varepsilon\}$  is a subset of Q — a set of states.

8

#### Reminder: Power set


For a set Q its power set is:  $\mathcal{P}(Q) = 2^Q = \{X \mid X \subseteq Q\}$  is the set of all subsets of Q.

#### Example

$$Q = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$$


$$\mathcal{P}(Q) = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \{1, 2, 3, 4\}, \\ \{2, 3, 4\}, \{1, 3, 4\}, \{1, 2, 4\}, \{1, 2, 3\}, \\ \{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, \{1, 4\}, \{2, 3\}, \{2, 4\}, \{3, 4\}, \\ \{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\}, \{4\}, \\ \{\} \end{array} \right\}$$

## Example




- $Q = \{q_{\varepsilon}, q_0, q_{00}, q_p\}$
- $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$
- 8
- $s = q_{\varepsilon}$
- $\bullet \ A = \{q_p\}$


### Example




- $Q = \{q_{\varepsilon}, q_0, q_{00}, q_p\}$
- $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$
- 8
- $s = q_{\varepsilon}$
- $\bullet \ A = \{q_p\}$




- $Q = \{q_{\varepsilon}, q_0, q_{00}, q_p\}$
- $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$
- 8
- $s = q_{\varepsilon}$
- $\bullet \ A = \{q_p\}$




- $Q = \{q_{\varepsilon}, q_0, q_{00}, q_p\}$
- $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$
- 8
- $s = q_{\varepsilon}$
- $\bullet \ A = \{q_p\}$




- $Q = \{q_{\varepsilon}, q_0, q_{00}, q_p\}$
- $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$
- $\bullet$   $\delta$
- $s = q_{\varepsilon}$
- $\bullet \ A = \{q_p\}$




- $Q = \{q_{\varepsilon}, q_0, q_{00}, q_p\}$
- $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$
- $\bullet$   $\delta$
- $s = q_{\varepsilon}$
- $\bullet \ A = \{q_p\}$



- $Q = \{q_{\varepsilon}, q_0, q_{00}, q_p\}$
- $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$
- $\bullet$   $\delta$
- $s = q_{\varepsilon}$
- $\bullet \ A = \{q_p\}$




- $Q = \{q_{\varepsilon}, q_0, q_{00}, q_p\}$
- $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$
- $\bullet$   $\delta$
- $s = q_{\varepsilon}$
- $A = \{q_p\}$



- $Q = \{q_{\varepsilon}, q_0, q_{00}, q_p\}$
- $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$
- $\bullet$   $\delta$
- $s = q_{\varepsilon}$
- $\bullet \ A = \{q_p\}$

Transition function in detail...



$$egin{aligned} \delta(q_{arepsilon},arepsilon) &= \{q_{arepsilon}\} \ \delta(q_{arepsilon},arepsilon) &= \{q_{arepsilon},q_{00}\} \ \delta(q_{arepsilon},0) &= \{q_{arepsilon},q_{00}\} \ \delta(q_{arepsilon},1) &= \{q_{arepsilon}\} \ \delta(q_{0},1) &= \{\} \ \delta(q_{00},arepsilon) &= \{q_{00}\} \ \delta(q_{00},arepsilon) &= \{q_{00}\} \ \delta(q_{00},arepsilon) &= \{q_{p}\} \ \delta(q_{00},1) &= \{q_{p}\} \ \delta(q_{00},1) &= \{q_{p}\} \end{aligned}$$

- ②  $\delta(q, a)$ : set of states that N can go to from q on reading  $a \in \Sigma \cup \{\varepsilon\}$ .
- $exttt{0}$  Want transition function  $\delta^*: Q imes \mathbf{\Sigma}^* o \mathcal{P}(Q)$
- $\delta^*(q, w)$ : set of states reachable on input w starting in state q.


- **2**  $\delta(q, a)$ : set of states that N can go to from q on reading  $a \in \Sigma \cup \{\varepsilon\}$ .
- $exttt{0}$  Want transition function  $\delta^*: Q imes \mathbf{\Sigma}^* o \mathcal{P}(Q)$
- $\delta^*(q, w)$ : set of states reachable on input w starting in state q.

- ②  $\delta(q, a)$ : set of states that N can go to from q on reading  $a \in \Sigma \cup \{\varepsilon\}$ .
- **3** Want transition function  $\delta^*: Q \times \Sigma^* \to \mathcal{P}(Q)$
- $\delta^*(q, w)$ : set of states reachable on input w starting in state q.

- **2**  $\delta(q, a)$ : set of states that N can go to from q on reading  $a \in \Sigma \cup \{\varepsilon\}$ .
- **3** Want transition function  $\delta^*: Q \times \Sigma^* \to \mathcal{P}(Q)$
- $\delta^*(q, w)$ : set of states reachable on input w starting in state q.

#### **Definition**

For NFA  $N = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, s, A)$  and  $q \in Q$  the  $\epsilon$ -reach(q) is the set of all states that q can reach using only  $\epsilon$ -transitions.



#### **Definition**

For NFA  $N = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, s, A)$  and  $q \in Q$  the  $\epsilon$ -reach(q) is the set of all states that q can reach using only  $\epsilon$ -transitions.

#### Definition

Inductive definition of  $\delta^*: Q \times \Sigma^* \to \mathcal{P}(Q)$ :

- if  $w = \varepsilon$ ,  $\delta^*(q, w) = \epsilon \operatorname{reach}(q)$
- if w=a where  $a\in \Sigma$  $\delta^*(q,a)=\cup_{p\in \epsilon \operatorname{reach}(q)}(\cup_{r\in \delta(p,a)}\epsilon \operatorname{reach}(r))$
- if w = ax,  $\delta^*(q, w) = \bigcup_{p \in \epsilon \operatorname{reach}(q)} (\bigcup_{r \in \delta(p, a)} \delta^*(r, x))$

#### **Definition**

For NFA  $N = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, s, A)$  and  $q \in Q$  the  $\epsilon$ -reach(q) is the set of all states that q can reach using only  $\epsilon$ -transitions.

#### Definition

Inductive definition of  $\delta^*: Q \times \Sigma^* \to \mathcal{P}(Q)$ :

- if  $w = \varepsilon$ ,  $\delta^*(q, w) = \epsilon \operatorname{reach}(q)$
- if w = a where  $a \in \Sigma$  $\delta^*(q, a) = \bigcup_{p \in \epsilon \operatorname{reach}(q)} (\bigcup_{r \in \delta(p, a)} \epsilon \operatorname{reach}(r))$
- if w = ax,  $\delta^*(q, w) = \bigcup_{p \in \epsilon \operatorname{reach}(q)} (\bigcup_{r \in \delta(p, a)} \delta^*(r, x))$

#### **Definition**

For NFA  $N = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, s, A)$  and  $q \in Q$  the  $\epsilon$ -reach(q) is the set of all states that q can reach using only  $\epsilon$ -transitions.

#### Definition

Inductive definition of  $\delta^*: Q \times \Sigma^* \to \mathcal{P}(Q)$ :

- if  $w = \varepsilon$ ,  $\delta^*(q, w) = \epsilon \operatorname{reach}(q)$
- if w = a where  $a \in \Sigma$  $\delta^*(q, a) = \bigcup_{p \in \epsilon \operatorname{reach}(q)} (\bigcup_{r \in \delta(p, a)} \epsilon \operatorname{reach}(r))$
- if w = ax,  $\delta^*(q, w) = \bigcup_{p \in \epsilon \text{reach}(q)} (\bigcup_{r \in \delta(p, a)} \delta^*(r, x))$

# Formal definition of language accepted by N

#### **Definition**

A string w is accepted by NFA N if  $\delta_N^*(s, w) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ .

#### Definition

The language L(N) accepted by a NFA  $N = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, s, A)$  is

$$\{w \in \mathbf{\Sigma}^* \mid \delta^*(s, w) \cap A \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Important: Formal definition of the language of NFA above uses  $\delta^*$  and not  $\delta$ . As such, one does not need to include  $\varepsilon$ -transitions closure when specifying  $\delta$ , since  $\delta^*$  takes care of that.

# Formal definition of language accepted by N

#### **Definition**


A string w is accepted by NFA N if  $\delta_N^*(s, w) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ .

#### **Definition**


The language L(N) accepted by a NFA  $N = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, s, A)$  is

$$\{w \in \mathbf{\Sigma}^* \mid \delta^*(s, w) \cap A \neq \emptyset\}.$$


Important: Formal definition of the language of NFA above uses  $\delta^*$  and not  $\delta$ . As such, one does not need to include  $\varepsilon$ -transitions closure when specifying  $\delta$ , since  $\delta^*$  takes care of that.




- $\delta^*(s,\epsilon)$
- $\bullet$   $\delta^*(s,0)$
- $\delta^*(c,0)$
- $\delta^*(b,00)$



- $\delta^*(s,\epsilon)$
- $\delta^*(s,0)$
- $\delta^*(c,0)$
- $\delta^*(b,00)$



- $\delta^*(s,\epsilon)$
- $\delta^*(s,0)$
- $\delta^*(c,0)$
- $\delta^*(b, 00)$



- $\delta^*(s,\epsilon)$
- $\delta^*(s,0)$
- $\delta^*(c,0)$
- $\delta^*(b, 00)$

## Another definition of computation

#### **Definition**

 $q \xrightarrow{w}_{N} p$ : State p of NFA N is **reachable** from q on  $w \iff$  there exists a sequence of states  $r_0, r_1, \ldots, r_k$  and a sequence  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k$  where  $x_i \in \Sigma \cup \{\varepsilon\}$ , for each i, such that:

- $\bullet$   $r_0 = q$
- for each i,  $r_{i+1} \in \delta(r_i, x_{i+1})$ ,
- $\bullet$   $r_k = p$ , and
- $\bullet \ \ w = x_1 x_2 x_3 \cdots x_k.$

#### Definition

$$\delta^* N(q, w) = \left\{ p \in Q \mid q \xrightarrow{w}_N p \right\}.$$

## Why non-determinism?

- Non-determinism adds power to the model; richer programming language and hence (much) easier to "design" programs
- Fundamental in **theory** to prove many theorems
- Very important in practice directly and indirectly
- Many deep connections to various fields in Computer Science and Mathematics

Many interpretations of non-determinism. Hard to understand at the outset. Get used to it and then you will appreciate it slowly.


#### Part II

# Constructing NFAs


#### DFAs and NFAs

- Every DFA is a NFA so NFAs are at least as powerful as DFAs.
- NFAs prove ability to "guess and verify" which simplifies design and reduces number of states
- Easy proofs of some closure properties

Strings that represent decimal numbers.



Strings that represent decimal numbers.



- {strings that contain CS374 as a substring}
- {strings that contain CS374 or CS473 as a substring}
- {strings that contain CS374 and CS473 as substrings}

- {strings that contain CS374 as a substring}
- {strings that contain CS374 or CS473 as a substring}
- {strings that contain CS374 and CS473 as substrings}

- {strings that contain CS374 as a substring}
- {strings that contain CS374 or CS473 as a substring}
- {strings that contain CS374 and CS473 as substrings}

 $L_k = \{ \text{bitstrings that have a 1 } k \text{ positions from the end} \}$ 

#### A simple transformation

#### Theorem

For every NFA N there is another NFA N' such that L(N) = L(N') and such that N' has the following two properties:

- ullet N' has single final state f that has no outgoing transitions
- The start state **s** of **N** is different from **f**

#### Part III

# Closure Properties of NFAs

#### Closure properties of NFAs


Are the class of languages accepted by NFAs closed under the following operations?


- union
- intersection
- concatenation
- Kleene star
- complement

#### Closure under union

#### **Theorem**

For any two NFAs  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  there is a NFA N such that  $L(N) = L(N_1) \cup L(N_2)$ .







## Closure under union

#### **Theorem**

For any two NFAs  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  there is a NFA N such that  $L(N) = L(N_1) \cup L(N_2)$ .







## Closure under concatenation

#### Theorem

For any two NFAs  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  there is a NFA N such that  $L(N) = L(N_1) \cdot L(N_2)$ .







## Closure under concatenation

#### Theorem


For any two NFAs  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  there is a NFA N such that  $L(N) = L(N_1) \cdot L(N_2)$ .






#### Theorem

For any NFA  $N_1$  there is a NFA N such that  $L(N) = (L(N_1))^*$ .



#### **Theorem**


For any NFA  $N_1$  there is a NFA N such that  $L(N) = (L(N_1))^*$ .



Does not work! Why?

#### **Theorem**


For any NFA  $N_1$  there is a NFA N such that  $L(N) = (L(N_1))^*$ .



Does not work! Why?

### **Theorem**

For any NFA  $N_1$  there is a NFA N such that  $L(N) = (L(N_1))^*$ .



## Part IV

NFAs capture Regular Languages

## Regular Languages Recap

### Regular Languages

```
\emptyset regular \{\epsilon\} regular \{a\} regular for a \in \Sigma R_1 \cup R_2 regular if both are R_1R_2 regular if both are R^* is regular if R is
```

### **Regular Expressions**

```
\emptyset denotes \emptyset
\epsilon denotes \{\epsilon\}
a denote \{a\}
\mathbf{r}_1 + \mathbf{r}_2 denotes R_1 \cup R_2
\mathbf{r}_1\mathbf{r}_2 denotes R_1R_2
\mathbf{r}^* denote R^*
```

Regular expressions denote regular languages — they explicitly show the operations that were used to form the language

### **Theorem**

For every regular language L there is an NFA N such that L = L(N).

### Proof strategy:

- For every regular expression r show that there is a NFA N such that L(r) = L(N)
- Induction on length of r

- For every regular expression r show that there is a NFA N such that L(r) = L(N)
- Induction on length of r

Base cases:  $\emptyset$ ,  $\{\varepsilon\}$ ,  $\{a\}$  for  $a \in \Sigma$ .

- For every regular expression r show that there is a NFA N such that L(r) = L(N)
- Induction on length of r

- $r_1$ ,  $r_2$  regular expressions and  $r = r_1 + r_2$ . By induction there are NFAs  $N_1$ ,  $N_2$  s.t  $L(N_1) = L(r_1)$  and  $L(N_2) = L(r_2)$ . We have already seen that there is NFA N s.t  $L(N) = L(N_1) \cup L(N_2)$ , hence L(N) = L(r)
- $r = r_1 \cdot r_2$ . Use closure of NFA languages under concatenation
- $r = (r_1)^*$ . Use closure of NFA languages under Kleene star

- For every regular expression r show that there is a NFA N such that L(r) = L(N)
- Induction on length of r

- $r_1$ ,  $r_2$  regular expressions and  $r = r_1 + r_2$ . By induction there are NFAs  $N_1$ ,  $N_2$  s.t  $L(N_1) = L(r_1)$  and  $L(N_2) = L(r_2)$ . We have already seen that there is NFA N s.t  $L(N) = L(N_1) \cup L(N_2)$ , hence L(N) = L(r)
- $r = r_1 \cdot r_2$ . Use closure of NFA languages under concatenation
- $r = (r_1)^*$ . Use closure of NFA languages under Kleene star

- For every regular expression r show that there is a NFA N such that L(r) = L(N)
- Induction on length of r

- $r_1$ ,  $r_2$  regular expressions and  $r = r_1 + r_2$ . By induction there are NFAs  $N_1$ ,  $N_2$  s.t  $L(N_1) = L(r_1)$  and  $L(N_2) = L(r_2)$ . We have already seen that there is NFA N s.t  $L(N) = L(N_1) \cup L(N_2)$ , hence L(N) = L(r)
- $r = r_1 \cdot r_2$ . Use closure of NFA languages under concatenation
- $r = (r_1)^*$ . Use closure of NFA languages under Kleene star

- For every regular expression r show that there is a NFA N such that L(r) = L(N)
- Induction on length of r

- $r_1$ ,  $r_2$  regular expressions and  $r = r_1 + r_2$ . By induction there are NFAs  $N_1$ ,  $N_2$  s.t  $L(N_1) = L(r_1)$  and  $L(N_2) = L(r_2)$ . We have already seen that there is NFA N s.t  $L(N) = L(N_1) \cup L(N_2)$ , hence L(N) = L(r)
- $r = r_1 \cdot r_2$ . Use closure of NFA languages under concatenation
- $r = (r_1)^*$ . Use closure of NFA languages under Kleene star

- For every regular expression r show that there is a NFA N such that L(r) = L(N)
- Induction on length of r

- $r_1$ ,  $r_2$  regular expressions and  $r = r_1 + r_2$ . By induction there are NFAs  $N_1$ ,  $N_2$  s.t  $L(N_1) = L(r_1)$  and  $L(N_2) = L(r_2)$ . We have already seen that there is NFA N s.t  $L(N) = L(N_1) \cup L(N_2)$ , hence L(N) = L(r)
- $r = r_1 \cdot r_2$ . Use closure of NFA languages under concatenation
- $r = (r_1)^*$ . Use closure of NFA languages under Kleene star

- For every regular expression r show that there is a NFA N such that L(r) = L(N)
- Induction on length of r

- $r_1$ ,  $r_2$  regular expressions and  $r = r_1 + r_2$ . By induction there are NFAs  $N_1$ ,  $N_2$  s.t  $L(N_1) = L(r_1)$  and  $L(N_2) = L(r_2)$ . We have already seen that there is NFA N s.t  $L(N) = L(N_1) \cup L(N_2)$ , hence L(N) = L(r)
- $r = r_1 \cdot r_2$ . Use closure of NFA languages under concatenation
- $r = (r_1)^*$ . Use closure of NFA languages under Kleene star

- For every regular expression r show that there is a NFA N such that L(r) = L(N)
- Induction on length of r

- $r_1$ ,  $r_2$  regular expressions and  $r = r_1 + r_2$ . By induction there are NFAs  $N_1$ ,  $N_2$  s.t  $L(N_1) = L(r_1)$  and  $L(N_2) = L(r_2)$ . We have already seen that there is NFA N s.t  $L(N) = L(N_1) \cup L(N_2)$ , hence L(N) = L(r)
- $r = r_1 \cdot r_2$ . Use closure of NFA languages under concatenation
- $r = (r_1)^*$ . Use closure of NFA languages under Kleene star

# Example


$$(\epsilon+0)(1+10)^*$$

$$\rightarrow (\epsilon+0) \rightarrow (1+10)^*$$


$$\downarrow 0$$


$$\downarrow (1+10)$$

# Example



# Example





Final NFA simplified slightly to reduce states