Describe recursive backtracking algorithms for the following problems. Don't worry about running times.

LONGEST INCREASING SUBSEQUENCE. Given an array A[1..n] of integers, compute the length of a longest increasing subsequence. A sequence $B[1..\ell]$ is increasing if B[i] > B[i-1] for every index $i \ge 2$. For example, given the array

$$\langle 3, \underline{1}, \underline{4}, 1, \underline{5}, 9, 2, \underline{6}, 5, 3, 5, \underline{8}, \underline{9}, 7, 9, 3, 2, 3, 8, 4, 6, 2, 7 \rangle$$

your algorithm should return the integer 6, because (1, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9) is a longest increasing subsequence (one of many).

Solution:

 $[\#1 \text{ of } \infty]$ Add a sentinel value $A[0] = -\infty$. Let LIS(i,j) denote the length of the longest increasing subsequence of $A[j \dots n]$ where every element is larger than A[i]. This function obeys the following recurrence:

$$LIS(i,j) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j > n \\ LIS(i,j+1) & \text{if } j \leq n \text{ and } A[i] \geq A[j] \\ \max \left\{ LIS(i,j+1), 1 + LIS(j,j+1) \right\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We need to compute LIS(0,1).

Solution:

 $[\#2 \text{ of } \infty]$ Add a sentinel value $A[n+1] = -\infty$. Let LIS(i,j) denote the length of the longest increasing subsequence of $A[1 \dots j]$ where every element is smaller than A[j]. This function obeys the following recurrence:

$$LIS(i,j) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i < 1\\ LIS(i-1,j) & \text{if } i \ge 1 \text{ and } A[i] \ge A[j]\\ \max\left\{LIS(i-1,j), 1 + LIS(i-1,i)\right\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We need to compute LIS(n, n + 1).

Solution:

 $[\#3 \text{ of } \infty]$ Let LIS(i) denote the length of the longest increasing subsequence of $A[i \dots n]$ that begins with A[i]. This function obeys the following recurrence:

$$LIS(i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } A[j] \le A[i] \text{ for all } j > i \\ 1 + \max\{LIS(j)\} j > i \text{ and } A[j] > A[i] & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(The first case is actually redundant if we define $\max \emptyset = 0$.) We need to compute $\max_i LIS(i)$.

Solution:

 $[\#4 \text{ of } \infty]$ Add a sentinel value $A[0] = -\infty$. Let LIS(i) denote the length of the longest increasing subsequence of A[i ... n] that begins with A[i]. This function obeys the following recurrence:

$$LIS(i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } A[j] \le A[i] \text{ for all } j > i \\ 1 + \max\left\{LIS(j)\right\}j > i \text{ and } A[j] > A[i] & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(The first case is actually redundant if we define $\max \emptyset = 0$.) We need to compute LIS(0) - 1; the -1 removes the sentinel $-\infty$ from the start of the subsequence.

Solution:

 $[\#5 \text{ of } \infty]$ Add sentinel values $A[0] = -\infty$ and $A[n+1] = \infty$. Let LIS(j) denote the length of the longest increasing subsequence of $A[1 \dots j]$ that ends with A[j]. This function obeys the following recurrence:

$$\mathit{LIS}(j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j = 0 \\ 1 + \max\left\{\mathit{LIS}(i)\right\}i < j \text{ and } A[i] < A[j] & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We need to compute LIS(n+1)-2; the -2 removes the sentinels $-\infty$ and ∞ from the subsequence.

LONGEST DECREASING SUBSEQUENCE. Given an array A[1..n] of integers, compute the length of a longest decreasing subsequence. A sequence $B[1..\ell]$ is decreasing if B[i] < B[i-1] for every index $i \ge 2$. For example, given the array

$$\langle 3, 1, 4, 1, 5, \underline{9}, 2, \underline{6}, 5, 3, \underline{5}, 8, 9, 7, 9, 3, 2, 3, 8, \underline{4}, 6, \underline{2}, 7 \rangle$$

your algorithm should return the integer 5, because (9,6,5,4,2) is a longest decreasing subsequence (one of many).

Solution:

[one of many] Add a sentinel value $A[0] = \infty$. Let LDS(i, j) denote the length of the longest decreasing subsequence of A[j ... n] where every element is smaller than A[i]. This function obeys the following recurrence:

$$LDS(i,j) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j > n \\ LDS(i,j+1) & \text{if } j \le n \text{ and } A[i] \le A[j] \\ \max \{LDS(i,j+1), 1 + LIS(j,j+1)\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We need to compute LDS(0,1).

Solution:

[clever] Multiply every element of A by -1, and then compute the length of the longest increasing subsequence using the algorithm from problem 1.

3 Longest alternating subsequence.

Given an array A[1..n] of integers, compute the length of a longest alternating subsequence. A sequence $B[1..\ell]$ is alternating if B[i] < B[i-1] for every even index $i \ge 2$, and B[i] > B[i-1] for every odd index $i \ge 3$.

For example, given the array

$$\langle \underline{\mathbf{3}}, \underline{\mathbf{1}}, \underline{\mathbf{4}}, \underline{\mathbf{1}}, \underline{\mathbf{5}}, 9, \underline{\mathbf{2}}, \underline{\mathbf{6}}, \underline{\mathbf{5}}, 3, 5, \underline{\mathbf{8}}, 9, \underline{\mathbf{7}}, \underline{\mathbf{9}}, \underline{\mathbf{3}}, 2, 3, \underline{\mathbf{8}}, \underline{\mathbf{4}}, \underline{\mathbf{6}}, \underline{\mathbf{2}}, \underline{\mathbf{7}} \rangle$$

your algorithm should return 17, because (3,1,4,1,5,2,6,5,8,7,9,3,8,4,6,2,7) is a longest alternating subsequence (one of many).

Solution:

[one of many] We define two functions:

- Let $LAS^+(i,j)$ denote the length of the longest alternating subsequence of A[j ... n] whose first element (if any) is larger than A[i] and whose second element (if any) is smaller than its first.
- Let $LAS^-(i,j)$ denote the length of the longest alternating subsequence of A[j ... n] whose first element (if any) is smaller than A[i] and whose second element (if any) is larger than its first.

These two functions satisfy the following mutual recurrences:

$$LAS^{+}(i,j) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j > n \\ LAS^{+}(i,j+1) & \text{if } j \leq n \text{ and } A[j] \leq A[i] \\ \max \left\{ LAS^{+}(i,j+1), 1 + LAS^{-}(j,j+1) \right\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$LAS^{-}(i,j) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j > n \\ LAS^{-}(i,j+1) & \text{if } j \leq n \text{ and } A[j] \geq A[i] \\ \max \left\{ LAS^{-}(i,j+1), 1 + LAS^{+}(i,j+1) \right\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

To simplify computation, we consider two different sentinel values A[0]. First we set $A[0] = -\infty$ and let $\ell^+ = LAS^+(0,1)$. Then we set $A[0] = +\infty$ and let $\ell^- = LAS^-(0,1)$. Finally, the length of the longest alternating subsequence of A is max $\{\ell^+, \ell^-\}$.

Solution:

[one of many] We define two functions:

- Let $LAS^+(i)$ denote the length of the longest alternating subsequence of A[i ... n] that starts with A[i] and whose second element (if any) is larger than A[i].
- Let $LAS^-(i)$ denote the length of the longest alternating subsequence of A[i ... n] that starts with A[i] and whose second element (if any) is smaller than A[i].

These two functions satisfy the following mutual recurrences:

$$LAS^{+}(i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } A[j] \leq A[i] \text{ for all } j > i \\ 1 + \max\left\{LAS^{-}(j)\right\}j > i \text{ and } A[j] > A[i] & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$LAS^{-}(i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } A[j] \geq A[i] \text{ for all } j > i \\ 1 + \max\left\{LAS^{+}(j)\right\}j > i \text{ and } A[j] < A[i] & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We need to compute $\max_i \max \{LAS^+(i), LAS^-(i)\}$.

To think about later:

Given an array A[1..n] of integers, compute the length of a longest **convex** subsequence of A.

Solution:

Let LCS(i, j) denote the length of the longest convex subsequence of A[i ... n] whose first two elements are A[i] and A[j]. This function obeys the following recurrence:

$$LCS(i, j) = 1 + \max\{LCS(j, k)\} j < k \le n \text{ and } A[i] + A[k] > 2A[j]$$

Here we define $\max \emptyset = 0$; this gives us a working base case. The length of the longest convex subsequence is $\max_{1 \le i \le n} LCS(i, j)$.

Solution:

[with sentinels] Assume without loss of generality that $A[i] \ge 0$ for all i. (Otherwise, we can add |m| to each A[i], where m is the smallest element of A[1 ... n].) Add two sentinel values A[0] = 2M + 1 and A[-1] = 4M + 3, where M is the largest element of A[1 ... n].

Let LCS(i, j) denote the length of the longest convex subsequence of A[i ... n] whose first two elements are A[i] and A[j]. This function obeys the following recurrence:

$$LCS(i, j) = 1 + \max\{LCS(j, k)\} j < k \le n \text{ and } A[i] + A[k] > 2A[j]$$

Here we define $\max \emptyset = 0$; this gives us a working base case.

Finally, we claim that the length of the longest convex subsequence of A[1..n] is LCS(-1,0)-2.

Proof: First, consider any convex subsequence S of A[1 ... n], and suppose its first element is A[i]. Then we have A[-1] - 2A[0] + A[i] = 4M + 3 - 2(2M + 1) + A[i] = A[i] + 1 > 0, which implies that $A[-1] \cdot A[0] \cdot S$ is a convex subsequence of A[-1 ... n]. So the longest convex subsequence of A[1 ... n] has length at most LCS(-1, 0) - 2.

On the other hand, removing A[-1] and A[0] from any convex subsequence of A[-1...n] laves a convex subsequence of A[1...n]. So the longest subsequence of A[1...n] has length at least LCS(-1,0) - 2.

2 Given an array A[1..n], compute the length of a longest **palindrome** subsequence of A.

Solution:

[naive] Let LPS(i, j) denote the length of the longest palindrome subsequence of A[i ... j]. This function obeys the following recurrence:

$$LPS(i,j) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i > j \\ 1 & \text{if } i = j \\ \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} LPS(i+1,j) \\ LPS(i,j-1) \end{array} \right\} & \text{if } i < j \text{ and } A[i] \neq A[j] \\ \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 2 + LPS(i+1,j-1) \\ LPS(i+1,j) \\ LPS(i,j-1) \end{array} \right\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Solution:

ı

[with greedy optimization] Let LPS(i, j) denote the length of the longest palindrome subsequence of A[i...j]. Before stating a recurrence for this function, we make the following useful observation.¹

Claim 0.1. If
$$i < j$$
 and $A[i] = A[j]$, then LPS $(i, j) = 2 + \text{LPS}(i + 1, j - 1)$.

Proof: Suppose i < j and A[i] = A[j]. Fix an arbitrary longest palindrome subsequence S of A[i ... j]. There are four cases to consider.

- If S uses neither A[i] nor A[j], then A[i] S A[j] is a palindrome subsequence of A[i ... j] that is longer than S, which is impossible.
- Suppose S uses A[i] but not A[j]. Let A[k] be the last element of S. If k = i, then A[i] A[j] is a palindrome subsequence of A[i ... j] that is longer than S, which is impossible. Otherwise, replacing A[k] with A[j] gives us a palindrome subsequence of A[i ... j] with the same length as S that uses both A[i] and A[j].
- Suppose S uses A[j] but not A[i]. Let A[h] be the first element of S. If h = j, then A[i] A[j] is a palindrome subsequence of A[i ... j] that is longer than S, which is impossible. Otherwise, replacing A[h] with A[i] gives us a palindrome subsequence of A[i ... j] with the same length as S that uses both A[i] and A[j].
- Finally, S might include both A[i] and A[j].

In all cases, we find either a contradiction or a longest palindrome subsequence of A[i ... j] that uses both A[i] and A[j].

Claim 1 implies that the function LPS satisfies the following recurrence:

$$LPS(i,j) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i > j \\ 1 & \text{if } i = j \\ \max \left\{ LPS(i+1,j), \ LPS(i,j-1) \right\} & \text{if } i < j \text{ and } A[i] \neq A[j] \\ 2 + LPS(i+1,j-1) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We need to compute LPS(1, n).