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Introduction
What is Deep Learning?
Why do we need DL accelerators?

- DL models essentially comprise of compute intensive operations like matrix multiplication, convolution, FFT etc.
- Input data for these models is usually of the order of GBs
- Large amount of computation over massive amounts of data
- CPUs support computations spanning all kinds of applications, hence they are bound to be slower when compared to an application specific hardware
- CPUs are sophisticated due to their need to optimize control flow (branch prediction, speculation etc.) while Deep Learning barely has any control flow
- Energy consumption can be minimized with specialization

350k tweets / minute
350M images / day
300 hours of video / minute

Sources: Twitter Facebook Youtube
A Primer on Neural Networks

Matrix Multiplication

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
X_1 & \ldots & X_n
\end{bmatrix} \times
\begin{bmatrix}
W_{11} & \ldots & W_{1n} \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
W_{n1} & \ldots & W_{nn}
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
X_1 W_{11} + \ldots + X_n W_{n1} \\
\ldots \\
X_1 W_{1n} + \ldots + X_n W_{nn}
\end{bmatrix}
\]
Tensor Processing Unit (Google)
Tensor Processing Unit [TPU]

- Developed by Google to accelerate neural network computations
- Production-ready co-processor connected to host via PCIe
- Powers many of Google’s services like Translate, Search, Photos, Gmail etc.
- Why not GPUs?
  - GPUs don’t meet the latency requirements for performing inference
  - GPUs tend to be underutilized for inference due to small batch sizes
  - GPUs are still relatively general-purpose
- Host sends instructions to TPU rather than the TPU fetching it itself
- “TPU closer in spirit to a Floating Point Unit rather than a GPU”
TPU Architecture

- Host sends instructions over PCIe bus into the instruction buffer
- Matrix Multiply Unit (MMU)
  - “heart” of TPU
  - 256x256 8-bit MACs
- Accumulators
  - aggregate partial sums
- Weight Memory (WM)
  - off-chip DRAM - 8 GB
- Weight FIFO (WFIFO)
  - on-chip fetcher to read from WM
- Unified Buffer (UB)
  - on-chip for intermediate values
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TPU Architecture

- **Host sends instructions over PCIe bus into instruction buffer**
- **Matrix Multiply Unit (MMU)**
  - "heart" of TPU
  - 256x256 8-bit MACs
- **Accumulators**
  - aggregate partial sums
- **Weight Memory (WM)**
  - off-chip DRAM - 8 GB
- **Weight FIFO (WFIFO)**
  - on-chip fetcher to read from WM
- **Unified Buffer (UB)**
  - on-chip for intermediate values
MMU implemented as a systolic array

CPUs and GPUs often spend energy to access multiple registers per operation. A systolic array chains multiple ALUs together, reusing the result of reading a single register.

Multiplying an input vector by a weight matrix with a systolic array
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TPU ISA

- CISC instructions (average CPI = 10 to 20 cycles)
- 12 instructions
  - **Read.Host.Memory**: reads data from host memory into Unified Buffer
  - **Read.Weights**: reads weights from Weights Memory into Weight FIFO
  - **MatrixMultiply/Convolve**: perform matmul/convolution on data from UB and WM and store into Accumulators
    - B X 256 input and 256 X 256 weight => B X 256 output in B cycles (pipelined)
  - **Activate**: apply activation function on inputs from Accumulator and store into Unified Buffer
  - **Write.Host.Memory**: writes data from Unified Buffer into host memory
- Software stack - application code to be run on TPU written in Tensorflow and compiled into an API which can be run on TPU (or even GPU)
Evaluation

- Performance comparison based on predictions per second on common DL workloads
  - overpowers GPUs massively for CNNs
  - performs reasonably well than GPUs for MLPs
  - performs close to GPUs for LSTMs

- Good
  - programmability
  - production ready

- Bad
  - converts convolution into matmul which may not be most optimal
  - no direct support for sparsity

CPU, GPU and TPU performance on six reference workloads (in log scale)
Nvidia Tesla V100

- Tensor cores
  - programmable matrix-multiply-and-accumulate units
  - 8 cores/SM => total = 640 cores
  - input - 4x4 matrices
    - A, B must be FP16
    - C, D can be FP16/FP32
- Exposed as Warp-level matmul operation in CUDA 9
- Specialized matrix load/multiply/accumulate/store operations
- Part of multi GPU system optimized using NvLink interconnect and High Bandwidth Memory
Cloud TPU

- Part of Google Cloud
- Each node comprises of 4 chips
- 2 “tensor cores” per chip
  - each core has scalar, vector and matrix units (MXU)
  - 8/16 GB on-chip HBM per core
- 8 cores per cloud TPU node coupled with high bandwidth interconnect
- TPU Estimator APIs used to generate tensorflow computation graph, which is sent over gRPC and Just In Time compiled onto the cloud TPU node

TPU chip (v2 and v3) as part of cloud TPU node
Convolutional Neural Networks

- Each convolution layer identifies certain fine grained features from the input image, aggregating over features from previous layers.
- Very often there are certain optional layers in between CONV layers such as NORM/POOL layers to reduce the range/size of input values.
- Convolutions account for more than 90% of overall computation, dominating runtime and energy consumption.
2D Convolution operation

- 2D convolution is a set of multiply and accumulate operations of the kernel matrix (also known as filter) and the input image feature map by sliding the filter over the image.

Image Source: [Understanding Convolutional Layers in Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs)](https://www.tensorflow.org/guide/convolutional)
**Multi-channel input with multi-channel filters**

- Each filter and fmap have C channels -> the application of a filter on an input fmap across C channels results in one cell of the output fmap.
- Rest of the cells of the output fmap are obtained by sliding the filter over the input fmap producing one channel of the output fmap.
- Application of M such filters results in a single M channeled output fmap with as many channels as the number of filters.
- Previous steps are batched over multiple input fmaps resulting in multiple output fmaps.
Things to note

- Operations exhibit high parallelism
  - High throughput possible
- Memory access is the bottleneck
- Lot of scope for data reuse

**WORST CASE:** all memory R/W are DRAM accesses

Example: AlexNet [NIPS 2012] -> 724M MACs = 2896M DRAM accesses required
Memory access is the bottleneck

Extra levels of local memory hierarchy
Memory access is the bottleneck

Opportunities:
1. Reuse filters/fmap reducing DRAM reads
Memory access is the bottleneck

Opportunities:
1. Reuse filters/fmap reducing DRAM reads
2. Partial sum accumulation does not have to access DRAM
Types of data reuse in DNN

**Convolutional Reuse**
- CONV layers only
- (sliding window)

**Fmap Reuse**
- CONV and FC layers

**Filter Reuse**
- CONV and FC layers
- (batch size > 1)

Reuse:
- **Activations**
- **Filter weights**
- **Activations**
- **Filter weights**
Spatial Architecture for DNN

- Efficient Data Reuse
  Distributed local storage (RF)

- Inter PE communication
  Sharing among regions of PEs
Data movement is expensive

- DRAM
- Global Buffer
- Process Elements (PE)
- ALU
- Fetch data to run a MAC here

Normalized Energy Cost:
- 1× (Reference)
- 1×
- 2×
- 6×
- 200×

- NoC: 200 – 1000 PEs
- Buffer: 0.5 – 1.0 kB
- Buffer: 100 – 500 kB
Data movement is expensive

How to exploit data reuse and local accumulation with limited low-cost local storage?
How to exploit data reuse and local accumulation with limited low-cost local storage?

Data movement is expensive

Require specialized processing dataflow!
Dataflow Taxonomy

- Weight Stationary (WS) - reduce movement of filter weights
- Output Stationary (OS) - reduce movement of partial sums
- No Local Reuse (NLR) - no local storage at the PE, use a global buffer of larger size
Weight Stationary

- Minimize weight read energy consumption
  - maximize convolutional and filter reuse of weights

- Broadcast activations and accumulate psums spatially across the PE array.

Examples: Chakradhar [ISCA 2010], Origami [GLSVLSI 2015]
Output Stationary

- Minimize partial sum R/W energy consumption
  - maximize local accumulation

- Broadcast/Multicast filter weights and reuse activations spatially across the PE array

Examples: Gupta [ICML 2015], ShiDianNao [ISCA 2015]
No Local Reuse

- Use a **large global buffer** as shared storage
  - Reduce **DRAM** access energy consumption

- **Multicast activations**, single-cast **weights**, and accumulate **psums spatially** across the PE array

Examples: DaDianNao [MICRO 2014], Zhang [FPGA 2015]
Eyeriss’ data flow: Row Stationary

- Previous approaches only optimize for certain types of data reuse -> this may lead to performance degradation when input dimensions vary
- Eyeriss maximizes reuse and accumulation at RF
- Eyeriss optimizes for overall energy efficiency instead of only a specific input type (input fmap, filters, psums)
- Eyeriss tries to break high dimensional convolution into 1D convolutional primitives which operate on one row of filter weights, one row of input feature map generating one row of partial sums => “Row Stationary”
1D Row Convolution in PE

Filter

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
  a & b & c \\
\end{array}
\]

Input Fmap

\[
\begin{array}{ccccc}
  a & b & c & d & e \\
\end{array}
\]

Partial Sums

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
  a & b & c \\
\end{array}
\]

Reg File

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
  c & b & a \\
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
  e & d & c & b & a \\
\end{array}
\]

PE
1D Row Convolution in PE

Filter: \[ \begin{array}{ccc} a & b & c \end{array} \]

Input Fmap: \[ \begin{array}{cccc} a & b & c & d & e \end{array} \]

Partial Sums: \[ \begin{array}{ccc} a & b & c \end{array} \]

Reg File: \[ \begin{array}{ccc} c & b & a \end{array} \]

PE:
1D Row Convolution in PE

Filter

Input Fmap

Partial Sums

Reg File

PE
1D Row Convolution in PE

- Maximize row convolutional reuse in RF
  - Keep a filter row and fmap sliding window in RF
- Maximize row psum accumulation in RF
2D convolution in a PE array
2D convolution in a PE array

Row 1

PE 1
Row 1 * Row 1

PE 2
Row 2 * Row 2

PE 3
Row 3 * Row 3

* [grid] = [grid]
2D convolution in a PE array
2D convolution in a PE array
Convolutional Reuse Maximized

Filter rows are reused across PEs horizontally.
Convolutional Reuse Maximized

Fmap rows are reused across PEs diagonally.
Convolutional Reuse Maximized

Partial sums accumulated across PEs vertically
DNN Processing - The Full Picture
Mapping DNN to the PEs

Compilation
- DNN Shape and Size (Program)
- Mapper (Compiler)

Execution
- Dataflow, … (Architecture)
- Implementation Details (μArch)
- DNN Accelerator (Processor)

Mapping (Binary)
- Processed Data
- Input Data
Eyeriss Deep CNN Accelerator

Link Clock | Core Clock
---|---

Filter
Input Fmap
Decomp
Global Buffer SRAM 108KB
Output Fmap
Comp
ReLU

Filt
Fmap
Psum

14x12 PE Array

Off-Chip DRAM 64 bits
Evaluation

- Same total area
- AlexNet CONV layers
- 256 PEs
- Batch size = 16

The bar chart compares different variants of OS with respect to their normalized energy/MAC. The CNN dataflows include WS, OS_A, OS_B, OS_C, NLR, and Row Stationary.
How do Eyeriss and TPU compare?

- **Programmability?**
  - TPU is far more programmable than Eyeriss
- **Usability?**
  - TPU is relatively more general purpose while Eyeriss is highly optimized for CNNs
- **Memory hierarchy?**
  - Eyeriss' memory hierarchy also includes Inter PE communication while TPU's does not explicitly
- **Applications?**
  - TPUs are being pushed towards training workloads while Eyeriss is optimized for inference
- **Energy?**
- **Chip size and cost?**
Many more DL accelerators...

- State-of-the-art neural networks (AlexNet, ResNet, LeNet etc)
  - large in size
  - high power consumption due to memory access
  - difficult to deploy on embedded devices
- End-to-end deployment solution (Song et.al.)
  - use “deep compression” to make network fit into SRAM
  - deploy it on EIE (Energy efficient Inference Engine) which accelerates resulting sparse vector matrix multiplication on the compressed network
- Accelerators for other DL models
  - Generative Adversarial Networks - GANAX (Amir et.al.)
  - RNNs, LSTMs - FPGA based accelerators, ESE (Song et.al.)
- Mobile phone SoCs
  - Google Pixel 2 - Visual Core, IPhone X - Neural Engine, Samsung Exynos - NPU
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