

Chapter 5: The Data Link Layer

Our goals:

- ❑ understand principles behind data link layer services:
 - error detection, correction
 - sharing a broadcast channel: multiple access
 - link layer addressing
 - reliable data transfer, flow control: *done!*
- ❑ instantiation and implementation of various link layer technologies

Link Layer

❑ 5.1 Introduction and services

❑ 5.2 Error detection and correction

❑ 5.3 Multiple access protocols

❑ 5.4 Link-Layer Addressing

❑ 5.5 Ethernet

❑ 5.6 Hubs and switches

❑ 5.7 PPP

Point to point protocol

10%

60%

10%



20%

Link Layer: Introduction

Some terminology:

- ❑ hosts and routers are **nodes**
- ❑ communication channels that connect adjacent nodes along communication path are **links**
 - wired links
 - wireless links
 - LANs
- ❑ layer-2 packet is a **frame**, encapsulates datagram

Link Layer



data-link layer has responsibility of transferring datagram from one node to adjacent node over a link

Link layer: context


- Datagram transferred by different link protocols over different links:
 - e.g., Ethernet on first link, frame relay on intermediate links, 802.11 on last link
- Each link protocol provides different

Traffic lights,
Airport control,
Platform scheduling,

transportation analogy

- trip from Princeton to Lausanne
 - limo: Princeton to JFK
 - plane: JFK to Geneva
 - train: Geneva to Lausanne
- tourist = **datagram**
- transport segment = **communication link**
- travel agent = **routing algorithm**
- transportation access = **link layer protocol**

Link Layer Services



□ Framing, link access:

- encapsulate datagram into frame, adding header, trailer
- channel access if shared medium
- "MAC" addresses used in frame headers to identify source, dest
 - different from IP address!

Medium Access Control

□ Reliable delivery between adjacent nodes

- we learned how to do this already (chapter 3)!
- seldom used on low bit error link (fiber, some twisted pair)
- wireless links: high error rates
 - Q: why both link-level and end-end reliability?


Link Layer Services (more)

□ *Flow Control:*

- pacing between adjacent sending and receiving nodes

□ *Error Detection:*

- errors caused by signal attenuation, noise.
- receiver detects presence of errors:
 - signals sender for retransmission or drops frame



□ *Error Correction:*


- receiver identifies *and corrects* bit error(s) without resorting to retransmission

□ *Half-duplex and full-duplex*

- with half duplex, nodes at both ends of link can transmit, but not at same time



Adaptors Communicating




- link layer implemented in "adaptor" (aka NIC)
 - Ethernet card, PCMCIA card, 802.11 card
- sending side:
 - encapsulates datagram in a frame
 - adds error checking bits, rdt, flow control, etc.
- receiving side
 - looks for errors, rdt, flow control, etc
 - extracts datagram, passes to rcving node
- adapter is semi-autonomous
- link & physical layers

Link Layer

- ❑ 5.1 Introduction and services
- ❑ 5.2 Error detection and correction
- ❑ 5.3 Multiple access protocols
- ❑ 5.4 Link-Layer Addressing
- ❑ 5.5 Ethernet

- ❑ 5.6 Hubs and switches
- ❑ 5.7 PPP
- ❑ 5.8 Link Virtualization: ATM




Error Detection

EDC= Error Detection and Correction bits (redundancy)

D = Data protected by error checking, may include header fields


- Error detection not 100% reliable!
 - protocol may miss some errors, but rarely
 - larger EDC field yields better detection and correction



Parity Checking

Single Bit Parity:

Detect single bit errors




Detect Error

EDC

Two Dimensional Bit Parity:

Detect *and correct* single bit errors



Correct The error

1	0	1	0	1	1
1	1	1	1	0	0
0	1	1	1	0	1
0	0	1	0	1	0

no errors

1	0	1	0	1	1
1	0	1	1	0	0
0	1	1	1	0	1
0	0	1	0	1	0

parity error

correctable single bit error

ECC

Internet checksum

Goal: detect "errors" (e.g., flipped bits) in transmitted segment (note: used at transport layer *only*)

Sender:

- ❑ treat segment contents as sequence of 16-bit integers
- ❑ checksum: addition (1's complement sum) of segment contents
- ❑ sender puts checksum value into UDP checksum field


Receiver:







- ❑ compute checksum of received segment
- ❑ check if computed checksum equals checksum field value:
 - NO - error detected
 - YES - no error detected. *But maybe errors nonetheless?*
More later

Link Layer


- ❑ 5.1 Introduction and services
- ❑ 5.2 Error detection and correction
- ❑ 5.3 Multiple access protocols
- ❑ 5.4 Link-Layer Addressing
- ❑ 5.5 Ethernet

- ❑ 5.6 Hubs and switches
- ❑ 5.7 PPP
- ❑ 5.8 Link Virtualization: ATM









Infer, That collision happened.



Link Layer




- ① Listen before Tx.
- ② While transmitting keep listening and checking if your tx signal is same as listened sig.



Multiple Access Links and Protocols

Two types of "links":


- ❑ point-to-point
 - PPP for dial-up access
 - point-to-point link between Ethernet switch and host
- ❑ **broadcast** (shared wire or medium)
 - traditional Ethernet
 - Bluetooth
 - 802.11 wireless LAN



shared wire
(e.g. Ethernet)



shared wireless
(e.g. Wavelan)



satellite




cocktail party

Multiple Access protocols

- ❑ single shared broadcast channel
- ❑ two or more simultaneous transmissions by nodes:
interference
 - **collision** if node receives two or more signals at the same time

multiple access protocol

- ❑ distributed algorithm that determines how nodes share channel, i.e., determine when node can transmit
- ❑ communication about channel sharing must use channel itself
 - no out-of-band channel for coordination



Ideal Multiple Access Protocol

Broadcast channel of rate R bps

1. When one node wants to transmit, it can send at rate R .
2. When M nodes want to transmit, each can send at average rate R/M
3. Fully decentralized:
 - no special node to coordinate transmissions
 - no synchronization of clocks, slots
4. Simple

MAC Protocols: a taxonomy

Three broad classes:

❑ Channel Partitioning

- divide channel into smaller "pieces" (time slots, frequency, code)
- allocate piece to node for exclusive use

❑ Random Access

- channel not divided, allow collisions
- "recover" from collisions


❑ "Taking turns"

- Nodes take turns, but nodes with more to send can take longer turns

Channel Partitioning MAC protocols: TDMA

TDMA: time division multiple access


- ❑ access to channel in "rounds"
- ❑ each station gets fixed length slot (length = pkt trans time) in each round
- ❑ unused slots go idle
- ❑ example: 6-station LAN, 1,3,4 have pkt, slots 2,5,6 idle



Channel Partitioning MAC protocols: FDMA

FDMA: frequency division multiple access


- channel spectrum divided into frequency bands
- each station assigned fixed frequency band
- unused transmission time in frequency bands go idle
- example: 6-station LAN, 1,3,4 have pkt, frequency bands 2,5,6 idle



Random Access Protocols

- ❑ When node has packet to send
 - transmit at full channel data rate R .
 - no *a priori* coordination among nodes
- ❑ two or more transmitting nodes → “collision”,
- ❑ **random access MAC protocol** specifies:
 - how to detect collisions
 - how to recover from collisions (e.g., via delayed retransmissions)
- ❑ Examples of random access MAC protocols:
 - slotted ALOHA
 - ALOHA
 - CSMA, CSMA/CD, CSMA/CA

Slotted ALOHA




Assumptions

- ✓ all frames same size
- ✓ time is divided into equal size slots, time to transmit 1 frame
- ✓ nodes start to transmit frames only at beginning of slots
- ✓ nodes are synchronized
- ✓ if 2 or more nodes transmit in slot, all nodes detect collision

Operation

- when node obtains fresh frame, it transmits in next slot
- no collision, node can send new frame in next slot
- if collision, node retransmits frame in each subsequent slot with prob. p until success

Slotted ALOHA



Pros

- ❑ single active node can continuously transmit at full rate of channel
- ❑ highly decentralized: only slots in nodes need to be in sync
- ❑ simple

Cons

- ❑ collisions, wasting slots
- ❑ idle slots
- ❑ nodes must be able to detect collision in less than time to transmit packet
- ❑ clock synchronization

Slotted Aloha efficiency

$$P^* = f(N)$$

Efficiency is the long-run fraction of successful slots when there are many nodes, each with many frames to send

- Suppose N nodes with many frames to send, each transmits in slot with probability p
- prob that node 1 has success in a slot
 $= p(1-p)^{N-1}$
- prob that any node has a success $= Np(1-p)^{N-1}$


- For max efficiency with N nodes, find p^* that maximizes $Np(1-p)^{N-1}$
- For many nodes, take limit of $Np^*(1-p^*)^{N-1}$ as N goes to infinity, gives $1/e = .37$

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} Np^*(1-p^*)^{N-1} = f(N)$$

At best: channel used for useful transmissions 37% of time!

Pure (unslotted) ALOHA

- unslotted Aloha: simpler, no synchronization
- when frame first arrives
 - transmit immediately
- collision probability increases:
 - frame sent at t_0 collides with other frames sent in $[t_0-1, t_0+1]$



Pure Aloha efficiency

$P(\text{success by given node}) = P(\text{node transmits}) \cdot$

$P(\text{no other node transmits in } [t_0-1, t_0]) \cdot$

$P(\text{no other node transmits in } [t_0, t_0+1])$

$$= p \cdot (1-p)^{N-1} \cdot (1-p)^{N-1}$$

$$= p \cdot (1-p)^{2(N-1)}$$

... choosing optimum p and then letting $n \rightarrow \infty$...

Even worse ! $= 1/(2e) = .18$

CSMA (Carrier Sense Multiple Access)

M nodes.

Before talking & while talking

CSMA: listen before transmit:

If channel sensed idle: transmit entire frame

□ If channel sensed busy, defer transmission

□ Human analogy: don't interrupt others!

CSMA collisions

collisions *can still occur*:


propagation delay means
two nodes may not hear
each other's transmission

collision:

entire packet transmission
time wasted

note:

role of distance & propagation
delay in determining collision
probability




CSMA/CD (Collision Detection)

CSMA/CD: carrier sensing, deferral as in CSMA

- collisions *detected* within short time
- colliding transmissions aborted, reducing channel wastage

□ collision detection:




- easy in wired LANs: measure signal strengths, compare transmitted, received signals
- difficult in wireless LANs: receiver shut off while transmitting

□ human analogy: the polite conversationalist

CSMA/CD ←

CSMA/CD collision detection



"Taking Turns" MAC protocols

channel partitioning MAC protocols:

- share channel efficiently and fairly at high load
- inefficient at low load: delay in channel access, $1/N$ bandwidth allocated even if only 1 active node!

Random access MAC protocols

- efficient at low load: single node can fully utilize channel
- high load: collision overhead

"taking turns" protocols

look for best of both worlds!

"Taking Turns" MAC protocols


Bluetooth

Polling:

- ❑ master node "invites" slave nodes to transmit in turn
- ❑ concerns:
 - polling overhead
 - latency
 - single point of failure (master)




Token passing:

- ❑ control token passed from one node to next sequentially.
- ❑ token message
- ❑ concerns:
 - token overhead
 - latency
 - single point of failure (token)




Summary of MAC protocols

- What do you do with a shared media?
 - Channel Partitioning, by time, frequency or code
 - Time Division, Frequency Division
 - Random partitioning (dynamic),
 - ALOHA, S-ALOHA, CSMA, CSMA/CD
 - carrier sensing: easy in some technologies (wire), hard in others (wireless)
 - CSMA/CD used in Ethernet
 - CSMA/CA used in 802.11
 - Taking Turns
 - polling from a central site, token passing



Not very fair because the order isn't being preserved



Choose band # $r_A \in [\min, \max]$

rand from $[0, 16]$ time units

If collision, choose new


Rand # from $[0, 32]$

If again coll \rightarrow choose from $[0, 64]$

Drop PKT after $k=3$ attempts

Exponential Backoff

Once success, reset $\max = 16$



Link Layer

- ❑ 5.1 Introduction and services
- ❑ 5.2 Error detection and correction
- ❑ 5.3 Multiple access protocols
- ❑ 5.4 Link-Layer Addressing
- ❑ 5.5 Ethernet
- ❑ 5.6 Hubs and switches
- ❑ 5.7 PPP
- ❑ 5.8 Link Virtualization: ATM