



- Suppose error protection identifies valid and invalid packets
  - O How?
- Can we make the channel appear reliable?
  - Insure packet delivery
  - Maintain packet order
  - Provide reliability at full link capacity



### Reliable Transmission Outline

- Fundamentals of Automatic Repeat reQuest (ARQ) algorithms
  - A family of algorithms that provide reliability through retransmission
- ARQ algorithms (simple to complex)
  - stop-and-wait
  - concurrent logical channels
  - sliding window
    - go-back-n
    - selective repeat
- Alternative: forward error correction (FEC)



### Terminology

- Acknowledgement (ACK)
  - Receiver tells the sender when a frame is received
    - Selective acknowledgement (SACK)
      - Specifies set of frames received
    - Cumulative acknowledgement (ACK)
      - Have received specified frame and all previous
    - Negative acknowledgement (NAK)
      - Receiver refuses to accept frame now, e.g., when out of buffer space



## Terminology

- Timeout (TO)
  - Sender decides the frame (or ACK) was lost
  - Sender can try again




### Stop-and-Wait

- Basic idea
  - Send a frame
  - 2. Wait for an ACK or TO
  - 3. If TO, go to 1
  - 4. If ACK, get new frame, go to 1




### Stop-and-Wait: Success






### Stop-and-Wait: Lost Frame






### Stop-and-Wait: Lost ACK





# Stop-and-Wait: DelayedFrame






### Stop-and-Wait

- Goal
  - Guaranteed, at-most-once delivery
- Protocol Challenges
  - Dropped frame/ACK
  - Duplicate frame/ACK
- Requirements
  - 1-bit sequence numbers (if physical network maintains order)
    - sender tracks frame ID to send
    - receiver tracks next frame ID expected



### Stop-and-Wait State Diagram





### Stop-and-Wait

- We have achieved
  - Frames delivered reliably and in order
  - Is that enough?
- Problem
  - Only allows one outstanding frame
    - Does not keep the pipe full
  - Example
    - 100ms RTT
    - One frame per RTT = 1KB
    - $\blacksquare$  1024x8x10 = 81920 kbps
    - Regardless of link bandwidth!



### Concurrent Logical Channels

- Used in ARPANET IMP-IMP protocol
- Idea
  - Multiplex logical channels over a physical link
    - Include channel ID in header
  - Use stop-and-wait for each channel
- Result
  - Each channel is limited to stop-and-wait bandwidth
  - Aggregate bandwidth uses full physical channel
  - Supports multiple communicating processes
  - Can use more than one channel per process



### Concurrent Logical Channels

#### Problem

- Bandwidth
  - Use of a single channel per process may waste BW
- Ordering
  - Use of multiple channel per process does not maintain packet ordering across channels!
  - If application has n channels, and one needs a retransmission, it will always be one packet behind the other channels



### ARQ: Where are We?

- Goals for reliable transmission
  - Make channel appear reliable
  - Maintain packet order (usually)
  - Impose low overhead/allow full use of link
- Stop-and-Wait
  - Provides reliable in-order delivery
  - Sacrifices performance
- Concurrent Logical Channels
  - Provides reliable delivery at full link bandwidth
  - Sacrifices packet ordering
- Sliding Window Protocol
  - Achieves all three!



### Sliding Window Protocol

- Most important and general ARQ algorithm
- Used by TCP
- Outline
  - Concepts
  - Terminology (from P&D)
  - Details
  - Code example
  - Proof of eventual in-order delivery
  - Classification scheme
    - (go-back-n, selective repeat)




#### Keeping the Pipe Full

Stop-and-Wait Goal Sender Receiver Sender Receiver <u>Frame</u> <u>Frame</u> <u>Frame</u> <u>Frame</u> Frame <u>Frame</u> ACK Frame Advantages: Frame More frames in pipe Less time overall Piggybacked ACKs ACK 



## Concepts


- Consider an ordered stream of data frames
- Stop-and-Wait
  - Window of one frame
  - Slides along stream over time





## Concepts


- Sliding Window Protocol
  - Multiple-frame send window
  - Multiple frame receive window






### Sliding Window

- Send Window
  - Fixed length
  - Starts at earliest unacknowledged frame
  - Only frames in window are active



### Sliding Window


- Receive Window
  - Fixed length (unrelated to send window)
  - Starts at earliest frame not received
  - Only frames in window accepted





### Sliding Window Terminology


- Sender Parameters
  - Send Window Size (SWS)
  - Last Acknowledgement Received (LAR)
  - Last Frame Sent (LFS)






### Sliding Window Terminology


- Receiver Parameters
  - Receive Window Size (RWS)
  - Next Frame Expected (NFE)
  - Last Frame Acceptable (LFA)




- Sender Tasks
  - Assign sequence numbers
  - On ACK Arrival
    - Advance LAR
    - Slide window



- Receiver Tasks
  - On Frame Arrival (N)
    - Silently discard if outside of window
      - N < NFE (NACK possible, too)</li>
      - N >= NFE + RWS
    - Send cumulative ACK if within window



- Receiver Tasks
  - On Frame Arrival (N)
    - Silently discard if outside of window
      - N < NFE (NACK possible, too)</li>
      - N >= NFE + RWS
    - Send cumulative ACK if within window




- Sequence number space
  - Finite number, so wrap around
  - Need space larger than SWS (outstanding frames)
    - In fact, need twice as large
- Example
  - 3-bit sequence numbers (0-7)
  - RWS = SWS = 7



- Is log<sub>2</sub>(SWS+1) bits enough?
  - No. Example:
  - 3-bit sequence numbers (0-7)
  - RWS = SWS = 7
  - Why isn't 3 bits enough (can you think of an example where it doesn't work?)



- Example of incorrect behavior
  - 3-bit sequence numbers 0-7
  - RWS = SWS = 7
  - Sender transmits 0-6
  - All arrive, but ACK's lost
  - Sender retransmits
  - Receiver accepts as second incarnation of 0-6






### -Sliding Window Sequence Numbers

- How many sequence numbers are necessary?
  - Key questions
    - Where can the send window be?
    - What frame can be received next?



## Sliding Window Sequence Numbers


- Assume SWS = RWS (simplest, and typical)
- Sender transmits full SWS
- Two extreme cases:
  - None received (waiting for 0...SWS 1)
  - All received (waiting for SWS...2 SWS 1)
- All possible packets must have unique sequence numbers





# Sliding Window Sequence Numbers

- Extreme Locations for SWS
- Requirements
  - If a received packet is not in the receive window with no wrap, then it must not be in the receive window with wrap!
- Correctness condition:
  - Number of Sequence Numbers ≥ SWS + RWS
  - Alternates between two halves of the sequence number space





# Sliding Window Sequence Numbers

#### Example

- If SWS = RWS = 8
- At least 16 sequence numbers are needed
- A 4-bit sequence number space is enough

#### Warning

- P&D sometimes uses the variable Max\_Seq\_Num for the number of sequence numbers and sometimes for the maximum sequence number (these differ by one!)
- Use Num\_Seq\_Num for the number of sequence numbers: 0, 1, ..., Num\_Seq\_Num 1



## Window Sizes


- How big should we make SWS?
  - Compute from delay x bandwidth

- How big should we make RWS?
  - Depends on buffer capacity of receiver



### Delay x Bandwidth Product -Revisited


- Amount of data in "pipe"
  - channel = pipe
  - delay = length
  - bandwidth = area of a cross section
  - bandwidth x delay product = volume





### Delay x Bandwidth Product

- Bandwidth x delay product
  - How many bits the sender must transmit before the first bit arrives at the receiver if the sender keeps the pipe full
  - Takes another one-way latency to receive a response from the receiver





#### Parameters

- last acknowledgement received (LAR)
- last frame sent (LFS)
- next frame expected (NFE)
- last frame acceptable (LFA)



#### Constants

- Rend/receive window size (SWS/RWS)
- Maximum sequence number (MAX\_SEQ\_NO)
- Frame size (FRAME\_SIZE, constant for simplicity)



- Data structures
  - Next frame expected (an integer)
  - One frame buffer for each entry in receive window
  - One presence bit for each entry
- Receive window cycles through
  - Sequence numbers
  - Data structures (thus RWS must divide MAX\_SEQ\_NO)



```
#define RWS
                             /* receive window size
                                                        */
                      16
                              /* max. sequence number+1 */
#define MAX SEQ NO
                              /* (must be multiple of
                                                        */
                              /* RWS for this code)
                                                        */
                      1000
#define FRAME SIZE
                              /* constant for simplicity*/
                             /* RWS frame buffers
char buf[RWS][FRAME SIZE];
                              /* are frame buffers full?*/
int present[RWS];
                                   (initialized to 0's) */
int NFE = 0;
                              /* next frame expected
extern void send ack (int seq no);
extern void pass to app (char* data);
void recv frame (char* data, int seq_no);
```



```
void recv frame (char* data, int seq_no)
   /* loop index
                                           */
   int i;
   /* Map sequence numbers NFE...predecessor (NFE)
      into 0...MAX_SEQ_NO - 1, then see if seq no
      falls within the receive window. */
   if (seq no - NFE) < RWS)
              /* Frames outside the window */
              /* are ignored. (but an ACK
              /* is sent; why?)
                                        */
```





```
/* Got a new frame; pass frames up to host? */
for (i = 0; i < RWS; i++) {
    idx = (i + NFE) % RWS; /* Re-use idx.*/
    /* first missing frame becomes NFE */
    /* after this loop terminates */
    if (!present[idx]) break;
    /* Frame is present—send it up! */
    pass_to_app (buf[idx]);
    present[idx] = 0; /* Mark buffer empty. */
/* Advance NFE to first missing frame. */
NFE = NFE + i;
```





### Correctness

#### Claim

 A sliding window protocol leads to in-order delivery of all frames

#### Assumptions

- All sequence numbers are different
- Frames can be lost
- Frames can be delayed an arbitrarily finite amount of time
- Frames are not reordered
- Frames can arrive with detectable errors
- Are these assumption adequate?




### Sliding Window Protocol Correctness

- Need one more assumption
  - Any given frame is received without errors after a finite number of retransmissions
- Proof in two steps
  - Establish correctness assuming infinite sequence number space
  - Show that finite sequence number space does not affect result as long as it has
     >= 2 max (SWS, RWS) possible numbers



### Sliding Window Protocol Correctness

- Step 1: establish correctness assuming infinite sequence number space
  - Use induction on k with invariant "the k<sup>th</sup> frame is eventually received"
- Step 2: show that finite sequence number space does not affect result as long as it has >= 2 max (SWS, RWS) possible numbers





### ARQ Algorithm Classification

#### Three Types:

Stop-and-Wait: SWS = 1 RWS = 1

Go-Back-N: SWS = N RWS = 1

Selective Repeat: SWS = N RWS = M

Usually M = N

Stop-And-Wait
Go-Back-N
Selective Repeat




# Sliding Window Variations: Go-Back-N

- SWS = N, RWS = 1
- Receiver only buffers one frame
- If a frame is lost, the sender may need to retransmit up to N frames
  - i.e., sender "goes back" N frames
- Variations
  - How long is the frame timeout?
  - Does receiver send NACK for out-of-sequence frame?



### Go-Back-N: Cumulative ACKs






# Sliding Window Variations:Selective Repeat

- SWS = N, RWS = M
- Receiver buffer M frames
- If a frame is lost, sender must only resend
  - Frames lost within the receive window
- Variations
  - How long is the frame timeout?
  - Use cumulative or per-frame ACK?
  - Does protocol adapt timeouts?
  - Does protocol adapt SWS and/or RWS?



### Selective Repeat





# Roles of a Sliding Window Protocol

- Reliable delivery on an unreliable link
  - Core function
- Preserve delivery order
  - Controlled by the receiver
- Flow control
  - Allow receiver to throttle sender
- Separation of Concerns
  - Must be able to distinguish between different functions that are sometimes rolled into one mechanism



### Forward Error Correction (FEC)

- Alternative to ARQ algorithms
- Idea
  - Error correction instead of error detection
  - Send extra information to avoid retransmission (i.e., fix errors first/forward rather than afterward/backward)
- Why
  - Very high latency connections
  - Difficult for retransmission

