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## How many edges to cut?

For the graph depicted on the right. How many edges have to be cut before there is no path from $s$ to $t$ ?
(A) 1
(B) 2
(C) 3
(D) 4
(E) 5
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Related Q. At most how many edge disjoint paths from $s$ to $t$ ?

## Part I

## Network Flows: Introduction and Setup

## Transportation/Road Network



## Internet Backbone Network



## Common Features of Flow Networks

(1) Network represented by a (directed) graph $G=(V, E)$.
(2) Each edge $e$ has a capacity $c(e) \geq 0$ that limits amount of traffic on $\boldsymbol{e}$.

- Source(s) of traffic/data.
- Sink(s) of traffic/data.
(0 Traffic flows from sources to sinks.
(0) Traffic is switched/interchanged at nodes.
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Flow abstract term to indicate stuff (traffic/data/etc) that flows from sources to sinks.
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- Every other node $v$ is an internal node.
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## Single Source/Single Sink Flows

Simple setting:

- Single source $s$ and single sink $t$.
- Every other node $v$ is an internal node.
- Flow originates at $s$ and terminates at $t$.

- Each edge $\boldsymbol{e}$ has a capacity $c(e) \geq 0$.
Sometimes assume:
Source $s \in V$ has no incoming edges, and sink $t \in V$ has no outgoing edges.

Assumptions: All capacities are integer, and every vertex has at least one edge incident to it.

## Definition of Flow

Two ways to define flows:
(1) edge based, or
(2) path based.

Essentially equivalent but have different uses.

Edge based definition is more compact.

## Edge Based Definition of Flow

## Definition

Flow in network $G=(V, E)$, is function $f: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$ s.t.


Figure: Flow with value.
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Figure: Flow with value.
(3) Value of flow= (total flow out of source) - (total flow in to source).

## More Definitions and Notation

Flow in and out of vertex $v$

$$
f^{\text {in }}(v)=\sum_{e \text { into } v} f(e)
$$
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## More Definitions and Notation

Flow in and out of vertex $v$

$$
f^{\text {in }}(v)=\sum_{e \text { into } v} f(e) \quad f^{\text {out }}(v)=\sum_{e \text { out of } v} f(e)
$$



Definition. For network $G$ with source $s$, the value of flow $\boldsymbol{f}$ is defined as

$$
v(f)=f^{\text {out }}(s)-f^{\text {in }}(s)
$$

Figure: Flow with value.

## Value of flow?

In the flow depicted on the right, the value of the flow is.
(A) 6 .
(B) 13 .
(C) 18 .
(D) 28 .
(E) 43 .


## A Path Based Definition of Flow

Intuition: Flow goes from source $s$ to sink $t$ along a path.
$\mathcal{P}$ : set of all simple paths from $s$ to $t$. $|\mathcal{P}|$ can be exponential in $\boldsymbol{n}$.
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## A Path Based Definition of Flow

Intuition: Flow goes from source $s$ to sink $t$ along a path.
$\mathcal{P}$ : set of all simple paths from $s$ to $t .|\mathcal{P}|$ can be exponential in $\boldsymbol{n}$.

## Definition (Flow by paths.)

A flow in network $G=(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E})$, is function $f: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$ s.t.
(1) Capacity Constraint: For each edge $\boldsymbol{e}$, total flow on $\boldsymbol{e}$ is $\leq \boldsymbol{c}(\boldsymbol{e})$.

$$
\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}: e \in p} f(p) \leq c(e)
$$

(2) Conservation Constraint: No need! Automatic.

Value of flow: $\sum_{\boldsymbol{p} \in \mathcal{P}} f(p)$.

## Example



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{P}=\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right\} \\
& p_{1}: s \rightarrow u \rightarrow t \\
& p_{2}: s \rightarrow u \rightarrow v \rightarrow t \\
& p_{3}: s \rightarrow v \rightarrow t \\
& f\left(p_{1}\right)=10, f\left(p_{2}\right)=4, f\left(p_{3}\right)= \\
& 6
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Path based flow implies edge based flow

## Lemma

Given a path based flow $f: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$ there is an edge based flow $f^{\prime}: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \geq 0$ of the same value.
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For each edge $e$ define $f^{\prime}(e)=\sum_{p: e \in p} f(p)$.
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{P}=\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right\} \\
& p_{1}: s \rightarrow u \rightarrow t \\
& p_{2}: s \rightarrow u \rightarrow v \rightarrow t \\
& p_{3}: s \rightarrow v \rightarrow t
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$$
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& f^{\prime}(s \rightarrow u)=14 \\
& f^{\prime}(u \rightarrow v)=4 \\
& f^{\prime}(s \rightarrow v)=6 \\
& f^{\prime}(u \rightarrow t)=10 \\
& f^{\prime}(v \rightarrow t)=10
\end{aligned}
$$

## Flow Decomposition

## Edge based flow to Path based Flow

## Lemma

Given an edge based flow $f^{\prime}: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \geq 0$, there is a path based flow $f: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$ of same value.
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## Edge based flow to Path based Flow

## Lemma

Given an edge based flow $f^{\prime}: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$, there is a path based flow $f: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$ of same value. Moreover, $f$ assigns non-negative flow to at most $m$ paths where $|E|=m$ and $|V|=n$.

## Flow Decomposition

## Edge based flow to Path based Flow

## Lemma

Given an edge based flow $f^{\prime}: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \geq 0$, there is a path based flow $f: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$ of same value. Moreover, $\boldsymbol{f}$ assigns non-negative flow to at most $m$ paths where $|E|=m$ and $|V|=n$.
Given $f^{\prime}$, the path based flow can be computed in $O(m n)$ time.

## Flow Decomposition

## Example

How to decompose the following flow:
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## Edge based flow to Path based Flow

## Algorithm

(1) Remove all edges with $f^{\prime}(e)=0$.
(2) Find a path $p$ from $s$ to $t$.
(0) Assign $f(p)$ to be $\boldsymbol{m i n}_{e \in p} f^{\prime}(e)$.

- Reduce $f^{\prime}(e)$ for all $e \in p$ by $f(p)$.
(0) Repeat until no path from $s$ to $t$.


## Proof Idea.

- In each iteration at least one edge has flow reduced to zero.
- Hence, at most $\boldsymbol{m}$ iterations. Can be implemented in $O(m(m+n))$ time. $O(m n)$ time requires care.


## Example

flow/capacity


## Example

flow


## Summary: Edge vs Path based Flow

Edge based flows:
(1) compact representation, only $\boldsymbol{m}$ values to be specified, and
(2) need to check flow conservation explicitly at each internal node.

Path flows:
(1) in some applications, paths more natural,
(3) not compact,
(0) no need to check flow conservation constraints.

Equivalence shows that we can go back and forth easily.

## Back to the begining

If $f: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$is a path based flow on this network, then can paths $\boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{p}^{\prime}$ with $f(p), f\left(p^{\prime}\right)=1$ share edges?
(A) Yes
(B) No
(C) May be


Capacity 1 on all edges.
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Capacity 1 on all edges.

Paths with flow $\mathbf{1}$ are edge disjoint.

## Back to the begining

If $f: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$is a path based flow on this network, then can paths $p, p^{\prime}$ with $f(p), f\left(p^{\prime}\right)=1$ share edges?
(A) Yes
(B) No
(C) May be


Capacity 1 on all edges.

Paths with flow 1 are edge disjoint.
Value of the flow $\leq \#$ edge disjoint paths. (Exercise)

## The Maximum-Flow Problem

## Problem

Input A network $G$ with capacity $c$ and source $s$ and sink $t$. Goal Find flow of maximum value.

## The Maximum-Flow Problem

## Problem

Input A network $G$ with capacity $c$ and source $s$ and sink $t$. Goal Find flow of maximum value.

Question: Given a flow network, what is an upper bound on the maximum flow between source and sink?

## Part II

## Cuts

## Cuts

## Definition (s-t cut)

Given a flow network an s-t cut is a set of edges $E^{\prime} \subset E$ such that removing $E^{\prime}$ disconnects $s$ from $t$ : in other words there is no directed $s \rightarrow t$ path in $E-E^{\prime}$.
The capacity of a cut $E^{\prime}$ is $c\left(E^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{e \in E^{\prime}} c(e)$.

## Cuts

## Definition (s-t cut)

Given a flow network an s-t cut is a set of edges $E^{\prime} \subset E$ such that removing $E^{\prime}$ disconnects $s$ from $t$ : in other words there is no directed $s \rightarrow t$ path in $E-E^{\prime}$.
The capacity of a cut $E^{\prime}$ is $c\left(E^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{e \in E^{\prime}} c(e)$.


## Cuts

## Definition (s-t cut)

Given a flow network an s-t cut is a set of edges $E^{\prime} \subset E$ such that removing $E^{\prime}$ disconnects $s$ from $t$ : in other words there is no directed $s \rightarrow t$ path in $E-E^{\prime}$.
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## Caution:

(1) Cut may leave $t \rightarrow s$ paths!
(2) There might be many $s$ - $\boldsymbol{t}$ cuts.

## s - t cuts

## A death by a thousand cuts



## Minimal Cut

## Definition (Minimal s-t cut.)

Given a s-t flow network $G=(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E}), \mathrm{E}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathrm{E}$ is a minimal cut if for all $e \in \mathrm{E}^{\prime}$, if $\mathrm{E}^{\prime} \backslash\{e\}$ is not a cut.
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## Definition (Minimal s-t cut.)

Given a s-t flow network $G=(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E}), \mathrm{E}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathrm{E}$ is a minimal cut if for all $e \in \mathrm{E}^{\prime}$, if $\mathrm{E}^{\prime} \backslash\{e\}$ is not a cut.


Observation: given a cut $E^{\prime}$, can check efficiently whether $E^{\prime}$ is a minimal cut or not. How?

## Is this a minimal cut?

## Definition (Minimal s-t cut.)

Given a $s$ - $\boldsymbol{t}$ flow network $\mathrm{G}=(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E})$ with $\boldsymbol{n}$ vertices and $\boldsymbol{m}$ edges, $\mathrm{E}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathrm{E}$ is a minimal cut if for all $e \in \mathrm{E}^{\prime}, \mathrm{E}^{\prime} \backslash\{e\}$ is not a cut.

Checking if a set $E^{\prime}$ forms a minimal $s$ - $\boldsymbol{t}$ cut can be done in
(A) $O(n+m)$.
(B) $O(n \log n+m)$.
(C) $O((n+m) \log n)$.
(D) $O(n m)$.
(E) $O(n m \log n)$.
(F) You flow, me cut.

## Cuts as Vertex Partitions

Let $\boldsymbol{A} \subset \boldsymbol{V}$ such that
(1) $s \in A, t \notin A$, and
(3) $B=V \backslash A$ (hence $t \in B$ ).

The cut $(A, B)$ is the set of edges $c(A, B)=\{(u, v) \in E \mid u \in A, v \in B\}$.
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## Claim

$c(A, B)$ is an s-t cut.

## Proof.

Let $P$ be any $s \rightarrow t$ path in $G$. Since $t$ is not in $\boldsymbol{A}, P$ has to leave $A$ via some edge $(u, v)$ in $\boldsymbol{c}(\boldsymbol{A}, B)$.
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## Lemma

Suppose $E^{\prime}$ is an s-t cut. Then there is a cut $c(A, B)$ such that $c(A, B) \subseteq E^{\prime}$.

## Proof.

$E^{\prime}$ is an $s$ - $t$ cut implies no path from $s$ to $t$ in $\left(V, E-E^{\prime}\right)$.
(1) Let $\boldsymbol{A}$ be set of all nodes reachable by $s$ in $\left(V, E-E^{\prime}\right)$.
(2) Since $E^{\prime}$ is a cut, $t \notin A$.
(3) Claim: $c(A, B) \subseteq E^{\prime}$. If not, then some edge $(u, v) \in c(A, B)$ is not in $E^{\prime}$. This implies, (i) $v \notin A$, (ii) $v$ will be reachable by $s$ and should be in $\boldsymbol{A}$.
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## Lemma

Suppose $E^{\prime}$ is an s-t cut. Then there is a cut $c(A, B)$ such that $c(A, B) \subseteq E^{\prime}$.

## Proof.

$E^{\prime}$ is an $s$ - $t$ cut implies no path from $s$ to $t$ in $\left(V, E-E^{\prime}\right)$.
(1) Let $\boldsymbol{A}$ be set of all nodes reachable by $s$ in $\left(V, E-E^{\prime}\right)$.
(2) Since $E^{\prime}$ is a cut, $t \notin A$.
(3) Claim: $c(A, B) \subseteq E^{\prime}$. If not, then some edge $(u, v) \in c(A, B)$ is not in $E^{\prime}$. This implies, (i) $v \notin A$, (ii) $v$ will be reachable by $s$ and should be in $\boldsymbol{A}$. A contradiction.

## Cuts as Vertex Partitions

## Lemma

Suppose $E^{\prime}$ is an s-t cut. Then there is a cut $c(A, B)$ such that $c(A, B) \subseteq E^{\prime}$.

## Proof.

$E^{\prime}$ is an $s$ - $t$ cut implies no path from $s$ to $t$ in $\left(V, E-E^{\prime}\right)$.
(1) Let $A$ be set of all nodes reachable by $s$ in $\left(V, E-E^{\prime}\right)$.
(2) Since $E^{\prime}$ is a cut, $t \notin A$.
(3) Claim: $c(A, B) \subseteq E^{\prime}$. If not, then some edge $(u, v) \in c(A, B)$ is not in $E^{\prime}$. This implies, (i) $v \notin A$, (ii) $v$ will be reachable by $s$ and should be in $A$. A contradiction.

## Corollary

Every minimal s-t cut $E^{\prime}$ is a cut of the form $c(A, B)$.

## Alternate notation for cuts

Other common notation for cuts:

Undirected graphs: $G=(V, E)$ and $A \subset V . \delta_{G}(A)$ or $\delta(A)$ is set of edges with one end point in $\boldsymbol{A}$ and the other end point in $V \backslash A$.

Directed graphs: $G=(V, E)$ and $A \subset V$.
Edges going out of $\boldsymbol{A}$

$$
\delta_{G}^{+}(A)=\{(u, v) \in E \mid u \in A, v \in V \backslash A\}
$$

Edges coming into $\boldsymbol{A}$

$$
\delta_{G}^{-}(A)=\{(u, v) \in E \mid u \in V \backslash A, v \in A\}
$$

## Minimum Cut

## Definition

Given a flow network an $\boldsymbol{s}$ - $\boldsymbol{t}$ minimum cut is a cut $E^{\prime}$ of smallest capacity amongst all s-t cuts.
The minimum cut in the network flow depicted is:
(A) 10
(B) 18
(C) 28
(D) 30
(E) 48 .

(F) No minimum cut, no cry.
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## Minimum Cut

## Definition

Given a flow network an s-t minimum cut is a cut $E^{\prime}$ of smallest capacity amongst all $\boldsymbol{s}$ - $\boldsymbol{t}$ cuts.


Observation: exponential number of $\boldsymbol{s}$ - $\boldsymbol{t}$ cuts and no "easy" algorithm to find a minimum cut.

## The Minimum-Cut Problem

## Problem

Input A flow network $G$
Goal Find the capacity of a minimum s-t cut

## Flows and Cuts

## Lemma

For any s-t cut $E^{\prime}$, maximum s-t flow $\leq$ capacity of $E^{\prime}$.

## Proof.

Formal proof easier with path based definition of flow. Suppose $f: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$ is a max-flow.

## Flows and Cuts

## Lemma

For any s-t cut $E^{\prime}$, maximum s-t flow $\leq$ capacity of $E^{\prime}$.

## Proof.

Formal proof easier with path based definition of flow. Suppose $f: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$ is a max-flow.
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## Lemma

For any s-t cut $E^{\prime}$, maximum s-t flow $\leq$ capacity of $E^{\prime}$.

## Proof.

Formal proof easier with path based definition of flow. Suppose $f: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$ is a max-flow.

Every path $p \in \mathcal{P}$ contains an edge $e \in E^{\prime}$. Why? Assign each path $p \in \mathcal{P}$ to exactly one edge $e \in E^{\prime}$. Let $\mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{e}}$ be paths assigned to $\boldsymbol{e} \in E^{\prime}$.

## Flows and Cuts

## Lemma

For any s-t cut $E^{\prime}$, maximum s-t flow $\leq$ capacity of $E^{\prime}$.

## Proof.

Formal proof easier with path based definition of flow. Suppose $f: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$ is a max-flow.

Every path $p \in \mathcal{P}$ contains an edge $e \in E^{\prime}$. Why?
Assign each path $p \in \mathcal{P}$ to exactly one edge $e \in E^{\prime}$.
Let $\mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{e}}$ be paths assigned to $e \in E^{\prime}$. Then

$$
v(f)=\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} f(p)=\sum_{e \in E^{\prime}} \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_{e}} f(p) \leq \sum_{e \in E^{\prime}} c(e)
$$

## Flows and Cuts

## Lemma

For any s-t cut $E^{\prime}$, maximum s-t flow $\leq$ capacity of $E^{\prime}$.

## Corollary <br> Maximum s-t flow $\leq$ minimum s-t cut.

## Flows and Cuts

## Lemma

$\exists$ an s-t cut $E^{\prime}$, such that maximum flow = capacity of $E^{\prime}$.

## Proof.

## Flows and Cuts

## Lemma

$\exists$ an s-t cut $E^{\prime}$, such that maximum flow $=$ capacity of $E^{\prime}$.

## Proof.

Intuition: Let $\boldsymbol{f}$ be a maximum edge flow. Construct graph $G^{\prime}$ with edge capacities to $c^{\prime}(e)=c(e)-f(e)$. Remove edges with $c^{\prime}(e)=0$.

## Flows and Cuts

## Lemma

$\exists$ an s-t cut $E^{\prime}$, such that maximum flow $=$ capacity of $E^{\prime}$.

## Proof.

Intuition: Let $f$ be a maximum edge flow. Construct graph $G^{\prime}$ with edge capacities to $c^{\prime}(e)=c(e)-f(e)$. Remove edges with $c^{\prime}(e)=0$.
Is there an $s \rightarrow t$ path in $G^{\prime}$ ?

## Flows and Cuts

## Lemma

$\exists$ an s-t cut $E^{\prime}$, such that maximum flow $=$ capacity of $E^{\prime}$.

## Proof.

Intuition: Let $f$ be a maximum edge flow. Construct graph $G^{\prime}$ with edge capacities to $c^{\prime}(e)=c(e)-f(e)$. Remove edges with $c^{\prime}(e)=0$.
Is there an $s \rightarrow t$ path in $G^{\prime}$ ?

## Exercise.

## Max-Flow Min-Cut Theorem

Theorem<br>In any flow network the maximum s-t flow is equal to the minimum s-t cut.

## Max-Flow Min-Cut Theorem

## Theorem <br> In any flow network the maximum s-t flow is equal to the minimum s-t cut.

Can compute minimum-cut from maximum flow and vice-versa! Proof coming shortly.

## Max-Flow Min-Cut Theorem

## Theorem <br> In any flow network the maximum s-t flow is equal to the minimum s-t cut.

Can compute minimum-cut from maximum flow and vice-versa! Proof coming shortly.

Many applications:
(1) optimization
(2) graph theory
(3) combinatorics

## The Maximum-Flow Problem

## Problem

Input A network $G$ with capacity $c$ and source $s$ and sink $t$. Goal Find flow of maximum value from $s$ to $t$.

## The Maximum-Flow Problem

## Problem

Input A network $G$ with capacity $\boldsymbol{c}$ and source $\boldsymbol{s}$ and sink $t$. Goal Find flow of maximum value from $s$ to $t$.

Exercise: Given $G, s, t$ as above, show that one can remove all edges into $s$ and all edges out of $t$ without affecting the flow value between $s$ and $t$.

