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## Recap

NP: languages/problems that have polynomial time certifiers/verifiers
A problem $X$ is NP-Complete iff

- $\boldsymbol{X}$ is in NP
- $\boldsymbol{X}$ is NP-Hard.
$\boldsymbol{X}$ is NP-Hard if for every $\boldsymbol{Y}$ in NP, $\boldsymbol{Y} \leq_{P} \boldsymbol{X}$.

Theorem (Cook-Levin) SAT is NP-Complete.

## Recap contd

## Theorem (Cook-Levin)

SAT is NP-Complete.

Establish NP-Completeness via reductions:
(1) SAT is NP-Complete.
(2) SAT $\leq_{P}$ 3-SAT and hence 3-SAT is NP-Complete.
(3) 3-SAT $\leq_{P}$ Independent Set (which is in NP) and hence Independent Set is NP-Complete.
(4) Clique is NP-Complete
(5) Vertex Cover is NP-Complete
(0) Set Cover is NP-Complete
(3) Hamilton Cycle and Hamiltonian Path are NP-Complete
(8) 3-Color is NP-Complete

## Today

NP vs co-NP

Prove

- Hamiltonian Cycle is NP-Complete
- 3-Coloring is NP-Complete
- Subset Sum is NP-Complete

All via reductions from 3-SAT

NP: Problems with polynomial time verifier for a "yes" instance.
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SAT: Given a CNF formula $\phi$, does there exists a satisfying assignment?

- Poly-time verification (proof) for "yes" instances.
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## Definition

Given a decision problem $\boldsymbol{X}$, its complement $\overline{\boldsymbol{X}}$ is the same problem with "yes" and "no" answeres reversed.
complement-SAT: Is $\phi$ always false?

- Poly-time verification (proof) for "no" instances.
co-NP: Complements of decision problems in NP.
- No-Hamiltonian-Cycle, Is-Prime, No-Subset-Sum..

NP: Problems with polynomial time verifier for a "yes" instance.
SAT: Given a CNF formula $\phi$, does there exists a satisfying assignment?

- Poly-time verification (proof) for "yes" instances.


## Definition

Given a decision problem $\boldsymbol{X}$, its complement $\overline{\boldsymbol{X}}$ is the same problem with "yes" and "no" answeres reversed.
complement-SAT: Is $\phi$ always false?

- Poly-time verification (proof) for "no" instances.
co-NP: Complements of decision problems in NP.
- No-Hamiltonian-Cycle, Is-Prime, No-Subset-Sum..
- Poly-time verification for "no" instances
- "no" instances can be solved in non-deterministic polynomial time.
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## Integer Factorization

Given integers $\boldsymbol{q}$ and $\boldsymbol{n}$, is there a prime factor of $\boldsymbol{q}$ larger than $\boldsymbol{n}$ ?
Input size: $\log (q)+\log (n)$

Verifier for a "yes" instance?
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## Int-Factorization $\in N P \cap$ co-NP.

## Integer Factorization

Given integers $\boldsymbol{q}$ and $\boldsymbol{n}$, is there a prime factor of $\boldsymbol{q}$ larger than $\boldsymbol{n}$ ?
Input size: $\log (q)+\log (n)$

Verifier for a "yes" instance?

Verifier for a "no" instance?

Int-Factorization $\in N P \cap$ co-NP. But not known to be in $P$.

## Landscape of Containment



Part I

## NP-Completeness of Hamiltonian Cycle

## Directed Hamiltonian Cycle

Input Given a directed graph $G=(V, E)$ with $n$ vertices Goal Does $G$ have a Hamiltonian cycle?


## Directed Hamiltonian Cycle

Input Given a directed graph $G=(V, E)$ with $n$ vertices Goal Does $G$ have a Hamiltonian cycle?

- A Hamiltonian cycle is a cycle in the graph that visits every vertex in $G$ exactly once



## Is the following graph Hamiltonianan?


(A) Yes.
(B) No.

## Directed Hamiltonian Cycle is NP-Complete

- Directed Hamiltonian Cycle is in NP
- Certificate: Sequence of vertices
- Certifier: Check if every vertex (except the first) appears exactly once, and that consecutive vertices are connected by a directed edge
- Hardness: We will show

3-SAT $\leq_{P}$ Directed Hamiltonian Cycle

## Reduction

Given 3-SAT formula $\varphi$ create a graph $G_{\varphi}$ such that

- $G_{\varphi}$ has a Hamiltonian cycle if and only if $\varphi$ is satisfiable
- $G_{\varphi}$ should be constructible from $\varphi$ by a polynomial time algorithm $\mathcal{A}$

Notation: $\varphi$ has $n$ variables $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ and $m$ clauses
$C_{1}, C_{2}, \ldots, C_{m}$.

## Reduction: First Ideas

- Viewing SAT: Assign values to $\boldsymbol{n}$ variables, and each clauses has 3 ways in which it can be satisfied.
- Construct graph with $\mathbf{2}^{\boldsymbol{n}}$ Hamiltonian cycles, where each cycle corresponds to some boolean assignment.
- Then add more graph structure to encode constraints on assignments imposed by the clauses.


## The Reduction: Phase I

- Traverse path $\boldsymbol{i}$ from left to right iff $x_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ is set to true
- Each path has $\mathbf{3}(\boldsymbol{m}+1)$ nodes where $\boldsymbol{m}$ is number of clauses in $\varphi$; nodes numbered from left to right ( 1 to $3 m+3$ )



## The Reduction: Phase II

- Add vertex $\boldsymbol{c}_{\boldsymbol{j}}$ for clause $\boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{j}} . \boldsymbol{c}_{\boldsymbol{j}}$ has edge from vertex $3 \boldsymbol{j}$ and to vertex $3 j+1$ on path $\boldsymbol{i}$ if $\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ appears in clause $C_{j}$, and has edge from vertex $3 j+1$ and to vertex $3 j$ if $\neg x_{i}$ appears in $C_{j}$.

$$
x_{1} \vee \neg x_{2} \vee x_{4} \quad \neg x_{1} \vee \neg x_{2} \vee \neg x_{3}
$$
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## The Reduction: Phase II

- Add vertex $\boldsymbol{c}_{\boldsymbol{j}}$ for clause $\boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{j}} . \boldsymbol{c}_{\boldsymbol{j}}$ has edge from vertex $3 \boldsymbol{j}$ and to vertex $3 j+1$ on path $i$ if $x_{i}$ appears in clause $C_{j}$, and has edge from vertex $3 j+1$ and to vertex $3 j$ if $\neg x_{i}$ appears in $C_{j}$.



## The Reduction: Phase II

- Add vertex $\boldsymbol{c}_{\boldsymbol{j}}$ for clause $\boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{j}} . \boldsymbol{c}_{\boldsymbol{j}}$ has edge from vertex $3 \boldsymbol{j}$ and to vertex $3 j+1$ on path $\boldsymbol{i}$ if $\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ appears in clause $C_{j}$, and has edge from vertex $3 j+1$ and to vertex $3 j$ if $\neg x_{i}$ appears in $C_{j}$.



## Correctness Proof

## Proposition

$\varphi$ has a satisfying assignment iff $G_{\varphi}$ has a Hamiltonian cycle.

## Proof.

$\Rightarrow$ Let $\boldsymbol{a}$ be the satisfying assignment for $\varphi$. Define Hamiltonian cycle as follows

- If $\boldsymbol{a}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}\right)=\mathbf{1}$ then traverse path $\boldsymbol{i}$ from left to right
- If $\boldsymbol{a}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}\right)=\mathbf{0}$ then traverse path $\boldsymbol{i}$ from right to left
- For each clause, path of at least one variable is in the "right" direction to splice in the node corresponding to clause


## Hamiltonian Cycle $\Rightarrow$ Satisfying assignment

Suppose $\boldsymbol{\Pi}$ is a Hamiltonian cycle in $\boldsymbol{G}_{\varphi}$

- If $\Pi$ enters $c_{j}$ (vertex for clause $C_{j}$ ) from vertex $3 j$ on path $i$ then it must leave the clause vertex on edge to $3 j+\mathbf{1}$ on the same path $\mathbf{i}$
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## Hamiltonian Cycle $\Rightarrow$ Satisfying assignment

Suppose $\boldsymbol{\Pi}$ is a Hamiltonian cycle in $\boldsymbol{G}_{\varphi}$

- If $\Pi$ enters $c_{j}$ (vertex for clause $C_{j}$ ) from vertex $3 j$ on path $i$ then it must leave the clause vertex on edge to $3 j+\mathbf{1}$ on the same path i
- If not, then only unvisited neighbor of $\mathbf{3 j + 1}$ on path $\boldsymbol{i}$ is $\mathbf{3 j + 2}$
- Thus, we don't have two unvisited neighbors (one to enter from, and the other to leave) to have a Hamiltonian Cycle
- Similarly, if $\boldsymbol{\Pi}$ enters $c_{j}$ from vertex $3 j+\mathbf{1}$ on path $\boldsymbol{i}$ then it must leave the clause vertex $\boldsymbol{c}_{\boldsymbol{j}}$ on edge to $3 \boldsymbol{j}$ on path $\boldsymbol{i}$


## Example



## Hamiltonian Cycle $\Longrightarrow$ Satisfying assignment (contd)

- Thus, vertices visited immediately before and after $C_{i}$ are connected by an edge
- We can remove $\boldsymbol{c}_{\boldsymbol{j}}$ from cycle, and get Hamiltonian cycle in $G-c_{j}$
- Consider Hamiltonian cycle in $G-\left\{c_{1}, \ldots c_{m}\right\}$; it traverses each path in only one direction, which determines the truth assignment


## Is covering by cycles hard?

Given a directed graph $G$, deciding if $G$ can be covered by vertex disjoint cycles (each of length at least two) is
(A) NP-Hard.
(B) NP-Complete.
(C) $P$.
(D) IDK.

## Hamiltonian Cycle

## Problem

## Input Given undirected graph $G=(V, E)$

Goal Does $G$ have a Hamiltonian cycle? That is, is there a cycle that visits every vertex exactly one (except start and end vertex)?

## NP-Completeness

Theorem
Hamiltonian cycle problem for undirected graphs is NP-Complete.

## Proof.

- The problem is in NP; proof left as exercise.
- Hardness proved by reducing Directed Hamiltonian Cycle to this problem


## Reduction Sketch

Goal: Given directed graph $G$, need to construct undirected graph $G^{\prime}$ such that $G$ has Hamiltonian cycle iff $G^{\prime}$ has Hamiltonian cycle

## Reduction
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## Reduction Sketch

Goal: Given directed graph $G$, need to construct undirected graph $G^{\prime}$ such that $G$ has Hamiltonian cycle iff $G^{\prime}$ has Hamiltonian cycle

## Reduction

- Replace each vertex $\boldsymbol{v}$ by 3 vertices: $\boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{i n}}, \boldsymbol{v}$, and $\boldsymbol{v}_{\text {out }}$
- A directed edge $(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v})$ is replaced by edge $\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{\text {out }}, \boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{i n}}\right)$




## Reduction: Wrapup

- The reduction is polynomial time (exercise)
- The reduction is correct (exercise)


## Hamiltonian Path

Input Given a directed graph $G=(V, E)$ with $n$ vertices Goal Does $G$ have a Hamiltonian path?

- A Hamiltonian path is a path in the graph that visits every vertex in $G$ exactly once
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Exercise: Modify the reduction from 3-SAT to Hamilton cycle to prove that 3-SAT reduces to Hamilton path.

## Hamiltonian Path

Input Given a directed graph $G=(V, E)$ with $n$ vertices Goal Does $G$ have a Hamiltonian path?

- A Hamiltonian path is a path in the graph that visits every vertex in $G$ exactly once

Exercise: Modify the reduction from 3-SAT to Hamilton cycle to prove that 3-SAT reduces to Hamilton path.

Exercise: Also prove that Hamilton path in undirected graphs is NP-Complete.

## Part II

## NP-Completeness of Graph Coloring

## Graph Coloring

## Problem: Graph Coloring

Instance: $G=(V, E)$ : Undirected graph, integer $k$. Question: Can the vertices of the graph be colored using $k$ colors so that vertices connected by an edge do not get the same color?

## Graph 3-Coloring

## Problem: 3 Coloring

Instance: $G=(V, E)$ : Undirected graph.
Question: Can the vertices of the graph be colored using 3 colors so that vertices connected by an edge do not get the same color?
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## Graph Coloring

Observation: If $\boldsymbol{G}$ is colored with $\boldsymbol{k}$ colors then each color class (nodes of same color) form an independent set in $\boldsymbol{G}$. Thus, $\boldsymbol{G}$ can be partitioned into $\boldsymbol{k}$ independent sets iff $\boldsymbol{G}$ is $\boldsymbol{k}$-colorable.
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## Graph Coloring

Observation: If $\boldsymbol{G}$ is colored with $k$ colors then each color class (nodes of same color) form an independent set in $\boldsymbol{G}$. Thus, $G$ can be partitioned into $\boldsymbol{k}$ independent sets iff $\boldsymbol{G}$ is $\boldsymbol{k}$-colorable.

Graph 2-Coloring can be decided in polynomial time.
$G$ is 2-colorable iff $G$ is bipartite! There is a linear time algorithm to check if $G$ is bipartite using BFS.

## Graph Coloring and Register Allocation

## Register Allocation

Assign variables to (at most) $\boldsymbol{k}$ registers such that variables needed at the same time are not assigned to the same register

## Interference Graph

Vertices are variables, and there is an edge between two vertices, if the two variables are "live" at the same time.

## Graph Coloring and Register Allocation

## Register Allocation

Assign variables to (at most) $\boldsymbol{k}$ registers such that variables needed at the same time are not assigned to the same register

## Interference Graph

Vertices are variables, and there is an edge between two vertices, if the two variables are "live" at the same time.

## Observations

- [Chaitin] Register allocation problem is equivalent to coloring the interference graph with $k$ colors
- Moreover, 3-COLOR $\leq_{P} k$-Register Allocation, for any $k \geq 3$


## Class Room Scheduling

Given $\boldsymbol{n}$ classes and their meeting times, are $\boldsymbol{k}$ rooms sufficient?

## Class Room Scheduling

Given $\boldsymbol{n}$ classes and their meeting times, are $\boldsymbol{k}$ rooms sufficient?
Reduce to Graph $\boldsymbol{k}$-Coloring problem
Create graph G

- a node $v_{i}$ for each class $i$
- an edge between $\boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ and $\boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{j}}$ if classes $\boldsymbol{i}$ and $\boldsymbol{j}$ conflict


## Class Room Scheduling

Given $\boldsymbol{n}$ classes and their meeting times, are $\boldsymbol{k}$ rooms sufficient?
Reduce to Graph $k$-Coloring problem
Create graph G

- a node $\boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ for each class $\boldsymbol{i}$
- an edge between $\boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ and $\boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{j}}$ if classes $\boldsymbol{i}$ and $\boldsymbol{j}$ conflict

Exercise: $\boldsymbol{G}$ is $\boldsymbol{k}$-colorable iff $\boldsymbol{k}$ rooms are sufficient

## Frequency Assignments in Cellular Networks

Cellular telephone systems that use Frequency Division Multiple Access (FDMA) (example: GSM in Europe and Asia and AT\&T in USA)

- Breakup a frequency range $[a, b]$ into disjoint bands of frequencies $\left[a_{0}, b_{0}\right],\left[a_{1}, b_{1}\right], \ldots,\left[a_{k}, b_{k}\right]$
- Each cell phone tower (simplifying) gets one band
- Constraint: nearby towers cannot be assigned same band, otherwise signals will interference


## Frequency Assignments in Cellular Networks

Cellular telephone systems that use Frequency Division Multiple Access (FDMA) (example: GSM in Europe and Asia and AT\&T in USA)

- Breakup a frequency range $[a, b]$ into disjoint bands of frequencies $\left[a_{0}, b_{0}\right],\left[a_{1}, b_{1}\right], \ldots,\left[a_{k}, b_{k}\right]$
- Each cell phone tower (simplifying) gets one band
- Constraint: nearby towers cannot be assigned same band, otherwise signals will interference
Problem: given $\boldsymbol{k}$ bands and some region with $\boldsymbol{n}$ towers, is there a way to assign the bands to avoid interference?

Can reduce to $k$-coloring by creating intereference/conflict graph on towers.

## 3 color this gadget.

You are given three colors: red, green and blue. Can the following graph be three colored in a valid way (assuming the four nodes are already colored as indicated).

(A) Yes.
(B) No.

## 3 color this gadget II

You are given three colors: red, green and blue. Can the following graph be three colored in a valid way (assuming the four nodes are already colored as indicated).

(A) Yes.
(B) No.

## 3-Coloring is NP-Complete

- 3-Coloring is in NP.
- Certificate: for each node a color from $\{\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{3}\}$.
- Certifier: Check if for each edge ( $\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}$ ), the color of $\boldsymbol{u}$ is different from that of $\boldsymbol{v}$.
- Hardness: We will show 3-SAT $\leq_{p} 3$-Coloring.


## Reduction Idea

Start with 3SAT formula (i.e., 3CNF formula) $\varphi$ with $n$ variables $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ and $m$ clauses $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{m}$. Create graph $G_{\varphi}$ such that $G_{\varphi}$ is 3 -colorable iff $\varphi$ is satisfiable

- need to establish truth assignment for $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ via colors for some nodes in $G_{\varphi}$.
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- If graph is 3-colored, either $\boldsymbol{v}_{i}$ or $\bar{v}_{i}$ gets the same color as True. Interpret this as a truth assignment to $\boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$


## Reduction Idea

Start with 3SAT formula (i.e., 3 CNF formula) $\varphi$ with $n$ variables $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ and $m$ clauses $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{m}$. Create graph $G_{\varphi}$ such that $G_{\varphi}$ is 3 -colorable iff $\varphi$ is satisfiable

- need to establish truth assignment for $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ via colors for some nodes in $G_{\varphi}$.
- create triangle with node True, False, Base
- for each variable $x_{i}$ two nodes $v_{i}$ and $\bar{v}_{i}$ connected in a triangle with common Base
- If graph is 3-colored, either $\boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ or $\bar{v}_{i}$ gets the same color as True. Interpret this as a truth assignment to $\boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$
- Need to add constraints to ensure clauses are satisfied (next phase)


## Figure



## Clause Satisfiability Gadget

For each clause $C_{j}=(\boldsymbol{a} \vee \boldsymbol{b} \vee \boldsymbol{c})$, create a small gadget graph

- gadget graph connects to nodes corresponding to $a, b, c$
- needs to implement OR

OR-gadget-graph:


## OR-Gadget Graph

Property: if $\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{c}$ are colored False in a 3-coloring then output node of OR-gadget has to be colored False.

Property: if one of $\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{c}$ is colored True then OR-gadget can be 3-colored such that output node of OR-gadget is colored True.

## 3 coloring of the clause gadget



## 3 coloring of the clause gadget



## 3 coloring of the clause gadget



## 3 coloring of the clause gadget



## Reduction

- create triangle with nodes True, False, Base
- for each variable $\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ two nodes $\boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ and $\overline{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ connected in a triangle with common Base
- for each clause $C_{j}=(\boldsymbol{a} \vee \boldsymbol{b} \vee \boldsymbol{c})$, add OR-gadget graph with input nodes $a, b, c$ and connect output node of gadget to both False and Base



## Reduction



## Claim

No legal 3-coloring of above graph (with coloring of nodes $T, F, B$ fixed) in which a, b, c are colored False. If any of a, b, c are colored True then there is a legal 3 -coloring of above graph.

## Reduction Outline

## Example

$$
\varphi=(u \vee \neg v \vee w) \wedge(v \vee x \vee \neg y)
$$



## Correctness of Reduction

$\varphi$ is satisfiable implies $G_{\varphi}$ is 3-colorable

- if $x_{i}$ is assigned True, color $v_{i}$ True and $\bar{v}_{i}$ False
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$G_{\varphi}$ is 3-colorable implies $\varphi$ is satisfiable
- if $\boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ is colored True then set $\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ to be True, this is a legal truth assignment


## Correctness of Reduction

$\varphi$ is satisfiable implies $G_{\varphi}$ is 3 -colorable

- if $x_{i}$ is assigned True, color $v_{i}$ True and $\bar{v}_{i}$ False
- for each clause $C_{j}=(a \vee b \vee c)$ at least one of $a, b, c$ is colored True. OR-gadget for $C_{j}$ can be 3-colored such that output is True.
$G_{\varphi}$ is 3-colorable implies $\varphi$ is satisfiable
- if $v_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ is colored True then set $x_{i}$ to be True, this is a legal truth assignment
- consider any clause $C_{j}=(a \vee b \vee c)$. it cannot be that all $a, b, c$ are False. If so, output of OR-gadget for $C_{j}$ has to be colored False but output is connected to Base and False!


## Graph generated in reduction...

## ... from 3SAT to 3COLOR



## Part III

## Hardness of

## Subset Sum

## Problem: Subset Sum

Instance: $S$ - set of positive integers, $t$ : - an integer number (Target)
Question: Is there a subset $X \subseteq S$ such that $\sum_{x \in X} x=t ?$

## Claim

Subset Sum is NP-Complete.

## Vec Subset Sum

We will prove following problem is NP-Complete...

## Problem: Vec Subset Sum

Instance: $\boldsymbol{S}$ - set of $\boldsymbol{n}$ vectors of dimension $\boldsymbol{k}$, each vector has non-negative numbers for its coordinates, and a target vector $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{t}}$.
Question: Is there a subset $X \subseteq S$ such that $\sum_{\vec{x} \in X} \vec{x}=\vec{t}$ ?

Reduction from 3SAT.

## Vec Subset Sum

Handling a single clause

Think about vectors as being lines in a table.

How to "select" exactly one of $x=0$ and $x=1$.

## First gadget

Selecting between two lines.

| Target | ?? | ?? | 01 | $? ? ?$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a_{1}$ | ?? | ?? | 01 | $? ?$ |
| $a_{2}$ | ?? | ?? | 01 | $? ?$ |

## Vec Subset Sum

Handling a single clause

Think about vectors as being lines in a table.

How to "select" exactly one of $x=0$ and $x=1$.

## First gadget

Selecting between two lines.

| Target | ?? | ?? | 01 | ??? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a_{1}$ | $? ?$ | $? ?$ | 01 | $? ?$ |
| $a_{2}$ | $? ?$ | $? ?$ | 01 | $? ?$ |

Two rows for every variable $x$ : selecting either $x=0$ or $x=1$.

## Handling a clause...

We will have a column for every clause...

| numbers | $\ldots$ | $\boldsymbol{C} \equiv \boldsymbol{a} \vee \boldsymbol{b} \vee \overline{\boldsymbol{c}}$ | $\ldots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{a}$ | $\ldots$ | 01 | $\ldots$ |
| $\overline{\boldsymbol{a}}$ | $\ldots$ | 00 | $\ldots$ |
| $\boldsymbol{b}$ | $\ldots$ | 01 | $\ldots$ |
| $\overline{\boldsymbol{b}}$ | $\ldots$ | 00 | $\ldots$ |
| $\boldsymbol{c}$ | $\ldots$ | 00 | $\ldots$ |
| $\overline{\boldsymbol{c}}$ | $\ldots$ | 01 | $\ldots$ |
| $\boldsymbol{C}$ fix-up 1 | 000 | 07 | 000 |
| $\boldsymbol{C}$ fix-up 2 | 000 | 08 | 000 |
| $\boldsymbol{C}$ fix-up 3 | 000 | 09 | 000 |
| TARGET |  | 10 |  |

## 3SAT to Vec Subset Sum

| numbers | $\boldsymbol{a} \vee \overline{\mathbf{a}}$ | $\boldsymbol{b} \vee \overline{\boldsymbol{b}}$ | $\boldsymbol{c} \vee \overline{\boldsymbol{c}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d} \vee \overline{\boldsymbol{d}}$ | $\boldsymbol{D} \equiv \overline{\boldsymbol{b}} \vee \boldsymbol{c} \vee \overline{\boldsymbol{d}}$ | $\boldsymbol{C} \equiv \boldsymbol{a} \vee \boldsymbol{b} \vee \overline{\boldsymbol{c}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{a}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 00 | 01 |
| $\overline{\boldsymbol{a}}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 00 | 00 |
| $\boldsymbol{b}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 00 | 01 |
| $\overline{\boldsymbol{b}}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 01 | 00 |
| $\boldsymbol{c}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 01 | 00 |
| $\overline{\boldsymbol{c}}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 00 | 01 |
| $\boldsymbol{d}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 00 | 00 |
| $\overline{\boldsymbol{d}}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 01 | 01 |
| $\boldsymbol{C}$ fix-up 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 00 | 07 |
| $\boldsymbol{C}$ fix-up 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 00 | 08 |
| $\boldsymbol{C}$ fix-up 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 00 | 09 |
| $\boldsymbol{D}$ fix-up 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 07 | 00 |
| $\boldsymbol{D}$ fix-up 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 08 | 00 |
| $\boldsymbol{D}$ fix-up 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 09 | 00 |
| TARGET | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 10 |

## Vec Subset Sum to Subset Sum

| numbers |
| :---: |
| 010000000001 |
| 010000000000 |
| 000100000001 |
| 000100000100 |
| 000001000100 |
| 000001000001 |
| 000000010000 |
| 000000010101 |
| 000000000007 |
| 000000000008 |
| 000000000009 |
| 000000000700 |
| 000000000800 |
| 000000000900 |
| 010101011010 |

## Other NP-Complete Problems

- 3-Dimensional Matching
- 3-Partition

Read book.

## Subset Sum and Knapsack

Knapsack: Given $\boldsymbol{n}$ items with item $\boldsymbol{i}$ having non-negative integer size $s_{i}$ and non-negative integer profit $p_{i}$, a knapsack of capacity $B$, and a target profit $P$, is there a subset $S$ of items that can be packed in the knapsack and the profit of $S$ is at least $P$ ?

Exercise: Show Knapsack is NP-Complete via reduction from Subset Sum

## Subset Sum and Knapsack

Subset Sum can be solved in $O(n B)$ time using dynamic programming (exercise).

## Subset Sum and Knapsack

Subset Sum can be solved in $O(n B)$ time using dynamic programming (exercise).

Implies that problem is hard only when numbers $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}$ are exponentially large compared to $\boldsymbol{n}$. That is, each $\boldsymbol{a}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ requires polynomial in $n$ bits.

Number problems of the above type are said to be weakly NP-Complete.

Number problems which are NP-Complete even when the numbers are written in unary are strongly NP-Complete.

## A Strongly NP-Complete Number Problem

3-Partition: Given $3 n$ numbers $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{3 n}$ and target $B$ can the numbers be partitioned into $\boldsymbol{n}$ groups of $\mathbf{3}$ each such that the sum of numbers in each group is exactly $\boldsymbol{B}$ ?

Can further assume that each number $a_{i}$ is between $B / 3$ and $2 B / 3$.
Can reduce 3-D-Matching to 3-Partition in polynomial time such that each number $\boldsymbol{a}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ can be written in unary.

## Need to Know NP-Complete Problems

- SAT and 3-SAT
- Independent Set
- Vertex Cover
- Clique
- Set Cover
- Hamiltonian Cycle in Directed/Undirected Graphs
- 3-Coloring
- 3-D Matching
- Subset Sum and Knapsack

