

Problem Set #4

Prof. Michael A. Forbes

Due: Mon., Mar. 26, 2018 (3:30pm)

1. Let $\ell : \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be a *length* function, meaning that $\ell(x)$ is computable in $\text{poly}(|x|)$ time and $\ell(x) \leq \text{poly}(|x|)$. A function $f : \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$ is *downward self-reducible* with respect to ℓ if
- If $\ell(x) = 0$ then $f(x)$ is computable in $\text{poly}(|x|)$ time.
 - In general, x can be computed in $\text{poly}(|x|)$ time given oracle access to f on inputs $\{y : \ell(y) < \ell(x)\}$.

Prove that

- (a) Prove that SAT is downward self-reducible with respect to $\ell(\varphi)$ being the number of variables in φ .
- (b) Show that computing the number of perfect matchings of a graph is downward self-reducible with respect to some natural length function.
- (c) (Arora-Barak Problem 8.9) Any downward self-reducible function is computable in $\text{poly}(|x|)$ space (ie, PSPACE when f is a language).
2. Let $\mathbb{F}_2 = \{0, 1\}$ be the field of two elements. A matrix $A \in \mathbb{F}_2^{k \times n}$ is *Toeplitz* if it is constant on diagonals, that is, $A_{i+1, j+1} = A_{i, j}$ for all $0 \leq i < k$ and $0 \leq j < n$. Let $\text{Toep}(\mathbb{F}_2^{k \times n})$ be the set of all such Toeplitz matrices. Define the hash function $h : \mathbb{F}_2^n \times (\text{Toep}(\mathbb{F}_2^{k \times n}) \times \mathbb{F}_2^k) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2^k$ by $h(x, (A, b)) = Ax + b$. Show that h is a pairwise independent hash family. That is, when A and b are chosen uniformly at random, for any $x \neq y \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ and $c, d \in \mathbb{F}_2^k$,

$$\Pr_{A \in \text{Toep}(\mathbb{F}_2^{k \times n}), b \in \mathbb{F}_2^k} [h(x, (A, b)) = c \wedge h(y, (A, b)) = d] = \frac{1}{2^{2k}}.$$

3. A language $L \subseteq \{0, 1\}^*$ is in AM if there is a $\text{poly}(|x|)$ -time machine $M(x, y, z)$ such that for $x \in L$

$$\Pr_{y \in \{0, 1\}^{p(|x|)}} [\exists z \in \{0, 1\}^{q(|x|)} M(x, y, z) = 1] \geq \frac{2}{3}$$

for some polynomials $p(|x|)$ and $q(|x|)$. For $x \notin L$, this probability is at most $\frac{1}{3}$. A language $L \subseteq \{0, 1\}^*$ is in MA if for $x \in L$

$$\exists y \in \{0, 1\}^{p(|x|)} \Pr_{z \in \{0, 1\}^{q(|x|)}} [M(x, y, z) = 1] \geq \frac{2}{3}$$

while for $x \notin L$ this probability is at most $\frac{1}{3}$ for *all* y .

Show that $\text{MA} \subseteq \text{AM}$.

4. Say that a language $L \subseteq \{0, 1\}^*$ is in AM_δ if there is a $\text{poly}(|x|)$ -time machine $M(x, y, z)$ such that for $x \in L$

$$\Pr_{y \in \{0,1\}^{p(|x|)}} [\exists z \in \{0,1\}^{q(|x|)} M(x, y, z) = 1] \geq \frac{1}{2} + \delta$$

for some polynomials $p(|x|)$ and $q(|x|)$. For $x \notin L$, this probability is at most $\frac{1}{2} - \delta$. Thus, $\text{AM}_{\frac{1}{6}}$ is the usual definition of AM .

Show that $\text{AM}_{\frac{1}{\text{poly}(n)}} = \text{AM}_{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^{\text{poly}(n)}}}$.

Some hints.

4. Repeat the AM protocol in parallel k times. Note that the prover *can* respond in a way which depends on *all* k challenges. However, argue that an *optimal* prover can respond to each challenge individually. Then use a standard error-reduction argument.