UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS

Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering ECE 417 MULTIMEDIA SIGNAL PROCESSING

Lecture 17 Sample Problems

Problem 17.1

Suppose you're given a training database of 200 examples. Each example includes a two-dimensional real-valued feature vector \vec{x}_i and a two-dimensional one-hot label vector $\vec{\zeta}_i$. As it turns out, though, all examples from class $\vec{\zeta} = [1, 0]$ have the same \vec{x} , and all examples from class $\vec{\zeta} = [0, 1]$ have the same class:

$$\left(\vec{x}_i, \vec{\zeta}_i\right) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \left(\left[\begin{array}{c} 2\\ -2 \end{array} \right], \left[\begin{array}{c} 1\\ 0 \end{array} \right] \right) & 1 \le i \le 100 \\ \left(\left[\begin{array}{c} -2\\ 2 \end{array} \right], \left[\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 1 \end{array} \right] \right) & 101 \le i \le 200 \end{array} \right.$$

You want to train a one-layer neural net using a softmax output:

$$y_{ki} = \frac{e^{a_{ki}}}{\sum_{m} e^{a_{mi}}}, \quad \vec{a}_i = U\vec{x}_i$$

Since both \vec{y} and \vec{x} are 2D vectors, U is a 2 × 2 matrix. Its coefficients are trained to minimize cross-entropy

$$u_{kj} \leftarrow u_{kj} - \eta \frac{\partial E}{\partial u_{kj}}, \quad E = -\frac{1}{200} \sum_{i=1}^{200} \sum_{k=1}^{2} \zeta_{ki} \ln y_{ki}$$

With initial values $u_{kj} = 0$. Find u_{kj} after one round of gradient-descent training, assuming $\eta = 1$. Notice that after one round of training, the training corpus is classified with 100% accuracy! Notice also that the second row of U is -1 times the first row—that will always be true for a two-class softmax. Why?

Problem 17.2

Suppose you're given a training database of just 4 training examples. Each example includes a two-dimensional real-valued feature vector $\vec{x_i}$ and a two-dimensional one-hot label vector $\vec{\zeta_i}$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \vec{x}_i, \vec{\zeta}_i \end{pmatrix} = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{pmatrix} & i = 1 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{pmatrix} & i = 2 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \end{pmatrix} & i = 3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \end{pmatrix} & i = 4 \end{cases}$$

You want to train a two-layer neural net using a softmax output and logistic hidden units:

$$z_{\ell i} = \frac{e^{b_{\ell i}}}{\sum_{m} e^{b_{m i}}}, \quad \vec{b}_i = V \vec{y}_i$$

$$y_{ki} = \sigma(a_{ki}), \quad \vec{a}_i = U\vec{x}_i$$

Suppose that U and V are initialized as all-zero matrices. Use forward propagation to compute $\vec{y_i}$ and $\vec{z_i}$ for each training token, then use back-propagation to compute $\vec{\epsilon_i}$ and $\vec{\delta_i}$ for each training token, then use the outer products to find

$$V^{(1)} = V^{(0)} - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \vec{\epsilon}_{i} \vec{y}_{i}^{T}, \quad U^{(1)} = U^{(0)} - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \vec{\delta}_{i} \vec{x}_{i}^{T}$$

Notice that, because of the symmetry of this problem, starting from an all-zero initialization will result in a neural net that never trains. In order to train this neural net, you would have to break the symmetry by starting with small random initial values in U and V.