


CS440/ECE448 Lecture 21: Markov Decision Processes

Mark Hasegawa-Johnson

These slides are in the public domain.



Grid World

Invented and drawn by Peter Abbeel and Dan
Klein, UC Berkeley CS 188

Outline

- Problem statement
- Utility
- The discount factor
- Value Iteration
- Policy Iteration
- Comparison of value iteration and policy iteration


How does an intelligent agent plan its actions?

- If there is no randomness: Use A* search to plan the best path
- What if our movements are affected by randomness?

Example: Grid World

Invented by Peter Abbeel and Dan Klein

- Maze-solving problem: state is $s = (i, j)$, where $0 \leq i \leq 2$ is the row and $0 \leq j \leq 3$ is the column.
- The robot is trying to find its way to the diamond.
- If it reaches the diamond, it gets a reward of $r((0,3)) = +1$ and the game ends.
- If it falls in the fire it gets a reward of $r((1,3)) = -1$ and the game ends.




Example: Grid World

Invented by Peter Abbeel and Dan Klein

Randomness: the robot has shaky actuators. If it tries to move forward,

- With probability 0.8, it succeeds
- With probability 0.1, it falls left
- With probability 0.1, it falls right



Markov Decision Process

A Markov Decision Process (MDP) is defined by:

- A set of states, $s \in \mathcal{S}$
- A set of actions, $a \in \mathcal{A}$
- A transition model, $P(S_{t+1} = s_{t+1} | S_t = s_t, a_t)$
 - S_t is the state at time t
 - a_t is the action taken at time t (not random)
- A reward function, $r(s)$

Solving an MDP: The Policy


- The solution to a maze is a path: the shortest path from start to goal
- In MDP, finding 1 path is not enough: randomness might cause us to accidentally deviate from the optimal path.

Solving an MDP: The Policy

Since $P(S_{t+1} = s_{t+1} | S_t = s_t, a_t)$ and $r(s)$ depend only on the state (the model is Markov), a complete solution can be expressed as follows:

If you find yourself in state s , what should you do?

A policy, $a = \pi(s)$, is a function telling you, for any state s , what is the best action to take in that state.




Outline

- Problem statement
- Utility
- The discount factor
- Value Iteration
- Policy Iteration
- Comparison of value iteration and policy iteration

Utility


The utility of a state, $u(s)$, is defined to be:

- the sum of all current and future rewards that can be achieved if we start in state s ,
- ...if we choose the best possible sequence of actions,
- ...and if we average over all possible results of those actions.



Example: Game show


- You've been offered a spot as a contestant in a game show.
- Reward: you receive successively larger prizes for each question you answer correctly, but if you answer any question incorrectly, you lose it all.
- Transition: the questions become harder and harder to answer.
- Actions: after each question you can decide whether to take another question or stop.



Example: Game show

Policy:

- If you've correctly answered $N-1$ questions, should you attempt question Q_N , or stop?




Example: Game show

Policy $\pi(Q4)$: If you've correctly answered 3 questions, should you attempt question Q4, or stop?

- If you stop: total reward is \$11,100
- If you attempt Q4: expected total reward is $\frac{1}{10} \times 61100 + \frac{9}{10} \times 0 = \6110

Policy: $\pi(Q4) = \text{stop}$.

Utility: $u(Q4) = \$11,100$




Example: Game show

Policy $\pi(Q3)$: If you've correctly answered 2 questions, should you attempt question Q3, or stop?

- If you stop: total reward is \$1,100
- If you attempt Q3: expected total reward is $\frac{1}{2} \times \$11,100 + \frac{1}{2} \times 0 = \5550

Policy: $\pi(Q3) = \text{continue}$.

Utility: $u(Q3) = \$5550$




Example: Game show

Policy $\pi(Q2)$: If you've correctly answered 1 question, should you attempt question Q2, or stop?

- If you stop: total reward is \$100
- If you attempt Q2: expected total reward is $\frac{3}{4} \times \$5550 + \frac{1}{4} \times 0 = \4162.50

Policy: $\pi(Q2) = \text{continue}$.

Utility: $u(Q2) = \$4162.50$




Example: Game show

Policy $\pi(Q1)$: If you've correctly answered no questions, then you have nothing to lose, so even though the chance of success is very small, you might as well try it!

Policy: $\pi(Q1) = \text{continue}$.

Utility: $u(Q1) = \$41.63$



Utility

The utility of a state, $u(s)$, is

- ...the maximum, over all possible sequences of actions, of
- ...the expected value, over all possible results of those actions, of
- ...the total of all future rewards.

$$u(s) = r(s) + \max_a \sum_{s'} P(s'|s, a) \left(r(s') + \max_{a'} \sum_{s''} P(s''|s', a') (r(s'') + \dots \dots \dots) \right)$$

Utility

The utility of a state, $u(s)$, is

- ...the maximum, over all possible sequences of actions, of
- ...the expected value, over all possible results of those actions, of
- ...the utility of the resulting state.

$$u(s) = r(s) + \max_a \sum_{s'} P(S_{t+1} = s' | S_t = s, a) u(s')$$

Outline

- Problem statement
- Utility
- The discount factor
- Value Iteration
- Policy Iteration
- Comparison of value iteration and policy iteration

Discount factor

You have just won a contest sponsored by the Galaxia Foundation. They offer you the choice of two options:

- \$60,000 right now, or...
- \$1000 per year, paid to you and your heirs annually forever.

Which option is better?

Discount factor

- Inflation has averaged 3.8% annually from 1960 to 2024.
- Equivalently, \$1000 received one year from now is worth approximately \$962 today.
- A reward of \$1000 annually forever (starting today, $t=0$) is equivalent to an immediate reward of

$$r = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} 1000(0.962)^t = \frac{1000}{1 - 0.962} = \$26,316$$

We call the factor $\gamma = 0.962$ the discount factor.

Discount factor

Why is a dollar tomorrow worth less than a dollar today?

- A dollar will buy less tomorrow
- The person paying you might go out of business
- You might have to go into hiding and become unable to collect

The discount factor, γ , is our model of the unknowable uncertainty of promised future rewards.



Public domain image of J. Wellington Wimpy, the character who popularized the saying "I will gladly pay you Tuesday for a hamburger today."

https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Wimpyh_otdog.png

The Bellman Equation

$$u(s) = r(s) + \gamma \max_a \sum_{s'} P(S_{t+1} = s' | S_t = s, a) u(s')$$

- The Bellman equation specifies the utility of the current state.
- In solving the Bellman equation, we also find the optimum action, which is the policy.
- However...

The Bellman Equation

$$\begin{bmatrix} u(1) \\ \vdots \\ u(n) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} r(1) \\ \vdots \\ r(n) \end{bmatrix} + \gamma \max_a \begin{bmatrix} P(1|1, a) & \cdots & P(1|n, a) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ P(n|1, a) & \cdots & P(n|n, a) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u(1) \\ \vdots \\ u(N) \end{bmatrix}$$

- If there are n states, then the Bellman equation is n nonlinear equations in n unknowns.
- There is no closed-form solution; we must use an iterative solution

Outline

- Problem statement
- Utility
- The discount factor
- Value Iteration
- Policy Iteration
- Comparison of value iteration and policy iteration

Value iteration

The Bellman Equation:

$$u(s) = r(s) + \gamma \max_a \sum_{s'} P(s'|s, a) u(s')$$

Value iteration solves the Bellman equation iteratively. In iteration number i , for $i = 0, 1, \dots$,

- For all states s , $u_i(s)$ is an estimate of $u(s)$
- Start out with $u_0(s) = 0$ for all states
- In the i^{th} iteration,

$$u_i(s) = r(s) + \gamma \max_a \sum_{s'} P(s'|s, a) u_{i-1}(s')$$


Value iteration

$$u_i(s) = r(s) + \gamma \max_a \sum_{s'} P(s'|s, a) u_{i-1}(s')$$


Notice that:

- After i iterations, $u_i(s)$ has information about the rewards earned in the first i steps after the agent starts the maze
- A policy designed based on $u_i(s)$ maximizes reward in the first i steps of the maze
- In this sense, it's kind of like BFS: each iteration explores farther and farther away from the starting state.

Example: Grid world



Transition model $P(s'|s, a)$:





Assume a “loitering penalty” of $r(s) = -0.04$ for all non-terminal states.



Value Iteration: Iteration 1

$$u_1(s) = r(s) + \gamma \max_a \sum_{s'} P(s'|s, a) u_0(s')$$



$u_1(s)$

| | | | |
|-------|-------|-------|---|
| -0.04 | -0.04 | -0.04 |  |
| -0.04 | | -0.04 |  |
| -0.04 | -0.04 | -0.04 | -0.04 |

$r(s)$

| | | | |
|-------|-------|-------|---|
| -0.04 | -0.04 | -0.04 |  |
| -0.04 | | -0.04 |  |
| -0.04 | -0.04 | -0.04 | -0.04 |



$u_0(s)$

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0 | | 0 |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Value Iteration: Iteration 2



$$u_2(s) = r(s) + \gamma \max_a \sum_{s'} P(s'|s, a) u_1(s')$$

$u_2(s)$

| | | | |
|-------|-------|-------|---|
| -0.08 | -0.08 | +0.75 |  |
| -0.08 | | -0.08 |  |
| -0.08 | -0.08 | -0.08 | -0.08 |



=

$r(s)$



| | | | |
|-------|-------|-------|---|
| -0.04 | -0.04 | -0.04 |  |
| -0.04 | | -0.04 |  |
| -0.04 | -0.04 | -0.04 | -0.04 |

$+ \gamma \max_a$



$\sum_{s'} P(s'|s, \text{down}) u_1(s')$

| | | | |
|-------|-------|-------|---|
| -0.04 | -0.04 | +0.06 |  |
| -0.04 | | -0.14 |  |
| -0.04 | -0.04 | -0.04 | -0.04 |



$\sum_{s'} P(s'|s, \text{up}) u_1(s')$

| | | | |
|-------|-------|-------|---|
| -0.04 | -0.04 | +0.06 |  |
| -0.04 | | -0.14 |  |
| -0.04 | -0.04 | -0.04 | -0.81 |

$\sum_{s'} P(s'|s, \text{left}) u_1(s')$

| | | | |
|-------|-------|-------|---|
| -0.04 | -0.04 | -0.04 |  |
| -0.04 | | -0.04 |  |
| -0.04 | -0.04 | -0.04 | -0.14 |

$\sum_{s'} P(s'|s, \text{right}) u_1(s')$

| | | | |
|-------|-------|-------|---|
| -0.04 | -0.04 | +0.79 |  |
| -0.04 | | -0.81 |  |
| -0.04 | -0.04 | -0.04 | -0.14 |

Quiz

Try the quiz!

Outline

- Problem statement
- Utility
- The discount factor
- Value Iteration
- Policy Iteration
- Comparison of value iteration and policy iteration

Method 2: Policy Iteration

- **Policy Evaluation:** $u_i(s) = r(s) + \gamma \sum_{s'} P(s'|s, \pi_i(s)) u_i(s')$
 - Given a **fixed** policy $\pi_i(s)$,
 - Calculate the resulting utility $u_i(s)$.
- **Policy Improvement:** $\pi_{i+1}(s) = \underset{a}{\operatorname{argmax}} \sum_{s'} P(s'|s, a) u_i(s')$
 - Given a **fixed** utility $u_i(s)$,
 - Find an improved $\pi_{i+1}(s)$.
- Unlike Value Iteration, this is guaranteed to converge in a finite number of steps (less than or equal to the number of distinct policies)

Step 1: Policy Evaluation

Bellman equation: n nonlinear equations in n unknowns:

$$\begin{bmatrix} u(1) \\ \vdots \\ u(n) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} r(1) \\ \vdots \\ r(n) \end{bmatrix} + \gamma \max_a \begin{bmatrix} P(1|1, a) & \cdots & P(1|n, a) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ P(n|1, a) & \cdots & P(n|n, a) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u(1) \\ \vdots \\ u(n) \end{bmatrix}$$

Policy Evaluation: n linear equations in n unknowns:

$$\begin{bmatrix} u_i(1) \\ \vdots \\ u_i(n) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} r(1) \\ \vdots \\ r(n) \end{bmatrix} + \gamma \begin{bmatrix} P(1|1, \pi_i(1)) & \cdots & P(1|n, \pi_i(n)) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ P(n|1, \pi_i(1)) & \cdots & P(n|n, \pi_i(n)) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_i(1) \\ \vdots \\ u_i(n) \end{bmatrix}$$



The difference is that policy evaluation is linear, so it can be solved by inverting a matrix:



$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}_i &= \mathbf{r} + \gamma \mathbf{P}_i \mathbf{u}_i \\ \mathbf{u}_i &= (\mathbf{I} - \gamma \mathbf{P}_i)^{-1} \mathbf{r} \end{aligned}$$

Example: Grid World

Policy Evaluation: $u_i(s) = r(s) + \gamma \sum_{s'} P(s'|s, \pi_i(s)) u_i(s')$

- Assume the initial policy is $\pi_1(s) = \text{“Go Right”}$ for all states
- Solve the linear equations to find $u_1(s)$

| $u_1(s)$ | | | |
|----------|-------|-------|---|
| +0.50 | +0.69 | +0.74 |  |
| -0.65 | | -0.90 |  |
| -1.40 | -1.44 | -1.39 | -1.40 |



| $\pi_1(s)$ | | | |
|------------|---|---|---|
| → | → | → |  |
| → | | → |  |
| → | → | → | → |

Policy Improvement



Policy Evaluation: $u_i(s) = r(s) + \gamma \sum_{s'} P(s'|s, \pi_i(s)) u_i(s')$

Policy Improvement: $\pi_{i+1}(s) = \operatorname{argmax}_a \sum_{s'} P(s'|s, a) u_i(s')$



$\pi_2(s)$

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| → | → | → |  |
| ↑ | | ↑ |  |
| ↑ | → | ↑ | ↑ |

$u_1(s)$

| | | | |
|-------|-------|-------|---|
| +0.50 | +0.69 | +0.74 |  |
| -0.65 | | -0.90 |  |
| -1.40 | -1.44 | -1.39 | -1.40 |

$\pi_1(s)$

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| → | → | → |  |
| → | | → |  |
| → | → | → | → |


Outline

- Problem statement
- Utility
- The discount factor
- Value Iteration
- Policy Iteration
- Comparison of value iteration and policy iteration

Value iteration

Optimal utilities with discount factor 1
(Result of value iteration)

| | | | | |
|---|-------|-------|-------|-----------|
| 3 | 0.812 | 0.868 | 0.918 | +1 |
| 2 | 0.762 | | 0.660 | -1 |
| 1 | 0.705 | 0.655 | 0.611 | 0.388 |
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |



Final policy

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|-----------|
| 3 | → | → | → | +1 |
| 2 | ↑ | | ↑ | -1 |
| 1 | ↑ | ← | ← | ← |
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |

Comparison of value iteration and policy iteration

- Bellman equation is n equations in n unknowns; cannot be solved in closed form, needs an iterative solution
- Value iteration
 - Behaves like BFS: each iteration looks one step farther from the start node
 - Usually converges exponentially fast to the correct policy
 - However, if there are loops possible in the maze, may never converge exactly
- Policy iteration
 - Kind of like gradient descent: evaluate a policy, then improve it
 - Guaranteed to converge in a finite number of steps
 - Harder to implement, and might take a while before it starts to converge

Summary

- Bellman equation:

$$u(s) = r(s) + \gamma \max_a \sum_{s'} P(S_{t+1} = s' | S_t = s, a) u(s')$$

- Value iteration:

$$u_i(s) = r(s) + \gamma \max_a \sum_{s'} P(S_{t+1} = s' | S_t = s, a) u_{i-1}(s')$$

- Policy iteration:

$$u_i(s) = r(s) + \gamma \sum_{s'} P(S_{t+1} = s' | S_t = s, \pi_i(s)) u_i(s')$$

$$\pi_{i+1}(s) = \operatorname{argmax}_a \sum_{s'} P(S_{t+1} = s' | S_t = s, a) u_i(s')$$