No writer's memo: -10

RE2v1 submitted late: -15

Features:	Description:	Range	Comments for 2-3 primary features (by % weight)
Coverage of issues & information	In making the case for funding the Manhattan Project, be sure to include the following technical content (not necessarily in this order):	20%	
	<ul> <li>The definition of (a) a fissionable nuclide, (b) a fissile nuclide and (c) a fertile nuclide. In your explanation, list (d) an example of a fissile nuclide, (e) an example of a fissionable nuclide that is not fissile, and (f) an example of a fertile publice.</li> </ul>	High Mid	
	<ul> <li>an example of a fertile nuclide.</li> <li>The definition of (a) a nuclear-explosive nuclide and (b) a nuclear explosive material. Explain (c) whether or not all fissile nuclides are nuclear-explosive nuclides. Explain (d) why some nuclides that are not</li> </ul>	Low	
	<ul> <li>findteal explosive indicides. Explain (d) why some indicides that are not fissile are nevertheless nuclear-explosive. In your explanation, (e) list an example of an important nuclear-explosive nuclide that is not fissile.</li> <li>Explain in one or two paragraphs the basic, general requirements for achieving a nuclear explosion using nuclear explosive material. n.b. Do not go into any of the details of particular weapon designs.</li> <li>Explain in (a) one paragraph why the energy released in a nuclear explosion is much greater than the energy released in the explosion of a conventional bomb. In (b) a separate paragraph illustrate the magnitude of energy release of a nuclear explosion when deployed strategically.</li> </ul>	LOW	
Accurate use of concepts	Accurate, clear definitions of: • Fissile, fissionable and fertile • NEM, NEN	20% High	
	Accurate explanation of: • Nuclear explosion using NEM	Mid	
	<ul> <li>Energy release greater than conventional bomb</li> </ul>	Low	
Explanation & argument	Writer's approach is persuasive	10%	
	Technical content is integrated into the persuasive case	High	
	<ul> <li>Explanations use careful logic and evidence in reasoning about concepts and their applications</li> </ul>	Mid	
		Low	

Professional & adaptive style	Geared toward college-educated member of Congress	20%			
	<ul> <li>Congruent with Congressional Research Service report style: text is clear, concise, organized; uses a tone appropriate to writing situation (CRS report)</li> </ul>	High Mid			
	<ul> <li>Comprehensive and thoughtful use of sources (need both "Physics and Technology of NEM" and course slides).</li> <li>Source info cited according to assignment sheet</li> <li>Most of report is in writer's own words</li> </ul>	Low			
Conformity to conventions	<ul> <li>1 page, single spaced</li> <li>Title and section headings specified in prompt</li> <li>Header and date in correct format</li> <li>Page numbers</li> </ul>	15% High	**If you can't find the error, come to office hours.**		
	<ul> <li>12-point Times New Roman font throughout, including page numbers (except if specified in prompt)</li> <li>1.25" side margins and 1" top margins and .5" bottom margins.</li> <li>Citation practices specified in prompt.</li> <li>Key terms bolded in first use</li> </ul>	Mid Low			
	( $$ = all correct, X = some mistakes (-10), XX = no conformity (-15) )				
Copy editing & use of standard	Grammar and mechanics are edited for correctness and readability	5% High			
language		Mid Low			
Peer Review	2% for each writer's memo question answered and/or for each substantial comment	10%			
Overall Comments:					