

Phys 280 RPPv2 rubric:

No hard copy submitted: -5

No writer's memo: -10

RPPv2 submitted late electronically: -15

No RPPv1 with comments + rubric attached: -5

Features:	Description:	Range:	Comments on student paper for each feature (noting problems and strengths):
Research question and thesis statement quality	<p>If RPPv1 was marked acceptable (PASS), the research question and thesis statement are similar to RPPv1.</p> <p>If RPPv1 was marked unacceptable (HOLD), the research question and thesis statement have been substantially revised and reflect the discussion between student and TA.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Research question has a well-defined problem to investigate (-5%) - Thesis statement appropriately answers the research question (-5%) - Both are narrowed appropriately and have potential for technical and policy aspects (-5%) 	<p>15%</p> <p>High</p> <p>Mid</p> <p>Low</p>	
Quality of Introduction	<p>The thesis statement is clearly identifiable (-3%)</p> <p>The introduction properly reflects the research question (-3%)</p> <p>The introduction is narrowed appropriately (-3%)</p> <p>It has potential for both technical and policy aspects (no need for 50/50) (-3%)</p> <p>The introduction is appropriate for use in the research paper (-5%)</p>	<p>20%</p> <p>High</p> <p>Mid</p> <p>Low</p>	
Outline quality	<p>The outline reflects chosen role (political scientist vs engineer) (-5%)</p> <p>Sentence-type outline (-3%)</p> <p>Logical, clear, well formatted (-3%)</p> <p>Each bullet point is clearly linked to a source in the references section by having a citation after it. (-3%)</p> <p>Outline is extended from RPPv1, with at least 7 bullet points (-3%)</p>	<p>25%</p> <p>High</p> <p>Mid</p> <p>Low</p>	

Quality of Conclusion	<p>The recommendation is clearly identifiable. (-5%)</p> <p>The conclusion is appropriate for use in the research paper. (-5%)</p>	<p>15%</p> <p>High Mid Low</p>	
Appropriate references, annotated bibliography, and paper length	<p>At least 6 sources with at least one relevant book, chapter from a book, or peer-reviewed paper</p> <p>No more than two assigned readings</p> <p>No more than two newspaper articles (these only from high-quality papers)</p> <p>Documents are from reputable sources and cited as such</p> <p>Citations are in American Psychological Association (APA) style, as specified by UJOIS</p> <p>Proposal is single-spaced and 1-1.5 pages with additional separate page for references.</p> <p>Each reference is annotated with a 1-2 sentence description of how the reference is relevant and how it will be used in the research paper.</p>	<p>20%</p> <p>High Mid Low</p>	
Copy editing and use of standard language	<p>Accurate use of APA style for in-text references and reference list</p>	<p>5%</p> <p>High Mid Low</p>	
Overall Comments:			

PASS

HOLD