

Features:	Description:	Range	Comments on student paper for each feature (noting problems and strengths):
Research question and thesis statement quality	<p>If RPPv1 was marked acceptable (PASS), the research question and thesis statement are similar to RPPv1.</p> <p>If RPPv1 was marked unacceptable (HOLD), the research question and thesis statement have been substantially revised and reflect the discussion between student and TA.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Research question has a well-defined problem to investigate (-5%) - Thesis statement appropriately answers the research question (-5%) - Both are narrowed appropriately and have potential for technical and policy aspects (-5%) 	<p>15%</p> <p>High</p> <p>Mid</p> <p>Low</p>	
Quality of Introduction	<p>The thesis statement is clearly identifiable (-3%)</p> <p>The introduction properly reflects the research question (-3%)</p> <p>The introduction is narrowed appropriately (-3%)</p> <p>It has potential for both technical and policy aspects (no need for 50/50) (-3%)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The introduction is appropriate for use in the research paper (-5%) 	<p>15%</p> <p>High</p> <p>Mid</p> <p>Low</p>	
Outline quality	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The outline reflects chosen role (political scientist vs engineer) (-5%) • Sentence-type outline (-3%) • Logical, clear, well formatted (-3%) • Each bullet point is clearly linked to a source in the references section by having a citation after it. (-3%) • Outline is extended from RPPv1, with at least 7 bullet points (-3%) 	<p>15%</p> <p>High</p> <p>Mid</p> <p>Low</p>	
Quality of Conclusion	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The recommendation is clearly identifiable. (-5%) • The conclusion is appropriate for use in the research paper. (-5%) 	<p>10%</p> <p>High</p> <p>Mid</p> <p>Low</p>	

Appropriate references, annotated bibliography, and paper length	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● At least 6 sources with at least one relevant book, chapter from a book, or peer-reviewed paper ● No more than two assigned readings ● No more than two newspaper articles (these only from high-quality papers) ● Documents are from reputable sources and cited as such ● Citations are in American Psychological Association (APA) style, as specified by IJOIS ● Proposal is single-spaced and 1-1.5 pages with additional separate pages for references. ● Each reference is annotated with a 1-2 sentence description of how the reference is relevant and how it will be used in the research paper. 	20% High Mid Low	
Copy editing & use of standard language	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Accurate use of APA style for in-text references and reference list 	5% High Mid Low	
Use of AI	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • AI Disclosure & Prompt Links: The Writer's Memo includes a clear AI disclosure statement and valid, accessible links to the AI chat logs. • Zero Hallucinations (Verification): All facts and references are strictly verified. No hallucinated, fake, or fabricated sources/information are used in the proposal or reference list. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ 10% deducted for each fabricated source. 	20% High Mid Low	
Overall Comments:			