## Phys 326 Discussion 6 - Central Force Orbits

Here is our growing collection of useful formulae concerning the two-body central force problem. Remember from our study of particle collections in 325: unscripted CAPITAL letters denote TOTALs for the system if they are additive quantities (e.g. $M=m_{1}+m_{2}$ ) or CM properties if they are not (e.g. $\vec{R}=\mathrm{CM}$ position).

- Coordinates : $\vec{r} \equiv \vec{r}_{1}-\vec{r}_{2}, \quad M \vec{R}=m_{1} \vec{r}_{1}+m_{2} \vec{r}_{2} \quad \ldots . \quad \vec{r}_{1}=\vec{R}+\frac{m_{2}}{M} \vec{r}, \quad \vec{r}_{2}=\vec{R}-\frac{m_{1}}{M} \vec{r}$
- L Equation : $\dot{\phi}=\frac{L}{\mu r^{2}} \quad \bullet$ E Equation : $\quad E=\frac{1}{2} \mu \dot{r}^{2}+\left(U+\frac{L^{2}}{2 \mu r^{2}}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \mu \dot{r}^{2}+U^{*}$
- Reduced Mass : $\mu=\frac{m_{1} m_{2}}{M}$
- Force Equation : $\quad \mu \ddot{r}=F(r)+\frac{L^{2}}{\mu r^{3}} \quad=F+F_{\mathrm{cf}}$
- Path Equation : with $u(\phi) \equiv \frac{1}{r(\phi)} \rightarrow u^{\prime \prime}+u=-\frac{\mu F(1 / u)}{L^{2} u^{2}} \quad$ and $\quad u^{\prime}=-\frac{\mu \dot{r}}{L}$

The new entry in our collection compared with last week's discussion is the Path Equation.

- The E or Force equation gives us the radial motion $r(t)$ as a function of time, for a given ang. momentum L .
- The L equation gives us the angular motion $\phi(t)$ as a function of time, once we know $r(t)$.
- The Path equation bypasses time entirely and gives us $r(\phi) \rightarrow$ the shape of the orbit in polar coordinates.

The one trick you need to remember about the path equation is to change variables from $r$ to $u \equiv 1 / r$. It just turns out that paths are much easier to calculate in the form $u(\phi)$ than in the form $r(\phi)$.

## Problem 1 : Comet Repelled by a Mysterious Object

A mysterious interstellar object O exerts a repulsive inverse cube force $\vec{F}=\left(m \gamma / r^{3}\right) \hat{r}$ on any mass $m$ in its vicinity. A comet of mass $m$ starts a great distance away, and moves toward O with speed $v_{0}=3 \sqrt{\gamma} /\left(4 b_{0}\right)$ along a straight line whose perpendicular distance from O is $b_{0}$. You may assume that the comet's mass $m$ is much less than that of the mysterious object O (so $\mu$ becomes very simple © ).
(a) Use the path equation to obtain the shape of the orbit, $u(\phi)$. For definiteness, define $\phi=0$ to correspond to the comet's initial position when it is infinitely far away from the mysterious object.
(b) Calculate the apsidal angle of the comet's trajectory $=$ the net angular interval $\Delta \phi$ through which the particle moves from its original position at infinity to its one apse. To do this, plot the function $u(\phi)$ you just found, then mentally take the inverse $1 / u$ of your sketch to plot $r$ vs $\phi$. Now recall from lecture: the L equation tells us that the angle $\phi$ is always increasing (or always decreasing) with time. Your $r(\phi)$ plot thus also shows us the time-evolution of the comet's $r$ coordinate. Since the comet came in from infinity, it is in an unbounded orbit ... what you should find is that $r$ decreases from infinity to a minimum value (periapsis) then back out to infinity. The apsidal angle you are looking for is the angle $\Delta \phi$ between either of those infinities and the periapsis point of closest approach.
${ }^{1}$ (a) $u(\phi)=\left(3 / 5 b_{0}\right) \sin (5 \phi / 3)$
(b) $54^{\circ}$
(d) $5 b_{0} / 3$
(e) checkpoint: $T=\left(u^{\prime 2}+u^{2}\right) L^{2} / m^{2}$
$\ldots$ final: $T(\phi)=v_{0}{ }^{2}\left[1-\frac{16}{25} \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{5 \phi}{3}\right)\right]$
(f) $U(r)=m \gamma /\left(2 r^{2}\right)$
(g) checkpoint: $U=\gamma u^{2} \ldots$ final: $U(\phi)=\frac{16}{25} v_{0}{ }^{2} \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{5 \phi}{3}\right)$
(h) $v_{0}^{2}=$ total $E$ (i) $U_{\text {cf }}=\frac{1}{2} m(r \dot{\phi})^{2}=$ part of $T$ !
(c) Sketch the comet's path, indicating the apsidal angle $\Delta \phi$ on your sketch. (It should appear in two places).
(d) Calculate the periapsis distance of the comet's trajectory $=$ its distance of closest approach to O .
(e) Calculate and plot the comet's kinetic energy $T=\frac{1}{2} m\left(\dot{r}^{2}+r^{2} \dot{\phi}^{2}\right)$ as a function of $\phi$ over its trajectory.

Take $m=2$ for convenience; your $T(\phi)$ expression will then only involve $v_{0}^{2}, \phi$, and numerical values.
(f) Figure out the formula for the potential energy $U(r)$ that corresponds to the force $\vec{F}=\left(m \gamma / r^{3}\right) \hat{r}$ exerted by the mystery object O .
(g) Now calculate and plot the comet's potential energy $U(\phi)$ as a function of angle. To compare with your $T(\phi)$ result from part (e), again use $m=2$ and express your result in terms of $v_{0}^{2}, \phi$, and numerical values.
(h) Add $T(\phi)+U(\phi)$. Are you happy with your result? What does it correspond to?
(i) If all went well, you found that $T(\phi)+U(\phi)$ is just the total, constant energy of the comet. Great.

But wait ... the potential energy you used was the potential energy due to the central force $\ldots$ what happened to the centrifugal potential energy $U_{\text {cf }} \equiv L^{2} / 2 \mu r^{2}$ ? Where did it go? If you're not sure, calculate $U_{\mathrm{cf}}(\phi)$ and see if you can find it somewhere within your total $E=T(\phi)+U(\phi)$ expression.

## Problem 2 : Kepler's Second Law

Incremental Hints ${ }^{2}$
Kepler's three famous laws are all observational. Unlike Newton's Laws, they don't explain the theory behind the motion of celestial bodies, rather they describe key features of that motion, with a level of accuracy and insight that was unprecedented in the $17^{\text {th }}$ century. In the $21^{\text {st }}$ century, we can derive the results from our underlying theory of mechanics and gravitation. Kepler's second law is already within your grasp. It says: "For each of the planets, the straight line connecting the planet to the Sun sweeps out equal areas in equal times." You can derive this using a feature incorporated into several of our above equations: that the angular momentum $L$ of the Sun-planet system is conserved. Amazingly, you don't need to know anything about the shape of the orbit other than it's in a single plane (which is also a consequence of the angular momentum vector $\vec{L}$ being conserved). Go ahead and derive the relation $d A / d t=L / 2 \mu=$ constant for a particle of mass $\mu$ orbiting around a fixed origin. A long chain of incremental hints is provided in the checkpoint, see if you can find the solution without using all of them.

[^0]
[^0]:    ${ }^{2}$ Hints: SKETCH a segment of a generic orbit (it can have any shape, it only has to be smooth) and draw the little wedge of area $d A$ that is swept out when you change angle by a little bit $d \phi \ldots \ldots$ for infinitesimally small $d \phi$, the little wedge $d A$ swept out can be approximated as a triangle with straight sides ...... write vectors for two sides of the triangle, remembering that we want the amount $d A$ that's swept out in a tiny time interval $d t$ (so we can construct $\mathrm{dA} / \mathrm{dt}$ at the end) ...... one of the vectors is $\vec{r}=$ the position vector pointing to the spot where we began our little motion ... ... the vector along the orbit involves the vector $\vec{v}$ and something to give it the right units ...... it is $\vec{v} d t \ldots \ldots$ the little triangle can be turned into a parallelogram of area $2 d A \ldots \ldots$ what simple formula gives you the area of a parallelogram in terms of the vectors describing two of its sides? . cross-product what is the formula for angular momentum? ...... $\vec{L}=\vec{r} \times \mu \vec{v} \ldots \ldots$ rearrange to get $d A / d t$.

