


Temperature. Sensors. Measuring technique.

Eugene V. Colla



Outline

Temperature Sensors

Measuring equipment and ideas

Sensor calibration

Temperature scales


Notcontacting devices: Pyrometers



Jožef Stefan
(1835-1893)



Ludwig Eduard Boltzmann
(1844-1906)



OS643



Range C°
0÷260

$$P = e\sigma A T^4$$

e - emissivity;

σ - Stefan-Boltzmann constant

$$(\sigma = 5.67 \times 10^{-8} \text{ W m}^{-2} \text{ K}^{-4})$$

A - surface area

T - temperature



Extech
42545

Range C°
-50÷1000



Extech
42580




3

Contacting devices: Bi-Metal Thermometers




Typical temperature range
 $-10^{\circ}\text{C} \div 200^{\circ}\text{C}$

- Very cheap
- No electronics
- Easy to use
- Moderate precision ($\pm 1\%$ from full scale)




Contacting devices: Volume Expansion Thermometer



$$\Delta V = V \beta \Delta T$$

β –coefficient of volume expansion



Average Expansion Coefficients for Some Materials Near Room Temperature




Material	Average Coefficient of Linear Expansion (α) ($^{\circ}\text{C}^{-1}$)	Material	Average Coefficient of Volume Expansion (β) ($^{\circ}\text{C}^{-1}$)
Aluminum	24×10^{-6}	Acetone	1.5×10^{-4}
Brass and bronze	19×10^{-6}	Alcohol, ethyl	1.12×10^{-4}
Copper	17×10^{-6}	Benzene	1.24×10^{-4}
Glass (ordinary)	9×10^{-6}	Gasoline	9.6×10^{-4}
Glass (Pyrex)	3.2×10^{-6}	Glycerin	4.85×10^{-4}
Lead	29×10^{-6}	Mercury	1.82×10^{-4}
Steel	11×10^{-6}	Turpentine	9.0×10^{-4}
Invar (Ni–Fe alloy)	0.9×10^{-6}	Air ^a at 0°C	3.67×10^{-3}
Concrete	12×10^{-6}	Helium ^a	3.665×10^{-3}



Contacting devices: Seebeck Effect. Thermocouples



Thomas Johann Seebeck
(1770-1831)



$$S = \frac{dU}{dT}$$

$$U_S = \int_{T_0}^{T_c} S_A dT + \int_{T_c}^{T_H} S_B dT + \int_{T_H}^{T_0} S_A dT = \int_{T_c}^{T_H} (S_B - S_A) dT$$

S -Seebeck coefficient

Contacting devices: Seebeck Effect. Thermocouples

Seebeck coefficients

Material	Seebeck Coeff. *	Material	Seebeck Coeff. *	Material	Seebeck Coeff. *
Aluminum	3.5	Gold	6.5	Rhodium	6.0
Antimony	47	Iron	19	Selenium	900
Bismuth	-72	Lead	4.0	Silicon	440
Cadmium	7.5	Mercury	0.60	Silver	6.5
Carbon	3.0	Nichrome	25	Sodium	-2.0
Constantan	-35	Nickel	-15	Tantalum	4.5
Copper	6.5	Platinum	0	Tellurium	500
Germanium	300	Potassium	-9.0	Tungsten	7.5


*: Units are $\mu\text{V}/^\circ\text{C}$; all data provided at a temperature of 0°C

Type T (copper-constantan) has thermoemf at 0°C $41.5\mu\text{V}/^\circ\text{C}$;

Contacting devices:


Thermocouples

Thermocouple Type	Names of Materials	Useful Application Range
B	Platinum 30% Rhodium (+) Platinum 6% Rhodium (-)	2500-3100F 1370-1700C
C	W5Re Tungsten 5% Rhenium (+) W26Re Tungsten 26% Rhenium (-)	3000-4200F 1650-2315C
E	Chromel (+) Constantan (-)	200-1650F 95-900C
J	Iron (+) Constantan (-)	200-1400F 95-760C
K	Chromel (+) Alumel (-)	200-2300F 95-1260C
N	Nicrosil (+) Nisil (-)	1200-2300F 650-1260C
R	Platinum 13% Rhodium (+) Platinum (-)	1600-2640F 870-1450C
S	Platinum 10% Rhodium (+) Platinum (-)	1800-2640F 980-1450C
T	Copper (+) Constantan (-)	-330-660F -200-350C




Contacting devices:


emf (T) dependence



Thermocouples




9th order polynomial fit



To reduce the error we have to split the temperature range in to a couple of segments



Contacting devices:

LakeShore





DT-470-SD Features:


1. Monotonic temperature response from 1.4 K to 500 K.
2. Conformance to standard Curve 10 temperature response curve
3. Useful above 60 K in magnetic fields up to 5 T




Silicon diode DT470



Calibration problems





$$U^* = U_{DT470} \bullet k$$



Contacting devices:

Resistance Temperature Detectors




RTD Features:

1. Temperature range: 14 K to 873 K
2. High reproducibility: $\pm 5 \text{ mK}$ at 77 K
3. Low magnetic field dependence above 40 K
4. Excellent for use in ionizing radiation

Measuring issue:

NB!



If the sensor is mounted in cryostat the overall leads resistance could reach a couple of ohms. This will In case of RTD100 the resistance at 0°C is 100Ω give an error of a couple percent!

Four probe technique



Most of DMM's have four probe option for resistance measurements.



$$I_1 = I_0 * R_T / (r_2 + r_3 + R_{in}) \sim 0$$

$$R_{in} \sim 10^{10} \Omega$$

Vapor Pressure Thermometry

$$T_{90}/K = A_0 + \sum_{i=1}^9 A_i [(\ln(p/\text{Pa}) - B)/C]^i. \quad (3)$$


He4 P-T phase diagram



Critical point of He4 $T=5.19\text{K}$, $P= 0.227 \text{ MPa}$

Vapor Pressure Thermometry

Nitrogen P-T phase diagram




Some more exotic techniques of measuring the temperature




John Bertrand Johnson
(1887–1970)

Johnson–Nyquist noise Thermometry

$$S^R = 4kTR(T)$$



Harry Nyquist
(1889–1976)



2004 IEEE Aerospace Conference Proceedings

Johnson Noise Thermometry for Harsh Environments

R. Kisner¹, C. L. Britton^{1,2}, U. Jagadish¹, J. B. Wilgen¹, M. Roberts², T. V. Blalock^{2,3}, D. Holcomb¹, M. Bobrek^{1,2}, M. N. Ericson^{1,2}

¹Oak Ridge National Laboratory, MS6006, Oak Ridge, TN 37831-6006, BRITTONCL@ornl.gov
²Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering, The University of Tennessee, Knoxville TN
³Deceased

Courtesy by NIST

Some more exotic techniques of measuring the temperature

Johnson–Nyquist noise Thermometry

PHILOSOPHICAL
TRANSACTIONS A

rsta.royalsocietypublishing.org

Research



Noise thermometry at ultra-low temperatures

D. Rothfuss, A. Reiser, A. Fleischmann and C. Enss

Kirchhoff-Institut für Physik, Universität Heidelberg, Im Neuenheimer Feld 227, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany





Figure 3. (a) Spectral power density of flux noise at different temperatures as noted on the right end of the curves. The solid lines are identical in spectral shape with the lines obtained by fitting the data at 800 mK but using different prefactors. (b) Temperatures T_n deduced from the noise spectra of the copper source as a function of reference temperature points provided by a ^{195}Pt NMR thermometer (below 12.6 mK) and by a RuO_2 resistance thermometer (above 12.6 mK) calibrated via a superconducting fix point device (SRM768). The equality of noise and reference temperature is indicated by the solid line. We find that the temperature obtained by the two methods differs by less than 5% in the entire temperature range as indicated by the dashed lines. (Online version in colour.)

Some more exotic techniques of measuring the temperature

Real time frequency domain fibroptic temperature sensor using ruby crystals

J. R. Alcala, S-C. Liao and J. Zheng


Department of Biomedical Engineering, Case Western Reserve University,
Cleveland, OH 44106, USA




The use of optical methods, to invasively measure temperature, offers the advantage of electrical isolation, when compared to the traditional use of electronic thermometers. In some instances, optical techniques are necessary as in the case of clinical radio-frequency heat treatment, where interference from electromagnetic fields makes electronic thermometers unreliable. Optical tem-

Some more exotic techniques of measuring the temperature

Britton Jeter and Kyle Sendgikoski, P403, Spring 2014




Sensors Calibration



Official list of a fixed temperature points recommended for sensor calibration (ITS-90)

T_{90}/K	$t_{90}/^\circ\text{C}$	Temperature		Substance	State
from 3 to 5	from - 270,15 to - 268,15			He - Helium	Saturated vapor pressure
83,805 8	- 189,344 2			Ar - Argon	Triple point
234,315 6	- 38,834 4			Hg - Mercury	Triple point
273,16	0,01			H_2O - Water	Triple point
302,914 6	29,764 6			Ga - Gallium	Melting point
429,748 5	156,598 5			In - Indium	Solidification point
505,078	231,928			Sn - Tin	Solidification point
692,677	419,527			Zn - Zinc	Solidification point
933,473	660,323			Al - Aluminium	Solidification point
1 234,93	961,78			Ag - Silver	Solidification point
1 337,33	1 064,18			Au - Gold	Solidification point
1 357,77	1 084,62			Cu - Copper	Solidification point

Comparison of temperature scales



Kelvin Celsius Fahrenheit Rankine Delisle Newton Réaumur Rømer

Comment	Kelvin K	Celsius °C	Fahrenheit °F	Rankine °Ra (°R)	Delisle °D	Newton °N	Réaumur °R, (°Ré, °Re)	Rømer °Rø (°R)
Absolute zero	0	-273.15	-459.67	0	559.725	-90.14	-218.52	-135.90
Lowest recorded natural temperature on Earth	184	-89	-128	331	284	-29	-71	-39
Water freezes (at standard pressure)	273.15	0	32	491.67	150	0	0	7.5
Average human body temperature ²	310.0 ± 0.7	36.8 ± 0.7	98.2 ± 1.3	557.9 ± 1.3	94.8 ± 1.1	12.1 ± 0.2	29.4 ± 0.6	26.8 ± 0.4
Highest recorded surface temperature on Earth	331	58	136	596	63	19	46	38
Water boils (at standard pressure)	373.13	99.98	211.97	671.64	0	33	80	60
Titanium melts	1941	1668	3034	3494	-2352	550	1334	883
The surface of the Sun	5800	5526	9980	10440	-8140	1823	4421	2909

Temperature scales: reference temperature points

Temperature scale	Temperature point #1	Temperature point #2	K to °X conversion
Rømer scale	0°Rø – temperature of freezing of brine	60°Rø – temperature of boiling water	$[^{\circ}\text{Rø}] = ([\text{K}] - 273.15) \times 21/40 + 7.5$
Réaumur scale	0°R – temperature of freezing water	80°R – temperature of boiling water	$[^{\circ}\text{Ré}] = ([\text{K}] - 273.15) \times 4/5$
Delisle scale	0°D - temperature of boiling water	150°D - temperature of freezing water	$[^{\circ}\text{De}] = (373.15 - [\text{K}]) \times 3/2$
Rankine scale	0°Ra – absolute zero	491.67°Ra temperature of water freezing. ($1^{\circ}\text{Ra}=1^{\circ}\text{F}$)	$[^{\circ}\text{R}] = [\text{K}] \times 9/5$
Fahrenheit scale	32 °F temperature of freezing water	212 °F temperature of boiling water	$[^{\circ}\text{F}] = [\text{K}] \times 9/5 - 459.67$
Newton scale	0°N – temperature of freezing water	33°N – temperature of boiling water	$[^{\circ}\text{N}] = ([\text{K}] - 273.15) \times 33/100$
Celsius scale	0°C temperature of freezing water	100°C temperature of boiling water	$[^{\circ}\text{C}] = ([^{\circ}\text{R}] - 491.67) \times 5/9$