## Calculation of Inharmonicities in Musical Instruments

As we have discussed in the POM lectures, real 1-dimensional musical instruments do not have a perfect/ideal harmonic sequence of overtones - i.e. $f_{n}^{\text {thy }}=n \cdot f_{1}^{\text {thy }}, \quad n=1,2,3, \ldots$, in contrast to the predictions of the perfect/ideal "simple" first-order theory of how the instrument works - such first-order theories neglect/ignore higher-order real-world effects such as finite string stiffness, viscous damping/dissipative effects that do indeed change/shift/perturb the resonant frequencies from their "ideal" "simple" first-order theory predictions.

For any given 1-dimensional musical instrument, if we measure the frequencies of the individual harmonics of the instrument $f_{n}^{\text {expt }}$, we can compare them with the perfect/ideal "simple" first-order theory prediction $f_{n}^{\text {thy }}=n \cdot f_{1}^{\text {thy }}, \quad n=1,2,3, \ldots$ of the harmonic sequence of overtones to see how close to perfect/ideal the musical instrument actually is. In general, the experimental results will be close to, but not precisely identical with the simple perfect/ideal theory predictions. The deviation of each harmonic $f_{n}^{\text {expt }}$ from the perfect/ideal prediction $f_{n}^{\text {thy }}=n \cdot f_{1}^{\text {thy }}, \quad n=1,2,3, \ldots$ is a measure of the inharmonicity of the musical instrument.

## I.) Stringed Instrument Inharmonicities:

For stringed instruments, the fundamental frequency $f_{1}^{\text {expt }}$ of an open vibrating string is not significantly perturbed from its perfect/ideal theory value of $f_{1}^{\text {thy }}=v / \lambda_{1}=2 \sqrt{T / \mu} / L$, thus we can use it as a reference for the higher harmonics $f_{n}^{\text {thy }}=n \cdot f_{1}^{\text {thy }}, \quad n=1,2,3, \ldots$.

We can calculate the frequency ratios $R_{n}^{\text {expt }} \equiv\left(f_{n}^{\text {expt }} / f_{1}^{\text {expt }}\right)=n_{\text {expt }}(\neq n=1,2,3 \ldots)$ and compare these results to the "simple" perfect/ideal theory predictions $R_{n}^{\text {thy }} \equiv\left(f_{n}^{\text {thy }} / f_{1}^{\text {thy }}\right)=n, \quad n=1,2,3, \ldots$

The $\underline{\text { measured }}$ frequency ratios $R_{n}^{\text {expt }} \equiv\left(f_{n}^{\text {expt }} / f_{1}^{\text {expt }}\right)=n_{\text {expt }}$ should be $\underline{\text { close }}$ to, but will $\underline{\text { not }}$ be precisely equal to $n, \quad n=1,2,3, \ldots$ for stringed instruments, e.g. due to finite string stiffness and, to a lesser extent, due to the effect(s) of viscous damping/dissipation effects of the surrounding air in proximity of the vibrating string.

The deviation of a given measured frequency ratio $R_{n}^{\text {expt }} \equiv\left(f_{n}^{\text {expt }} / f_{1}^{\text {expt }}\right)$ from its perfect/ideal theory value $R_{n}^{\text {thy }} \equiv\left(f_{n}^{\text {thy }} / f_{1}^{\text {thy }}\right)=n, \quad n=1,2,3, \ldots$ is given by the experiment vs. theory difference between these two quantities:

$$
\Delta R_{n}^{\text {expt-thy }} \equiv R_{n}^{\text {expt }}-R_{n}^{\text {thy }}=\left(\frac{f_{n}^{\text {expt }}}{f_{1}^{\text {expt }}}\right)-\left(\frac{f_{n}^{\text {thy }}}{f_{1}^{\text {thy }}}\right)=n_{\text {expt }}-n
$$

If we then normalize the above expression to the theory ratio $R_{n}^{\text {thy }} \equiv\left(f_{n}^{\text {thy }} / f_{1}^{\text {thy }}\right)=n, \quad n=1,2,3, \ldots$ then we obtain the fractional deviation of a given measured frequency ratio $R_{n}^{\text {expt }} \equiv\left(f_{n}^{\text {expt }} / f_{1}^{\text {expt }}\right)$ from its perfect/ideal theory value $R_{n}^{\text {thy }} \equiv\left(f_{n}^{\text {thy }} / f_{1}^{\text {thy }}\right)=n, \quad n=1,2,3, \ldots$ :

$$
\frac{\Delta R_{n}^{\text {expt t-thy }}}{R_{n}^{\text {thy }}} \equiv \frac{R_{n}^{\text {expt }}-R_{n}^{\text {thy }}}{R_{n}^{\text {thy }}}=\frac{\left(\frac{f_{n}^{\text {expt }}}{f_{1}^{\text {expt }}}\right)-\left(\frac{f_{n}^{\text {thy }}}{f_{1}^{\text {thy }}}\right)}{\left(\frac{f_{n}^{\text {thy }}}{f_{1}^{\text {thy }}}\right)}=\frac{n_{\text {expt }}-n}{n}=\frac{\Delta n_{\text {expt }} \text {-thy }}{n}
$$

Next, if we multiply this expression by the theory value of the frequency of this harmonic $f_{n}^{\text {thy }}$, then this is equal to the shift/departure of this harmonic's frequency from its perfect/ideal theory value $\Delta f_{n}^{\text {expt-thy }}$ (in Hz ):

$$
\Delta f_{n}^{\text {expt-thy }}(H z)=f_{n}^{\text {thy }}\left(\frac{\Delta R_{n}^{\text {expt-thy }}}{R_{n}^{\text {thy }}}\right)=f_{n}^{\text {thy }}\left[\frac{\left(\frac{f_{n}^{\text {expt }}}{\left.f_{1}^{\text {expt }}\right)-\left(\frac{f_{n}^{\text {thy }}}{f_{1}^{\text {thy }}}\right)}\right.}{\left(\frac{f_{n}^{\text {thy }}}{f_{1}^{\text {thy }}}\right)}\right]=f_{n}^{\text {thy }}\left(\frac{n_{\text {expt }}-n}{n}\right)=f_{n}^{\text {thy }}\left(\frac{\Delta n_{\text {expt }- \text { thy }}}{n}\right)
$$

Stated another way:

$$
\left(\frac{\Delta f_{n}^{\text {expt }- \text { thy }}}{f_{n}^{\text {thy }}}\right)=\left(\frac{\Delta R_{n}^{\text {expt-thy }}}{R_{n}^{\text {thy }}}\right)=\left(\frac{R_{n}^{\text {expt }}-R_{n}^{\text {thy }}}{R_{n}^{\text {thy }}}\right)=\left[\frac{\left(\frac{f_{n}^{\text {expt }}}{f_{1}^{\text {expt }}}\right)-\left(\frac{f_{n}^{\text {thy }}}{f_{1}^{\text {thy }}}\right)}{\left(\frac{f_{t}^{\text {thy }}}{f_{1}^{\text {thy }}}\right)}\right]=\left(\frac{n_{\text {expt }}-n}{n}\right)=\left(\frac{\Delta n_{\text {expt }- \text { thy }}}{n}\right)
$$

Then the $\underline{\% \text { deviations }} \Delta_{n}^{\text {expt-thy }}(\%)$ of the actual/measured harmonics of a stringed instrument from their perfect/ideal theory values are obtained by multiplying the above expression by $100 \%$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{n}^{\text {expt thy }}(\%) & \equiv 100\left(\frac{\Delta f_{n}^{\text {expt-thy }}}{f_{n}^{\text {thy }}}\right)=100\left(\frac{\Delta R_{n}^{\text {expt-thy }}}{R_{n}^{\text {thy }}}\right)=100\left(\frac{R_{n}^{\text {expt }}-R_{n}^{\text {thy }}}{R_{n}^{\text {thy }}}\right) \\
& =100\left[\frac{\left(\frac{f_{n}^{\text {expt }}}{f_{1}^{\text {expt }}}\right)-\left(\frac{f_{n}^{\text {thy }}}{f_{1}^{\text {thy }}}\right)}{\left(\frac{f_{n}^{\text {thy }}}{f_{1}^{\text {thy }}}\right)}\right]=100\left(\frac{n_{\text {expt }}-n}{n}\right)=100\left(\frac{\Delta n_{\text {expt } t \text { thy }}}{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now one semi-tone in the tempered scale is equal to 100 cents, and since there are 12 notes in the tempered scale, then one octave $=1200$ cents. The frequency ratio between two adjacent notes (i.e. a semitone) in the tempered scale is $a^{1 / 12}=2^{1 / 12}=1.0594631$.

Thus, one semitone low from the frequency $f_{n}^{\text {thy }}$ is given by the formula:

$$
f_{\text {low }}^{\text {semitone }}=f_{n}^{\text {thy }} / a^{1 / 12}=f_{n}^{\text {thy }} / 2^{1 / 12}=f_{n}^{\text {thy }} / 1.0594631=0.943874 f_{n}^{\text {thy }}
$$

and one semitone high from the frequency $f_{n}^{\text {thy }}$ is given by the formula:

$$
f_{\text {high }}^{\text {semitone }}=a^{1 / 12} f_{n}^{\text {thy }}=2^{1 / 12} f_{n}^{\text {thy }}=1.0594631 f_{n}^{\text {thy }}
$$

The frequency difference $\Delta f_{\text {low }}^{\text {semitone }}$ for one semitone low from $f_{n}^{\text {thy }}$ is given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta f_{\text {low }}^{\text {semitone }} & =f_{n}^{\text {thy }}-f_{\text {low }}^{\text {semitone }}=f_{n}^{\text {thy }}-f_{n}^{\text {thy }} / a^{1 / 12}=f_{n}^{\text {thy }}\left(1-1 / a^{1 / 12}\right)=f_{n}^{\text {thy }}\left(1-1 / 2^{1 / 12}\right) \\
& =f_{n}^{\text {thy }}(1-0.943874) \\
& =0.0561257 f_{n}^{\text {thy }}(\mathrm{Hz})
\end{aligned}
$$

The frequency difference $\Delta f_{\text {low }}^{\text {semitone }}$ for one semitone high from $f_{n}^{\text {thy }}$ is given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta f_{\text {high }}^{\text {semitone }} & =f_{\text {high }}^{\text {semitone }}-f_{n}^{\text {thy }}=a^{1 / 12} f_{n}^{\text {thy }}-f_{n}^{\text {thy }}=f_{n}^{\text {thy }}\left(a^{1 / 12}-1\right)=f_{n}^{\text {thy }}\left(2^{1 / 12}-1\right) \\
& =f_{n}^{\text {thy }}(1.0594630-1) \\
& =0.0594630 f_{n}^{\text {thy }}(\mathrm{Hz})
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice that $\left(\Delta f_{\text {low }}^{\text {semitone }}=0.0561257 f_{n}^{\text {thy }}\right) \neq\left(\Delta f_{\text {high }}^{\text {semitone }}=0.0594630 f_{n}^{\text {thy }}\right)$.
They're close, but they are not precisely equal to each other.
Suppose the frequency of a note on the musical scale, e.g. A5 is $f_{n}^{\text {thy }}=880.00 \mathrm{~Hz}$.
The frequency of $\mathrm{A}_{b} 5$ (one semitone $\underline{\text { low }}$ ) is 830.61 Hz , differing from A5 by 49.39 Hz . The frequency of B5 (one semitone high) is 932.33 Hz , differing from A5 by 52.33 Hz .

In order to express our measured experimental vs. theory frequency differences $\Delta f_{n}^{\text {expt-thy }}$ in cents, we need to a.) first normalize $\Delta f_{n}^{\text {expt-thy }}$ to $\Delta f_{\text {low }}^{\text {semitone }}$ (if $\Delta f_{n}^{\text {expt-thy }}<0$ ) or normalize $\Delta f_{n}^{\text {expt thy }}$ to $\Delta f_{\text {high }}^{\text {semitone }}$ (if $\Delta f_{n}^{\text {expt-thy }}>0$ ), then b.) multiply $\underline{\text { each }}$ of these ratios by $\underline{100 \text { cents: }}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { If } \Delta f_{n}^{\text {expt-thy }}<0: \# \text { cents low }=100\left(\frac{\Delta f_{n}^{\text {expt-thy }}}{\Delta f_{\text {low }}^{\text {semitone }}}\right) \text { cents } \\
& \text { If } \Delta f_{n}^{\text {expt-thy }}>0: \# \text { cents high }=100\left(\frac{\Delta f_{n}^{\text {expt-thy }}}{\Delta f_{\text {high }}^{\text {semitone }}}\right) \text { cents }
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, inserting the formulas for $\Delta f_{n}^{\text {expt-thy }}, \Delta f_{\text {low }}^{\text {semitone }}$ and $\Delta f_{\text {high }}^{\text {semitone }}$ in these expressions, we have:
If $\Delta f_{n}^{\text {expt-thy }}<0: \#$ cents low $=100\left(\frac{f_{n}^{\text {步 }}}{f_{n}^{\text {t步 }}\left(1-1 / 2^{1 / 12}\right)}\right)\left(\frac{n_{\text {expt }}-n}{n}\right)=\frac{100}{\left(1-1 / 2^{1 / 12}\right)}\left(\frac{n_{\text {expt }}}{n}-1\right)$ cents
If $\Delta f_{n}^{\text {expt-thy }}>0: \#$ cents high $=100\left(\frac{f_{n}^{\text {thy }}}{f_{n}^{\text {thf }}\left(2^{1 / 12}-1\right)}\right)\left(\frac{n_{\text {expt }}-n}{n}\right)=\frac{100}{\left(2^{1 / 12}-1\right)}\left(\frac{n_{\text {expt }}}{n}-1\right)$ cents
where:

$$
n_{\text {expt }} \equiv\left(f_{n}^{\text {expt }} / f_{1}^{\text {expt }}\right) \text { and: } n \equiv\left(f_{n}^{\text {thy }} / f_{1}^{\text {thy }}\right), \quad n=1,2,3, \ldots
$$

Numerically, these formulas, for stringed instrument inharmonicities are:
If $\Delta f_{n}^{\text {expt-thy }}<0$ :

$$
\# \text { cents low }=\frac{100}{\left(1-1 / 2^{1 / 12}\right)}\left(\frac{n_{\text {expt }}}{n}-1\right)=\frac{100}{0.0561257}\left(\frac{n_{\text {expt }}}{n}-1\right)=1781.715\left(\frac{n_{\text {expt }}}{n}-1\right) \text { cents }
$$

If $\Delta f_{n}^{\text {expt-thy }}>0$ :

$$
\# \text { cents high }=\frac{100}{\left(2^{1 / 12}-1\right)}\left(\frac{n_{\text {expt }}}{n}-1\right)=\frac{100}{0.0594630}\left(\frac{n_{\text {expt }}}{n}-1\right)=1681.718\left(\frac{n_{\text {expt }}}{n}-1\right) \text { cents }
$$

where: $n_{\text {expt }} \equiv\left(f_{n}^{\text {expt }} / f_{1}^{\text {expt }}\right)$ and: $n \equiv\left(f_{n}^{\text {thy }} / f_{1}^{\text {thy }}\right), \quad n=1,2,3, \ldots$

## II.) Wind/Brass Lip-Reed Instrument Inharmonicities:

For wind/brass lip-reed instruments, the fundamental frequency $f_{1}^{\text {expt }}$ of an open vibrating string is significantly perturbed from its perfect/ideal theory value of $f_{1}^{\text {thy }}=v / \lambda_{1}$, because of a variety of higher-order effects not taken into account in the simple perfect/ideal theory - e.g. frequency-dependent wavelength effects at the bell end of the instrument; the (longitudinal) speed of sound propagation in the confined/internal space of the instrument is also formally frequency dependent, i.e. $v=v(f)$ and especially so a lower frequencies, due to frequencydependent viscous damping/dissipation effects. In wind/brass lip-reed instruments, the fundamental frequency $f_{1}^{\text {expt }} \mathrm{v}$ (aka the "pedal note" tends to be systematically pulled $\underline{\boldsymbol{l o w}}$, and significantly so. Thus, we cannot use the fundamental as a reference for the higher harmonics $f_{n}^{\text {thy }}=n \cdot f_{1}^{\text {thy }}, \quad n=1,2,3, \ldots$. The pedal note/fundamental is also extremely difficult to play on wind/brass lip-reed instruments, and also not with any great accuracy. The second harmonic of wind/brass lip-reed instruments is much less adversely affected than the fundamental (= the first harmonic), and also easy to play, accurately so. Hence we can/will use the second harmonic as a reference for the higher harmonics in wind/brass lip-reed instruments.

We can then calculate the frequency ratios $R_{n}^{\text {expt }} \equiv\left(f_{n}^{\text {expt }} / f_{2}^{\text {expt }}\right)=n_{\text {expt }} / 2(\neq n=1,2,3 \ldots)$ and compare these results to the "simple" perfect/ideal theory predictions $R_{n}^{\text {thy }} \equiv\left(f_{n}^{\text {thy }} / f_{2}^{\text {thy }}\right)=n / 2, \quad n=1,2,3, \ldots$

The $\underline{\text { measured }}$ frequency ratios $R_{n}^{\text {expt }} \equiv\left(f_{n}^{\text {expt }} / f_{2}^{\text {expt }}\right)=n_{\text {expt }} / 2$ should be close to, but will $\underline{\text { not }}$ be precisely equal to $n / 2, \quad n=1,2,3, \ldots$ for wind/brass lip-reed instruments, due to the effect(s) of viscous damping/dissipation effects of the air inside the bore of and in contact with the inside surface(s) of the instrument.

The $\underline{\text { deviation }}$ of a given $\underline{\text { measured }}$ frequency ratio $R_{n}^{\text {expt }} \equiv\left(f_{n}^{\text {expt }} / f_{2}^{\text {expt }}\right)$ from its perfect/ideal "simple" theory value $R_{n}^{\text {thy }} \equiv\left(f_{n}^{\text {thy }} / f_{2}^{\text {thy }}\right)=n / 2, \quad n=1,2,3, \ldots$ is given by the experiment $v s$. theory difference between these two quantities:

$$
\Delta R_{n}^{\text {expt thy }} \equiv R_{n}^{\text {expt }}-R_{n}^{\text {thy }}=\left(\frac{f_{n}^{\text {expt }}}{f_{2}^{\text {expt }}}\right)-\left(\frac{f_{n}^{\text {thy }}}{f_{2}^{\text {thy }}}\right)=\frac{n_{\text {expt }}}{2}-\frac{n}{2}=\frac{\Delta n_{\text {expt }- \text { thy }}}{2}
$$

If we then normalize the above expression to the theory ratio $R_{n}^{\text {thy }} \equiv\left(f_{n}^{\text {thy }} / f_{2}^{\text {thy }}\right)=n / 2, \quad n=1,2,3, \ldots$ then we obtain the fractional deviation of a given $\underline{\text { measured }}$ frequency ratio $R_{n}^{\text {expt }} \equiv\left(f_{n}^{\text {expt }} / f_{2}^{\text {expt }}\right)$ from its perfect/ideal theory value

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{n}^{\text {thy }} \equiv\left(f_{n}^{\text {thy }} / f_{2}^{\text {thy }}\right)=n / 2, \quad n=1,2,3, \ldots: \\
& \quad \frac{\Delta R_{n}^{\text {expt thy }}}{R_{n}^{\text {thy }}} \equiv \frac{R_{n}^{\text {expt }}-R_{n}^{\text {thy }}}{R_{n}^{\text {thy }}}=\frac{\left(\frac{f_{n}^{\text {expt }}}{f_{2}^{\text {expt }}}\right)-\left(\frac{f_{n}^{\text {thy }}}{f_{2}^{\text {thy }}}\right)}{\left(\frac{f_{n}^{\text {thy }}}{f_{2}^{\text {thy }}}\right)}=\frac{\frac{n_{\text {expt }}}{2}-\frac{n}{2}}{\frac{n}{2}}=\frac{\frac{\Delta n_{\text {expt }- \text { thy }}}{2}}{\frac{n}{2}}=\frac{\Delta n_{\text {expt }- \text { thy }}}{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Next, if we multiply this expression by the theory value of the frequency of this harmonic $f_{n}^{\text {thy }}$, then this is equal to the shift/departure of this harmonic's frequency from its perfect/ideal theory value $\Delta f_{n}^{\text {expt-thy }}$ (in Hz ):

$$
\Delta f_{n}^{e^{\text {expt-thy }}}(H z)=f_{n}^{\text {thy }}\left(\frac{\Delta R_{n}^{\text {expt thy }}}{R_{n}^{\text {thy }}}\right)=f_{n}^{\text {thy }}\left[\frac{\left(\frac{f_{n}^{\text {expt }}}{f_{2}^{\text {expt }}}\right)-\left(\frac{f_{n}^{\text {thy }}}{f_{2}^{\text {thy }}}\right)}{\left(\frac{f_{n}^{\text {thy }}}{f_{2}^{\text {thy }}}\right)}\right]=f_{n}^{\text {thy }}\left(\frac{n_{\text {expt }}-n}{n}\right)=f_{n}^{\text {thy }}\left(\frac{\Delta n_{\text {expt-thy }}}{n}\right)
$$

Stated another way:

$$
\left(\frac{\Delta f_{n}^{\text {expt }} \text { thy }}{f_{n}^{\text {thy }}}\right)=\left(\frac{\Delta R_{n}^{\text {expt-thy }}}{R_{n}^{\text {thy }}}\right)=\left(\frac{R_{n}^{\text {expt }}-R_{n}^{\text {thy }}}{R_{n}^{\text {thy }}}\right)=\left[\frac{\left(\frac{f_{n}^{\text {expt }}}{f_{2}^{\text {expt }}}\right)-\left(\frac{f_{n}^{\text {thy }}}{f_{2}^{\text {thy }}}\right)}{\left(\frac{f_{n}^{\text {thy }}}{f_{2}^{\text {thy }}}\right)}\right]=\left(\frac{n_{\text {expt }}-n}{n}\right)=\left(\frac{\Delta n_{\text {expt }- \text { thy }}}{n}\right)
$$

Then the $\underline{\%}$ deviations $\Delta_{n}^{\text {expt-thy }}(\%)$ of the actual/measured harmonics of a stringed instrument from their perfect/ideal theory values are obtained by multiplying the above expression by $100 \%$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{n}^{\text {expt-thy }}(\%) & \equiv 100\left(\frac{\Delta f_{n}^{\text {expt-thy }}}{f_{n}^{\text {thy }}}\right)=100\left(\frac{\Delta R_{n}^{\text {expt } t \text { thy }}}{R_{n}^{\text {thy }}}\right)=100\left(\frac{R_{n}^{\text {expt }}-R_{n}^{\text {thy }}}{R_{n}^{\text {thy }}}\right) \\
& =100\left[\frac{\left(\frac{f_{n}^{\text {expt }}}{f_{2}^{\text {expt }}}\right)-\left(\frac{f_{n}^{\text {thy }}}{f_{2}^{\text {thy }}}\right)}{\left(\frac{f_{n}^{\text {thy }}}{f_{2}^{\text {thy }}}\right)}\right]=100\left(\frac{n_{\text {expt }}-n}{n}\right)=100\left(\frac{\Delta n_{\text {expt }- \text { thy }}}{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now one semi-tone in the tempered scale is equal to 100 cents, and since there are 12 notes in the tempered scale, then one octave $=1200$ cents. The frequency ratio between two adjacent notes (i.e. a semitone) in the tempered scale is $a^{1 / 12}=2^{1 / 12}=1.0594631$.

Thus, one semitone $\underline{\text { low }}$ from the frequency $f_{n}^{\text {thy }}$ is given by the formula:

$$
f_{\text {low }}^{\text {semitone }}=f_{n}^{\text {thy }} / a^{1 / 12}=f_{n}^{\text {thy }} / 2^{1 / 12}=f_{n}^{\text {thy }} / 1.0594631=0.943874 f_{n}^{\text {thy }}
$$

and one semitone high from the frequency $f_{n}^{\text {thy }}$ is given by the formula:

$$
f_{\text {high }}^{\text {semitone }}=a^{1 / 12} f_{n}^{\text {thy }}=2^{1 / 12} f_{n}^{\text {thy }}=1.0594631 f_{n}^{\text {thy }}
$$

The frequency difference $\Delta f_{\text {low }}^{\text {semitone }}$ for one semitone $\underline{\text { low }}$ from $f_{n}^{\text {thy }}$ is given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta f_{\text {low }}^{\text {semitone }} & =f_{n}^{\text {thy }}-f_{\text {low }}^{\text {semitone }}=f_{n}^{\text {thy }}-f_{n}^{\text {thy }} / a^{1 / 12}=f_{n}^{\text {thy }}\left(1-1 / a^{1 / 12}\right)=f_{n}^{\text {thy }}\left(1-1 / 2^{1 / 12}\right) \\
& =f_{n}^{\text {thy }}(1-0.943874) \\
& =0.0561257 f_{n}^{\text {thy }}(\mathrm{Hz})
\end{aligned}
$$

The frequency difference $\Delta f_{\text {low }}^{\text {semitone }}$ for one semitone high from $f_{n}^{\text {thy }}$ is given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta f_{\text {high }}^{\text {semitone }} & =f_{\text {high }}^{\text {semitone }}-f_{n}^{\text {thy }}=a^{1 / 12} f_{n}^{\text {thy }}-f_{n}^{\text {thy }}=f_{n}^{\text {thy }}\left(a^{1 / 12}-1\right)=f_{n}^{\text {thy }}\left(2^{1 / 12}-1\right) \\
& =f_{n}^{\text {thy }}(1.0594630-1) \\
& =0.0594630 f_{n}^{\text {thy }}(\mathrm{Hz})
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice that $\left(\Delta f_{\text {low }}^{\text {semitone }}=0.0561257 f_{n}^{\text {thy }}\right) \neq\left(\Delta f_{\text {high }}^{\text {semitone }}=0.0594630 f_{n}^{\text {thy }}\right)$.
They're close, but they are not precisely equal to each other.
Suppose the frequency of a note on the musical scale, e.g. A5 is $f_{n}^{\text {thy }}=880.00 \mathrm{~Hz}$. The frequency of $\mathrm{A}_{b} 5$ (one semitone low) is 830.61 Hz , differing from A5 by 49.39 Hz . The frequency of B5 (one semitone high) is 932.33 Hz , differing from A5 by 52.33 Hz .

In order to express our measured experimental vs. theory frequency differences $\Delta f_{n}^{\text {expt-thy }}$ in cents, we need to a.) first normalize $\Delta f_{n}^{\text {expt-thy }}$ to $\Delta f_{\text {low }}^{\text {semitone }}$ (if $\Delta f_{n}^{\text {expt-thy }}<0$ ) or normalize $\Delta f_{n}^{\text {expt-thy }}$ to $\Delta f_{\text {high }}^{\text {semitone }}$ (if $\Delta f_{n}^{\text {expt-thy }}>0$ ), then b.) multiply each of these ratios by $\underline{100 \text { cents: }}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { If } \Delta f_{n}^{\text {expt-thy }}<0: \# \text { cents low }=100\left(\frac{\Delta f_{n}^{\text {expt-thy }}}{\Delta f_{\text {low }}^{\text {semitone }}}\right) \text { cents } \\
& \text { If } \Delta f_{n}^{\text {expt-thy }}>0: \# \text { cents high }=100\left(\frac{\Delta f_{n}^{\text {expt-thy }}}{\Delta f_{\text {high }}^{\text {semitone }}}\right) \text { cents }
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, inserting the formulas for $\Delta f_{n}^{\text {exptt thy }}, \Delta f_{\text {low }}^{\text {semitone }}$ and $\Delta f_{\text {high }}^{\text {semitone }}$ in these expressions, we have:
If $\Delta f_{n}^{\text {expt-thy }}<0: \#$ cents low $=100\left(\frac{f_{n}^{\text {th/ }}}{f_{n}^{\text {th/ }}\left(1-1 / 2^{1 / 12}\right)}\right)\left(\frac{n_{\text {expt }}-n}{n}\right)=\frac{100}{\left(1-1 / 2^{1 / 12}\right)}\left(\frac{n_{\text {expt }}}{n}-1\right)$ cents
If $\Delta f_{n}^{\text {expt-thy }}>0: \#$ cents high $=100\left(\frac{f_{n}^{\text {th/ }}}{f_{n}^{\text {th/ }}\left(2^{1 / 12}-1\right)}\right)\left(\frac{n_{\text {expt }}-n}{n}\right)=\frac{100}{\left(2^{1 / 12}-1\right)}\left(\frac{n_{\text {expt }}}{n}-1\right)$ cents
where: $n_{\text {expt }} \equiv 2\left(f_{n}^{\text {expt }} / f_{2}^{\text {expt }}\right)$ and: $n \equiv 2\left(f_{n}^{\text {thy }} / f_{2}^{\text {thy }}\right), \quad n=1,2,3, \ldots$
Numerically, these formulas, for wind/brass lip-reed instrument inharmonicities are:
If $\Delta f_{n}^{\text {expt-thy }}<0$ :

$$
\# \text { cents low }=\frac{100}{\left(1-1 / 2^{1 / 12}\right)}\left(\frac{n_{\text {expt }}}{n}-1\right)=\frac{100}{0.0561257}\left(\frac{n_{\text {expt }}}{n}-1\right)=1781.715\left(\frac{n_{\text {expt }}}{n}-1\right) \text { cents }
$$

If $\Delta f_{n}^{\text {expt-thy }}>0$ :

$$
\# \text { cents high }=\frac{100}{\left(2^{1 / 12}-1\right)}\left(\frac{n_{\text {expt }}}{n}-1\right)=\frac{100}{0.0594630}\left(\frac{n_{\text {expt }}}{n}-1\right)=1681.718\left(\frac{n_{\text {expt }}}{n}-1\right) \text { cents }
$$

where: $n_{\text {expt }} \equiv 2\left(f_{n}^{\text {expt }} / f_{2}^{\text {expt }}\right)$ and: $n \equiv 2\left(f_{n}^{\text {thy }} / f_{2}^{\text {thy }}\right), \quad n=1,2,3, \ldots$
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