Lecture 20 supplement: April 1, 2021 PHYSICS 419 - Spring 2021

1 Greenberger-Horne-Zeilinger State

Bell's analysis requires a series of measurements and a statistical analysis of the results. Greenberger-Horne-Zeilinger (GHZ) devised a single 3-spin state on which a **single** measurement is sufficient to test the local hidden variables hypothesis. The GHZ state is

$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(|\uparrow\rangle_1|\uparrow\rangle_2|\uparrow\rangle_3 + |\downarrow\rangle_1|\downarrow\rangle_2|\downarrow\rangle_3\right),\tag{1}$$

in which $|\uparrow (\downarrow)\rangle_i$ indicates the spin of particle *i*. We are using the convention that $|\uparrow\rangle$ represents a projection of the spin along the positive *z* axis and hence is the state $|+z\rangle$. The down-spin state is $|\downarrow\rangle = |-z\rangle$. We do not have to stick with this choice of axis, however. We can project the spin onto any axis we choose, for example *x* or *y*. Since these axes are perpendicular to one another, we need to represent the projection of the spin along these axes by a set of mutually orthogonal vectors. The basis that works for *x* is

$$|+x\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(|\uparrow\rangle + |\downarrow\rangle\right) \tag{2}$$

and for -x

$$|-x\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(|\uparrow\rangle - |\downarrow\rangle\right). \tag{3}$$

Here + or - stand for along the positive direction and along the negative direction of the x axis. We can now solve these equations by taking sums and differences to obtain explicit expressions for $|\uparrow\rangle$ or $|\downarrow\rangle$:

$$|\uparrow\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|+x\rangle + |-x\rangle)$$

$$|\downarrow\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|+x\rangle - |-x\rangle).$$
(4)

PHYSICS 419 - Spring 2021

Likewise, we can do the same for the y direction. The basis for the y axis which is orthogonal to the x-direction is

$$|+y\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\uparrow\rangle + i|\downarrow\rangle)$$

$$|-y\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\uparrow\rangle - i|\downarrow\rangle).$$
(5)

Similarly, we can express the spins in terms of the y-axis basis states:

$$|\uparrow\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|+y\rangle + |-y\rangle)$$

$$|\downarrow\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}i}(|+y\rangle - |-y\rangle).$$
(6)

In these states, $i^2 = -1$.

Now for the puchline. We can express the spins in the GHZ state in terms of the basis states above. For $|\uparrow\rangle_1$ we will substitute the first of Eqs. (4) (use the second one for the down-spin state) and for $|\uparrow\rangle_i$ and $|\downarrow\rangle_i$ the first and second of Eqs. (6) respectively with i = 2, 3. We then substitute them into the GHZ state

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi\rangle &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \left((|+x\rangle_1 + |-x\rangle_1) \left(|+y\rangle_2 + |-y\rangle_2 \right) \left(|+|y\rangle_3 + |-y\rangle_3 \right) \\ &- \left(|+x\rangle_1 - |-x\rangle_1 \right) \left(|+y\rangle_2 - |-y\rangle_2 \right) \left(|+y\rangle_3 - |-y\rangle_3 \right) \end{aligned}$$
(7)

and do all the multiplications. Note all the terms that contain $|-\alpha\rangle$ ($\alpha = x, y$) states in the first term enter with the opposite sign in the second term. Because there is an overall – sign in front of the second term (coming from the two factors of *i*), there can be no terms with an even number of $|-\alpha\rangle$ states. The only non-zero terms have an odd number of such states. Each non-zero term enters twice. The result is

$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|+x\rangle_1|+y\rangle_2|-y\rangle_3 + |+x\rangle_1|-y\rangle_2|+y\rangle_3 + |-x\rangle_1|+y\rangle_2|+y\rangle_3 + |-x\rangle_1|-y\rangle_2|-y\rangle_3)$$

$$\equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} ((++-)+(+-+)+(-++)+(---)),$$
(8)

PHYSICS 419 - Spring 2021

where we have used short-hand notation for a state that looks like $(+ + -) = |+x\rangle_1 |+ y\rangle_2 |-y\rangle_3$. All of these states have the property that the product of the values of the spin projections onto the x and y axes is $X_1Y_2Y_3 = -1$. But we could have permuted the spins. If we do this, we reach the conclusion that

$$Y_1 X_2 Y_3 = -1$$

$$Y_1 Y_2 X_3 = -1.$$
 (9)

Now let's take the product of these results

$$(X_1Y_2Y_3)(Y_1X_2Y_3)(Y_1Y_2X_3) = X_1X_2X_3 = -1,$$
(10)

if we treat the Y_i 's as just numbers. In actuality they are not numbers but operators. So lets treat them as classically real. So classical realism gives us a prediction for the product $X_1X_2X_3 = -1$ which we can test against the rules of quantum mechanics. To proceed, we redo the argument assuming all of the spins are along the x- axis. The details are just the same as before but with y replaced with x:

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi\rangle &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \left((|+x\rangle_1 + |-x\rangle_1) \left(|+x\rangle_2 + |-x\rangle_2 \right) \left(|+x\rangle_3 + |-x\rangle_3 \right) \\ &+ \left(|+x\rangle_1 - |-x\rangle_1 \right) \left(|+x\rangle_2 - |-x\rangle_2 \right) \left(|+x\rangle_3 - |-x\rangle_3 \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$
(11)

Note the second term enters with a plus sign. Hence, only an even number of x- projections involving the $|-x\rangle$ state survive:

$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left((+++) + (+--) + (--+) + (-+-)\right).$$
(12)

In this state $X_1X_2X_3 = +1$ not -1 as local hidden variables would have us believe. Hence, from a single state, we can debunk local hidden variables. We live in a world in which $X_1X_2X_3 = +1$. Hence, there is no reality in quantum mechanics independent of the probabilities.