

Electromagnetic Fields and Lagrangians

We discuss how to insert electric and magnetic fields into the classical, non-relativistic Lagrangian of mechanics using the vector and scalar potentials. The prescription for a single charged particle is $L = \frac{1}{2}mv^2 + q\vec{A} \cdot \vec{v} - qV$ where we are limiting our treatment to electrostatic and magnetostatic fields where $\vec{A}(\vec{r})$ and $V(\vec{r})$ and neither has an explicit time dependence.

A useful first example is a system with $\vec{B} = B_0 \hat{z}$ and $\vec{A} = \frac{B_0 s}{2} \hat{\phi} = \frac{B_0}{2} \langle -y, x \rangle$ and $\vec{E} = -\vec{\nabla} V(x, y)$.

This has

$$L = \frac{1}{2}mv^2 + q\vec{A} \cdot \vec{v} - qV = \frac{m}{2}(\dot{x}^2 + \dot{y}^2) + \frac{qB_0}{2}(-y\dot{x} + x\dot{y}) - qV(x, y)$$

Applying the Lagrange Equation for x, we have:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial x}; \quad \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}} = m\dot{x} - \frac{qB_0 y}{2}; \quad \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}} = m\ddot{x} - \frac{qB_0}{2} \dot{y}; \quad \frac{\partial L}{\partial x} = \frac{qB_0 \dot{y}}{2} - q\partial_x V$$

$$\text{or } \rightarrow m\ddot{x} - \frac{qB_0}{2} \dot{y} = \frac{qB_0 \dot{y}}{2} - q\partial_x V \text{ or } m\ddot{x} = qB_0 \dot{y} - q\partial_x V = \vec{F}_x \text{ where } \vec{F} = q\vec{v} \times \vec{B} - q\vec{\nabla} V$$

Our $\vec{A} = \frac{B_0 s}{2} \hat{\phi}$ expression was obtained using the flux method or $\oint \vec{A} \cdot d\vec{\ell} = \int \vec{B} \cdot d\vec{a}$

applied to a circular loop centered on the origin. This form naturally arises for a cylindrical magnet of radius R with $\vec{B}(s < R) = B_0 \hat{z}$, but as long as we stay within the $B_0 \hat{z}$ region, the centering of the $\oint \vec{A} \cdot d\vec{\ell}$ loop or the symmetry of the pole pieces cannot affect the equation of motion which only depends on the $B_0 \hat{z}$ magnetic field.

Gauge Transformations of the form $\vec{A}' = \vec{A} + \vec{\nabla} \phi$ allows us to change \vec{A} while preserving \vec{B} . Since the motion of a charge in a static \vec{E} and \vec{B} field can only depend on $\vec{F} = q\vec{v} \times \vec{B} - q\vec{\nabla} V$, evidently the Lagrange formalism must be blind to the changes in L due to a gauge transformation $\phi(\vec{r})$.

Let's illustrate this for a charge particle moving in a magnetic field with

$$L = \frac{1}{2}mv^2 + q\vec{A} \cdot \vec{v}.$$

Let $L = \frac{m}{2}(\dot{x}^2 + \dot{y}^2) + \frac{qB_0}{2} \vec{v} \cdot \vec{A}$ and $L' = \frac{m}{2}(\dot{x}^2 + \dot{y}^2) + \frac{qB_0}{2} \vec{v} \cdot (\vec{A} + \vec{\nabla} \phi)$. Applying Lagrange's equation for L' we have:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial L'}{\partial \dot{x}} = \frac{\partial L'}{\partial x} ; \quad \frac{\partial L'}{\partial \dot{x}} = m\dot{x} - qA_x + q\partial_x \varphi ; \quad \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial L'}{\partial \dot{x}} = m\ddot{x} + q\dot{A}_x + q\partial_x \dot{\varphi} ;$$

$$\frac{\partial L'}{\partial x} = q(\dot{x}\partial_x A_x + \dot{y}\partial_x A_y) + q(\dot{x}\partial_x^2 \varphi + \dot{y}\partial_y \partial_x \varphi)$$

Let's now work with φ . Since $\varphi(x, y)$, we only get φ through \dot{x} and \dot{y} using $d\varphi = dx\partial_x \varphi + dy\partial_y \varphi \rightarrow \dot{\varphi} = \dot{x}\partial_x \varphi + \dot{y}\partial_y \varphi$. Thus $\partial_x \dot{\varphi} = \dot{x}\partial_x^2 \varphi + \dot{y}\partial_y \partial_x \varphi$. Assembling the pieces we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial L'}{\partial \dot{x}} = \frac{\partial L'}{\partial x} ; \quad \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial L'}{\partial \dot{x}} = m\ddot{x} + q\dot{A}_x + q(\dot{x}\partial_x^2 \varphi + \dot{y}\partial_y \partial_x \varphi) ; \quad \frac{\partial L'}{\partial x} = q(\dot{x}\partial_x A_x + \dot{y}\partial_x A_y) + q(\dot{x}\partial_x^2 \varphi + \dot{y}\partial_y \partial_x \varphi)$$

$$\rightarrow m\ddot{x} + q\dot{A}_x = q(\dot{x}\partial_x A_x + \dot{y}\partial_x A_y)$$

which is independent of the gauge function φ . Hence L indeed has gauge freedom since $\vec{A}' = \vec{A} + \vec{\nabla} \varphi$ produces the same equation of motion as \vec{A} .

Some $\vec{A} = \frac{B_0 s}{2} \hat{\phi}$ examples.

Re-centering the origin to x_0, y_0 gives $\vec{A}' = \vec{A} + \frac{B_0}{2}(-y_0 \hat{x} + x_0 \hat{y}) \rightarrow \varphi = \frac{B_0}{2}(-y_0 x + x_0 y)$ which won't change the equation of motion due to gauge freedom.

Another example uses $\varphi = \frac{B_0}{2} xy$ which changes $\vec{A} = \frac{B_0 s}{2} \hat{\phi} = \frac{B_0}{2} \langle -y, x \rangle$ to $\vec{A}' = B_0 x \hat{y}$ which no longer has cylindrical symmetry. You can easily confirm using a determinant that \vec{A}' preserves $\vec{B} = B_0 \hat{z}$ as well as the equation of motion.