

Phys 487 Discussion 4 – Symmetrization and the Exchange Force

It is considered a **postulate** (another axiom!) of quantum mechanics that the wavefunction for n identical particles must be either **symmetric** (S,+) or **antisymmetric** (A,-) under the **exchange** of any two particles:

$$\psi(\vec{r}_2, \vec{r}_1) = \pm \psi(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2) \text{ if particle 1 and particle 2 are indistinguishable.}$$

Problem 1 : Fermi, Bose, and Pauli

There is one more part to the postulate, which we mentioned at the ver end of last semester.

- **2-Fermion** wavefunctions are **Antisymmetric** under exchange $\rightarrow \psi(\vec{r}_2, \vec{r}_1) = -\psi(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2)$.
- **2-Boson** wavefunctions are **Symmetric** under exchange $\rightarrow \psi(\vec{r}_2, \vec{r}_1) = +\psi(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2)$.

where

- a **Fermion** is a particle with **half-integer spin** (e.g. electrons, protons, neutrons)
- a **Boson** is a particle with **integer spin** (e.g. photons, many nuclei)

(a) What if we have a system composed of one of each, e.g. a spin-1 deuterium nucleus (boson) and a spin-1/2 electron (fermion)? What is the symmetry of *that* under exchange? (Hint: No calculations required, and you will *laugh* when you realize the answer.)

(b) Show that it is impossible for two fermions to occupy exactly the same state: show that you cannot build a wavefunction $\psi(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2)$ with the necessary symmetry properties when particle 1 and particle 2 have the exact same *individual* wavefunctions.

FYI: This result is the **Pauli Exclusion Principle**. It is an immediate consequence of the anti-symmetrization requirement on the wavefunctions of indistinguishable fermions, and is essential for understanding the periodic table – i.e. the chemical behavior of the various elements — as it means each available quantum state $|nlm_l s m_s\rangle$ is occupied by one and only one electron. The available states fill up one by one, which would *not* be the case if the electron were a boson.

Problem 2 : The Exchange Force

The purely quantum mechanical symmetrization principle for identical particles produces a purely quantum mechanical effect that has no analogue in classical mechanics (and therefore takes some getting used to) : the effective “**exchange force**”.

Two particles move in 1D only (just for simplicity) and are described by the position coordinates x_1 and x_2 respectively. Let’s calculate the $\langle \text{distance}^2 \rangle$ between the two particles,

$$\langle \text{distance}^2 \rangle \equiv \langle (x_1 - x_2)^2 \rangle = \langle x_1^2 \rangle + \langle x_2^2 \rangle - 2\langle x_1 x_2 \rangle$$

in different scenarios.

(a) If the particles are **Distinguishable** (not identical), we can given them a nice factorized wavefunction

$$\psi_D(x_1, x_2) = \psi_a(x_1) \psi_b(x_2) \text{ where state } a \text{ and state } b \text{ are normalized and orthogonal to each other.}^1$$

This ψ_D has no particular exchange-symmetry properties. Calculate the expected separation², $\langle (x_1 - x_2)^2 \rangle$, in terms of the single-particle/single-wavefunction expectation values $\langle x \rangle_a, \langle x \rangle_b, \langle x^2 \rangle_a$, and/or $\langle x^2 \rangle_b$.

¹ Why make ψ_a and ψ_b orthogonal to each other? For convenience / simplicity, that’s all. There is nothing profound about this requirement. We will remove it in part (d).

(b) Now suppose the particles are indistinguishable (identical). We must **Symmetrize** or **Antisymmetrize** the 2-particle wavefunction:

$$\psi_{S,A}(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [\psi_a(x_1) \psi_b(x_2) \pm \psi_b(x_1) \psi_a(x_2)]$$

Calculate the expected separation², $\langle (x_1 - x_2)^2 \rangle$, for this wavefunction. Your answer will again involve the single-particle/single-wavefunction expectation values $\langle x \rangle_a, \langle x \rangle_b, \langle x^2 \rangle_a$, and/or $\langle x^2 \rangle_b$ and one other term.

(c) Which of the cases **D**, **A**, or **S** produces the largest separation between the two particles and which produces the smallest?

THINK ABOUT THAT for a second! → Anti-symmetrizing a wavefunction actually “*pushes*” the particles apart, while symmetrization “*pulls*” them closer together. This effect actually behaves like an effective force / pseudo-force, and is sometimes called the **exchange force**. You do need to add it to your intuition to understand chemistry, most especially the bonding of molecules. Think of it like this: relatively speaking,

- identical fermions are “repelled” from each other more than you would think, and
- identical bosons are “attracted” to each other more than you would think.

“More than you would think” means “more than if you mentally take into account only *actual* forces”, like electromagnetism or the strong force. Hence, a pseudo-force. “**ANTI-SYMMETRIC means APART**” helps me to internalize this effect because an antisymmetric wavefunction can *never* have the two particles *at the same place*. (You thought through this in Problem 1b = the Pauli Exclusion Principle!) That simple fact shows that anti-symmetrization produces some sort of repulsive pseudo-force for fermions.

(d) We started this problem with the wavefunction $\psi_D(x_1, x_2) = \psi_a(x_1) \psi_b(x_2)$ “where ψ_a and ψ_b are normalized and orthogonal to each other.” *Normalizing* the individual, single-particle wavefunctions is a natural thing to require (so that there are no unknown normalization constants to worry about) ... but why make the *a* and *b* states *orthogonal* to each other? Answer: it makes the normalization of the (anti)-symmetrized 2-particle wavefunction $\psi_{S,A}(x_1, x_2)$ easier, that’s all! If states *a* and *b* are *not necessarily* orthogonal to each other, then the (anti)-symmetrized 2-particle wavefunction must be written

$$\psi_{S,A}(x_1, x_2) = C [\psi_a(x_1) \psi_b(x_2) \pm \psi_b(x_1) \psi_a(x_2)]$$

where the normalization constant *C* has to be determined as it is not necessarily $1/\sqrt{2}$. Calculate what constant *C* is necessary to make the norm $\langle \psi_{S,A} | \psi_{S,A} \rangle$ of the above wavefunction equal to 1 in the following cases:

- if state *a* and state *b* are orthogonal to each other, as before
- if state *a* and state *b* are the same.

Continue to assume that $\psi_a(x)$ and $\psi_b(x)$ are *individually* normalized, because why would you not. ☺

Problem 3 : Identical Particles in an Infinite Well

The infinite 1D well ($V(x) = 0$ for $0 < x < a$ and $V(x) = \infty$ elsewhere) is the perfect sandbox for testing new bits of physics because it is an easy system to play with. If you drop in one particle, its energy eigenstates are

$$\psi_n(x) = \sqrt{2/a} \sin(n\pi x/a) \quad \text{with corresponding eigenvalues} \quad E_n = n^2 K \quad (\text{where } K \equiv \pi^2 \hbar^2 / 2ma^2).$$

To make our sandbox as delightful as possible, let's use distance units where $a = \pi$. Whee! ☺

(a) If you drop in two **Distinguishable** particles that don't interact with each other, the energy eigenstates are simply $\psi_{n_1 n_2}(x_1, x_2) = \psi_{n_1}(x_1) \psi_{n_2}(x_2)$. Use the convenient symbol K defined above to answer these questions:

- (i) What is the energy $E_{n_1 n_2}$ of such a 2-particle eigenstate in terms of n_1 and n_2 ?
- (ii) Find the energy and the degeneracy of the ground state(s).
- (iii) Find the energy and the degeneracy of the first excited state(s).

(b) Clear out the well, then drop in two identical **bosons**. (Again, they don't interact with each other, only with the well's walls.) This time, all 2-particle wavefunctions have to be **Symmetric** under 1 \longleftrightarrow 2 exchange!

- (i) What is the wavefunction of the ground state (\equiv lowest-energy eigenstate)?
- (ii) Find the energy and the degeneracy of the ground state(s).
- (iii) Find the energy and the degeneracy of the first excited state(s).

(c) Clear out the well, then drop in two identical **fermions** (that don't interact with each other). This time, the 2-particle wavefunctions must be **Anti-symmetric** under 1 \longleftrightarrow 2 exchange.

- (i) What is the wavefunction of the ground state (\equiv lowest-energy eigenstate)?
- (ii) Find the energy and the degeneracy of the ground state(s).
- (iii) Find the energy and the degeneracy of the first excited state(s).