An introduction to fluid dynamics

A	In introduction to fluid dynamics	1
	Utility of conservation laws in fluid dynamics	2
	Partial derivatives and convective derivatives	4
	Conservation of mass	8
	Current density and the mass in a macroscopic volume	15
	Density changes in a "comoving" frame	18
	Equations of motion for an ideal fluid	20
	Conservation laws	26
	Hydrostatics	29
	Energy conservation	38
	(Ir)rotational flow	46
	Shear stress in a "Newtonian fluid"	49
	Viscous Stress tensor	55
	Including viscosity; the Navier-Stokes equations	62
	Water flowing through a long, cylindrical pipe	65
	Reynolds Number and Turbulence	70
	Turbulent Pipe Flow	71
	Summary	84

I'm going to follow the development of the subject as presented in *Mechanics*, 3rd edition, K. R. Symon, Addison-Wesley Publishing, 1971. See chapter 8, sections 6-9.

Another good reference is *Lectures in Elementary Fluid Dynamics: Physics, Mathematics and Applications*, J.M. McDonough, Departments of Mechanical Engineering and Mathematics, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY (2009): <u>http://www.engr.uky.edu/~acfd/me330-lctrs.pdf</u>.

_____ * _____

Utility of conservation laws in fluid dynamics

Many (most ??) of the useful equations in fluid dynamics come about because of various *conservation laws*. We'll only deal with non-relativistic fluids, so we'll always have one set of equations which comes about because mass is conserved; if the density in a fluid at some point in space increases/decreases, it must be associated with a net inflow/outflow of stuff from that point in space.

Schematically:



Other conservation laws which might be useful:

- Perhaps momentum conservation? We'd want to work out a way to say something like this: "momentum inside the volume element *dV* can only change when (more/less) momentum enters the box than leaves it."
- Maybe conservation of energy? This one's more complicated since if you compress some collection of particles in the fluid, you do work on them, increasing their potential energy. Also, if the fluid flows uphill (against the earth's gravitational field), its potential energy changes.

An added piece of complication comes about because there are two kinds of derivatives that we'll be interested in. We might want to know how, for example, the pressure at a *fixed point* changes with time. But we also might want to know about the rate of change of

the pressure at a point which moves along with the fluid. For example, a "chunk" of air moving over an airfoil will show condensation fog if it is humid and the pressure drops suddenly, cooling the air.

_____ * _____

Partial derivatives and convective derivatives

This is a natural place to consider the difference between partial and total derivatives.

"Laminar" (non-turbulent, layered) air flow over a wing might look like this:



At the point A, fixed to remain in front of the airfoil, the pressure will be constant in time. The same is true at point B. Since we're talking about holding x, y, z constant, if the pressure is a function of x, y, z, t (let's call it P(x, y, z, t)), we can write

University of Illinois

Physics 525

An introduction to fluid dynamics

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial t}\Big|_{\text{point A}} = 0 \text{ and } \frac{\partial P}{\partial t}\Big|_{\text{point B}} = 0.$$

Since *P* is a function of four variables, we need to specify (by taking a partial derivative) that all but *t* are being held fixed in our description of the behavior of the pressure at points A and B.

A group of air molecules in a small volume element that flows from point A to point B will experience a changing pressure since the local air pressure goes up as the air "gets crowded" in front of the air foil's leading edge then drops as the air moves over the upper surface of the wing. We would like to be able to describe this kind of thing too. Let's investigate.

From the chain rule, the total derivative of P is

$$\frac{dP}{dt} = \frac{\partial P}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial P}{\partial x}\frac{dx}{dt} + \frac{\partial P}{\partial y}\frac{dy}{dt} + \frac{\partial P}{\partial z}\frac{dz}{dt}$$

Note the partials of P, but the total derivatives for x, y, z with respect to time. What we're doing, in effect, is declaring how we want to move around in space by saying we'll cruise around with the same velocity as the average fluid velocity of a particular tiny

fluid packet. We'll then figure out how *P* changes with time in the packet which moves with (changing) velocity

$$\vec{v} = \frac{dx}{dt}\hat{x} + \frac{dy}{dt}\hat{y} + \frac{dz}{dt}\hat{z}.$$

Recall: $\frac{\partial P}{\partial x}\hat{x} + \frac{\partial P}{\partial y}\hat{y} + \frac{\partial P}{\partial z}\hat{z} = \vec{\nabla}P$ (see the math review)

As a result, we can write

$$\frac{dP}{dt} = \frac{\partial P}{\partial t} + \vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla} P \, .$$

This is handy: it lets us say how the pressure changes if we move around with velocity \vec{v} inside the fluid volume. Sometimes (usually??) we'll choose the velocity to be the same as the local fluid flow velocity. Symbolically, we can write

$$\frac{d}{dt} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla}.$$

This quantity is nothing more than the total (time) derivative operator for a function that depends on x, y, z, and t. It is sometimes referred to as the "convective derivative," "Stokes

University of Illinois

An introduction to fluid dynamics

derivative," "Lagrangian derivative," or any one of a number of other names.

More on this: imagine we're in a submarine, measuring the water temperature T(x,y,z,t) as we motor along. If we come to a stop and measure how the temperature changes with time, we'll be determining the partial derivative of T: $\partial T/\partial t$ since we're holding x, y, z fixed by halting the submarine.

If we don't stop our submarine, the rate of change of the temperature will depend on how much the temperature varies from place to place (and how quickly we're moving around), as well as any built-in time dependence, for example, from the sun heating the ocean during the day.

Taking both the "built-in" and position-related effects into account, we'll measure

$$\frac{dT(x, y, z, t)}{dt} = \frac{\partial T(x, y, z, t)}{\partial t} + \left(\vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla}\right) T(x, y, z, t)$$

with \vec{v} the velocity of our submarine.

We might want our submarine to cruise along with the same velocity as the water around us (in that case, we'll get to observe

the behavior of the temperature of the same water molecules all day long), but we aren't required to do this for the above equation to hold.

If the sun isn't shining (so there's nothing heating the water) and the ocean current flows steadily, without change (so we don't suddenly have cold water from the bottom blasting past us), we'll expect the temperature at a fixed point in the ocean to remain unchanged. In that case, $\partial T/\partial t = 0$ so that we'll only sense a change in the temperature if we change our position:

$$\frac{dT(x, y, z, t)}{dt} = \vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla} T(x, y, z, t).$$

_____ * _____

Conservation of mass

Let's work up a differential equation that expresses the idea that mass is neither created nor destroyed in our fluid.

We will investigate the inflow/outflow of mass in a small volume dV = dxdydz at the point x, y, z. (I'm only going to draw it in two dimensions, to simplify the picture.)



I've drawn the fluid flow so that the fluid's velocity *into* the box from the bottom and left sides is greater than the velocity *out* of the box through the top and right sides. As a result, we expect fluid to build up in the box, so the density should increase.

We can take as the average fluid velocity for the fluid that enters the left side of the box the exact fluid velocity at the center of the left side:

$$\left\langle \vec{v}_{left} \right\rangle \approx \vec{v} \left(x, y + \frac{\Delta y}{2}, z + \frac{\Delta z}{2} \right).$$

The volume of fluid that flows into the box from the left side during time dt is shown as a shaded region in the following diagram.



The volume of the region of fluid that flows into the left side of the box is approximately

$$\left[\left\langle \vec{v}_{left}\right\rangle \cdot \hat{x}dt\right] \Delta y \Delta z \approx \vec{v} \left(x, y + \frac{\Delta y}{2}, z + \frac{\Delta z}{2}\right) \cdot \hat{x}dt \Delta y \Delta z$$

since the thickness of it in the *x* direction is $\vec{v} dt \cdot \hat{x}$.

The volume that flows in from the bottom is

$$\vec{v}\left(x+\frac{\Delta x}{2},y,z+\frac{\Delta z}{2}\right)\cdot\hat{y}dt\Delta x\Delta z$$
.

The volume that flows out the top is

$$\vec{v}\left(x+\frac{\Delta x}{2},y+\Delta y,z+\frac{\Delta z}{2}\right)\cdot\hat{y}dt\Delta x\Delta z.$$

The volume that exits the right side is

$$\vec{v}\left(x+\Delta x, y+\frac{\Delta y}{2}, z+\frac{\Delta z}{2}\right)\cdot \hat{x}dt\Delta y\Delta z.$$

The *mass* which flows in from the left is the product of the local density and the in-flowing volume:

$$dm_{left} \approx \rho\left(x, y + \frac{\Delta y}{2}, z + \frac{\Delta z}{2}, t\right) \vec{v}\left(x, y + \frac{\Delta y}{2}, z + \frac{\Delta z}{2}, t\right) \cdot \hat{x} dt \Delta y \Delta z$$

Note that I'm approximating density and velocity for the left side using the value of ρ and \vec{v} at the center of the left face of the volume element.

Adding up the in-flowing and out-flowing mass for all six faces of the volume element (and omitting explicit time dependence, to save myself the effort of writing ", t" everywhere) gives

$$dm = \rho \left(x, y + \frac{\Delta y}{2}, z + \frac{\Delta z}{2} \right) v_x \left(x, y + \frac{\Delta y}{2}, z + \frac{\Delta z}{2} \right) dt \Delta y \Delta z$$

$$-\rho \left(x + \Delta x, y + \frac{\Delta y}{2}, z + \frac{\Delta z}{2} \right) v_x \left(x + \Delta x, y + \frac{\Delta y}{2}, z + \frac{\Delta z}{2} \right) dt \Delta y \Delta z$$

$$+\rho \left(x + \frac{\Delta x}{2}, y, z + \frac{\Delta z}{2} \right) v_y \left(x + \frac{\Delta x}{2}, y, z + \frac{\Delta z}{2} \right) dt \Delta x \Delta z$$

$$-\rho \left(x + \frac{\Delta x}{2}, y + \Delta y, z + \frac{\Delta z}{2} \right) v_y \left(x + \frac{\Delta x}{2}, y + \Delta y, z + \frac{\Delta z}{2} \right) dt \Delta x \Delta z$$

$$+\rho \left(x + \frac{\Delta x}{2}, + \frac{\Delta y}{2}, z \right) v_z \left(x + \frac{\Delta x}{2}, + \frac{\Delta y}{2}, z \right) dt \Delta x \Delta y$$

$$-\rho \left(x + \frac{\Delta x}{2}, + \frac{\Delta y}{2}, z + \Delta z \right) v_z \left(x + \frac{\Delta x}{2}, + \frac{\Delta y}{2}, z + \Delta z \right) dt \Delta x \Delta y$$

Note that the difference of the first two terms can be rewritten:

$$\begin{split} \rho\bigg(x, y + \frac{\Delta y}{2}, z + \frac{\Delta z}{2}\bigg)v_x\bigg(x, y + \frac{\Delta y}{2}, z + \frac{\Delta z}{2}\bigg)dt\Delta y\Delta z \\ -\rho\bigg(x + \Delta x, y + \frac{\Delta y}{2}, z + \frac{\Delta z}{2}\bigg)v_x\bigg(x + \Delta x, y + \frac{\Delta y}{2}, z + \frac{\Delta z}{2}\bigg)dt\Delta y\Delta z \\ &= -\frac{\partial\bigg[\rho(x, y, z, t)v_x(x, y, z, t)\bigg]}{\partial x}dtdxdydz. \end{split}$$

We can write similar expressions for the other two pairs of terms to conclude

$$dm = -dt dx dy dz \left[\frac{\partial \left[\rho v_x \right]}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \left[\rho v_y \right]}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \left[\rho v_z \right]}{\partial z} \right]$$
$$= -dt dx dy dz \ \vec{\nabla} \cdot (\rho \vec{v}).$$

Recall that the mass inside the small box with volume dV = dxdydz is $m = \rho(x, y, z, t)dxdydz$.

Since we're evaluating things for a stationary volume element at the point x, y, z with side lengths dx, dy, dz we can say that the total time derivative of the mass inside the volume is

$$\frac{dm}{dt} = \frac{d\left[\rho(x, y, z, t)dxdydz\right]}{dt}\Big|_{\substack{\text{fixed}\\x, y, z, dx, dy, dz}} = \frac{\partial\rho(x, y, z, t)}{\partial t}dxdydz$$

University of Illinois

An introduction to fluid dynamics

because that's what we mean by taking a partial derivative: we hold all the variables except one fixed.

But we also know that $dm = -dt dx dy dz \ \vec{\nabla} \cdot (\rho \vec{v})$ so it must be true that

$$\frac{dm}{dt} = -\vec{\nabla} \cdot (\rho \vec{v}) dx dy dz.$$

As a result, we can write

$$\frac{dm}{dt} = \frac{\partial \rho(x, y, z, t)}{\partial t} dx dy dz = -\vec{\nabla} \cdot (\rho \vec{v}) dx dy dz$$

so that

$$\frac{\partial \rho(x, y, z, t)}{\partial t} = -\vec{\nabla} \cdot \left(\rho \vec{v}\right)$$

or

$$\frac{\partial \rho(x, y, z, t)}{\partial t} + \vec{\nabla} \cdot (\rho \vec{v}) = 0 \qquad \text{(fixed } x, y, z\text{)}$$

This equation expresses the fact that mass is conserved in our fluid, even though density and velocity of flow can change with time. Keep in mind that I worked this up using a volume element dV that

was *fixed* in space: with *x*, *y*, *z* held constant, our time derivative is a partial derivative $\partial/\partial t$, not a total derivative d/dt.

______ * _____

Current density and the mass in a macroscopic volume

We can describe the change in mass contained in a macroscopic volume *V* by integrating the above expression:

$$M = \int_{V} \rho(x, y, z, t) dV$$

SO

$$\frac{dM}{dt} = \frac{d}{dt} \left[\int_{V} \rho(x, y, z, t) dV \right]$$
$$= \int_{V} \frac{\partial \rho(x, y, z, t)}{\partial t} dV = \int_{V} -\vec{\nabla} \cdot (\rho \vec{v}) dV$$

Are you familiar with the divergence theorem? If so, you'll recall that, for any vector field $\vec{J}(x, y, z, t)$,

$$\int_{closed \ surface} \vec{J}(x, y, z, t) \cdot d\vec{A} = \int_{volume \ enclosed} \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{J}(x, y, z, t) dV$$

An introduction to fluid dynamics By identifying $\rho \vec{v} \Leftrightarrow \vec{J}$ we can rewrite $\int_{V} -\vec{\nabla} \cdot (\rho \vec{v}) dV$ as

$$\int_{A} -\rho \vec{v} \cdot d\vec{A} \text{ and conclude that } \frac{dM}{dt} = \int_{V} -\vec{\nabla} \cdot (\rho \vec{v}) dV = \int_{A} -\rho \vec{v} \cdot d\vec{A}.$$

The quantity $\rho \vec{v}$ is the mass *current density* flowing in the fluid.

The integral over a closed surface of the current density flowing through the surface tells us the rate at which mass is entering (or leaving) the volume.



Consider air flowing from a tube with cross-sectional area A_1 into a region with cross-sectional area A_2 . In a steady air flow, dM/dt=0and so $\rho v_1 A_1 = \rho v_2 A_2$. We have

$$v_2 = \frac{A_1}{A_2}v_1$$

Example 2: Consider water in the following container.



There is a small hole at the bottom of the container and water leaks out from the hole at speed *v*. The water's height *y* decreases.

Mass of water in the container, $M = \rho V$, where V is the volume of the water. We have

$$rac{dM}{dt} =
ho rac{dV}{dt} = -
ho v A_h$$
 ,

where A_h is the area of the hole at the bottom. Let A(y) be the cross-sectional area of the container at height *y*. Then

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = A(y)\frac{dy}{dt}$$

Hence we have

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = -\frac{A_h}{A(y)}v$$

——— * ———

Density changes in a "comoving" frame

If we wanted to discuss the rate of change of density of the fluid as we move along with it, we could use the expression for the "convective derivative" from some pages back:

$$\frac{d}{dt} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \, .$$

As long as we plug in the velocity \vec{v} that corresponds to the (moving) point in the fluid we're observing, we'll learn something useful.

We want to calculate an expression for the total derivative $d\rho/dt$, so let's use the connection between convective and partial derivatives, above:

$$\frac{d\rho}{dt} = \frac{\partial\rho}{\partial t} + \vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla}\rho \,. \qquad [1]$$

We've already figured out how the partial time derivative of ρ (when we're holding *x*, *y*, *z* fixed) behaves:

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = -\vec{\nabla} \cdot \left(\rho \vec{v}\right). \qquad [2]$$

It comes from conservation of mass: if the density is decreasing at a particular point, there has to be an outflow of matter from that point. (The outflow is what the divergence is telling us about.)

Use the result in [2] to replace the first term to the right of the "=" in [1]:

$$\frac{d\rho}{dt} = -\vec{\nabla} \cdot \left(\rho \vec{v}\right) + \vec{v} \cdot \left(\vec{\nabla}\rho\right) \quad [3]$$

or

$$\frac{d\rho}{dt} - \vec{v} \cdot \left(\vec{\nabla}\rho\right) + \vec{\nabla} \cdot \left(\rho\vec{v}\right) = 0.$$

Now,

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot (\rho \vec{v}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\rho v_x) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (\rho v_y) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (\rho v_z)$$
$$= \rho \frac{dv_x}{dx} + \left(\frac{d\rho}{dx}\right) v_x + \rho \frac{dv_y}{dy} + \left(\frac{d\rho}{dy}\right) v_y + \rho \frac{dv_z}{dz} + \left(\frac{d\rho}{dz}\right) v_z$$
$$= \rho \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{v} + \vec{v} \cdot \left(\vec{\nabla}\rho\right)$$

so I can rewrite $\frac{d\rho}{dt} = -\vec{\nabla} \cdot (\rho \vec{v}) + \vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \rho$ (this was equation [3])

as

$$\frac{d\rho}{dt} = -\rho \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{v} - \vec{v} \cdot (\vec{\nabla}\rho) + \vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla}\rho$$
$$= -\rho \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{v}$$

or

$$\frac{d\rho}{dt} + \rho \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{v} = 0 \qquad (\text{moving along with fluid}). \quad [4]$$

That's what we wanted: as we cruise along with the fluid, we'll see the density changing in accord with this equation. The physical meaning is straightforward: if we see atoms in our fluid streaming out from a point (so that the divergence of the velocity is nonzero), we'll expect to see the fluid's density at that point changing with time.

For an incompressible fluid, $\frac{d\rho}{dt} = 0$. So we have $\nabla \cdot \vec{v} = 0$.

______ * _____

Equations of motion for an ideal fluid

Let's assume for the moment that we're working with a fluid that has *zero* viscosity, so that one layer of fluid sliding past another layer of fluid *does not* exert a force on the other layer, attempting

to drag it along. In the language of fluid mechanics, there is no shear stress exerted by one layer moving across another layer.

Shear stress is defined as the force per unit area that one layer exerts on another layer. "Ideal fluids" do not "support" shear stresses.

The net force acting on a small volume element can come from two sources: a "body force" (for example, gravity) and a pressure gradient that makes the force on one side of the volume element different from the force on the opposite side of the volume element.

Let's say the volume is dV = dxdydz for a small rectangular solid in the fluid. The areas of the six faces are dxdy, dydz, and so forth.





The force associated with pressure on the face centered at

$$\left(x, y + \frac{dy}{2}, z + \frac{dz}{2}\right)$$
 is $P\left(x, y + \frac{dy}{2}, z + \frac{dz}{2}\right) dydz$ since force is the

product of pressure and area.

The net force associated with pressure in the *x* direction is

$$P\left(x, y + \frac{dy}{2}, z + \frac{dz}{2}\right) dydz - P\left(x + dx, y + \frac{dy}{2}, z + \frac{dz}{2}\right) dydz$$
$$= -\frac{\partial P}{\partial x} dxdydz.$$

since the pressure on the right-side face creates a push to the left.

University of Illinois

An introduction to fluid dynamics

Consequently, we can write the net force, associated with changes in pressure across the volume element, as

$$\vec{F}_{gradient} = \left[-\frac{\partial P}{\partial x} \hat{x} - \frac{\partial P}{\partial y} \hat{y} - \frac{\partial P}{\partial z} \hat{z} \right] dx dy dz$$
$$= \left(-\vec{\nabla} P \right) dV.$$

If the gravitational force acting on dV is to be included, we'll have an additional piece to incorporate which is $m\vec{g} = (\rho dV)\vec{g}$.

The equation of motion for the volume element dV is just $\vec{F} = m\vec{a}$, or $\vec{F} = (\rho dV) d\vec{v}/dt$ so

$$\left(\rho dV\right)\frac{d\vec{v}}{dt} = \left(-\vec{\nabla}P\right)dV + \left(\rho dV\right)\vec{g}$$

Note that \vec{v} refers to the velocity of our tiny volume of fluid, since we're referring to how this velocity changes when there are unequal pressures on opposite sides of the small volume element.

We can rewrite the last equation as
$$\rho \frac{d\vec{v}}{dt} + \vec{\nabla}P = \rho \vec{g}$$
, or

$$\frac{d\vec{v}}{dt} + \frac{\vec{\nabla}P}{\rho} = \vec{g} \; .$$

Keep in mind that the pressure *P* appears in this equation because gradients in pressure will cause unequal forces to be exerted on opposite sides of our tiny volume, causing it to accelerate.

The equation lets us relate density, the pressure gradient, and the acceleration of a packet of fluid as it moves along. It's just telling us that force is mass times acceleration, nothing more. If the fluid is viscous, there'll be additional terms associated with viscous forces acting on our small packet of fluid.

We can write a new version of this describing what happens at a fixed point (as opposed to what happens to a particular group of molecules in the tiny volume that flows from place to place) by using our convective derivative since that'll let us get to $\partial \vec{v} / \partial t$.

Use
$$\frac{d}{dt} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla}$$
 to rewrite the previous equation as
 $\frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial t} + \vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \vec{v} + \frac{\vec{\nabla} P}{\rho} = \vec{g}.$

Now we have an equation with a partial derivative. In the case that the flow is steady, so that the behavior of the (moving) fluid at one point in space doesn't change, we'll have $\vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \vec{v} + \vec{\nabla} P / \rho = \vec{g}$.

Here's what I mean by the curious term $\vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \vec{v}$ (curious because we appear to be taking the gradient of a vector, instead of a scalar). It is perhaps more clearly expressed as $(\vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \vec{v}$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \end{pmatrix} \vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} v_x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + v_y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + v_z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \end{bmatrix} \vec{v}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} v_x \frac{\partial v_x}{\partial x} + v_y \frac{\partial v_x}{\partial y} + v_z \frac{\partial v_x}{\partial z} \end{bmatrix} \hat{x}$$

$$+ \begin{bmatrix} v_x \frac{\partial v_y}{\partial x} + v_y \frac{\partial v_y}{\partial y} + v_z \frac{\partial v_y}{\partial z} \end{bmatrix} \hat{y}$$

$$+ \begin{bmatrix} v_x \frac{\partial v_z}{\partial x} + v_y \frac{\partial v_z}{\partial y} + v_z \frac{\partial v_z}{\partial z} \end{bmatrix} \hat{z}$$

The equation $\frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial t} + \vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \vec{v} + \frac{\vec{\nabla} P}{\rho} = \vec{g}$ is referred to as Euler's equation of motion for a moving fluid subject to a gravitational force. It is *nonlinear* due to the presence of the $\vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \vec{v}$ term.

Conservation laws

We worked up the equations some pages back to express the fact that mass is conserved in our fluid.

Let's try for a conservation-of-momentum equation now. Start with this equation that I had derived for a non-viscous fluid:

$$\frac{d\vec{v}}{dt} + \frac{\vec{\nabla}P}{\rho} = \vec{g}.$$

This describes the relationship between velocity, pressure, density, and the gravitational acceleration for a point moving along with the fluid, and *not* at a fixed *x*, *y*, *z*. That's because we have a total derivative $d\vec{v}/dt$, not a partial derivative, $\partial \vec{v}/\partial t$, as had been used in Euler's equation of motion.

For a *particular* set of molecules in the fluid (we tag them, and keep track of them), the volume they occupy will increase when the density decreases, and decrease when the density increases. The mass of this particular small set of molecules is *dm*; they

occupy volume dV and have density ρ . We have $dm = \rho dV$; since we're keeping track of what these particular molecules are doing as they flow along, dm will be constant as long as we don't loose track of any of them. As a result, ρdV is constant.

Multiply our equation $\frac{d\vec{v}}{dt} + \frac{\vec{\nabla}P}{\rho} = \vec{g}$ by ρdV to write

$$\rho dV \frac{d\vec{v}}{dt} + \left(\vec{\nabla}P\right)dV = \rho \vec{g}dV$$

Because ρdV is constant, we can put it inside the time derivative:

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\rho \vec{v} dV) = (\rho \vec{g} - \vec{\nabla} P) dV.$$

Note that $\rho \vec{v} dV$ is the momentum carried by the particular set of molecules we're watching. Keep in mind that both ρ and dV change as the fluid flows.

If we integrate over a finite volume, we can write

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\int_{\text{volume}} \rho \vec{v} dV\right) = \int_{\text{volume}} \left(\rho \vec{g} - \vec{\nabla} P\right) dV.$$

I mentioned the divergence theorem some pages ago:

$$\int_{closed \ surface} \vec{J}(x, y, z, t) \cdot d\vec{A} = \int_{volume \ enclosed} \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{J}(x, y, z, t) dV.$$

There's a generalized version of this that says:

$$\int_{\text{volume}} \vec{\nabla} P dV = \int_{\text{surface}} P d\vec{A} \cdot$$

As a result, we can rewrite the equation

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\int_{\text{volume}} \rho \vec{v} dV \right) = \int_{\text{volume}} \left(\rho \vec{g} - \vec{\nabla} P \right) dV$$

as

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\int_{\text{volume}} \rho \vec{v} dV\right) = \int_{\text{volume}} \rho \vec{g} dV - \int_{\text{surface}} P d\vec{A} \quad .$$

University of Illinois

An introduction to fluid dynamics

 $\int_{\text{surface}} P d\vec{A} \text{ is just the net force acting on a macroscopic volume of}$

fluid associated with changes in pressure over the surface of the fluid.

This equation serves as a statement about momentum conservation in our fluid.

______ * _____

Hydrostatics

Consider a special case where the fluid is static so that the fluid velocity $\vec{v} = 0$ everywhere. The conservation of momentum equation

$$\frac{d\vec{v}}{dt} + \frac{\vec{\nabla}P}{\rho} = \vec{g}$$

reduces to

 $\vec{\nabla}P = \rho \vec{g}$

which is the equation of hydrostatic equilibrium. This equation tells us that the pressure gradient is parallel to the direction of gravity \vec{g} and so the surfaces of constant pressure (isobars) are perpendicular to \vec{g} . Since $\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{\nabla} P = 0$, taking the curl of the equation of hydrostatic equilibrium yields

$$0 = \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{\nabla} P = \vec{\nabla} \times (\rho \vec{g}) = \vec{\nabla} \rho \times \vec{g}$$

University of Illinois

An introduction to fluid dynamics

So the density gradient is also parallel to \vec{g} . Let $\vec{g} = g\hat{z}$ so that \hat{z} points downward. We have P = P(z) and $\rho = \rho(z)$. That is, the density and pressure only depends on the depth *z*. The equation of hydrostatic equilibrium becomes

$$\frac{dP}{dz} = \rho g$$

If the fluid density ρ is constant, the equation is easily integrated to give

$$P(z) = P_0 + \rho g z,$$

where P_0 is the pressure at z=0. This equation says that the fluid pressure at a depth z is equal to P_0 plus the total weight of the fluid per unit area above the point.

Mercury Barometer



A mercury barometer measures the atmospheric pressure by measuring the height of the mercury column in a glass tube above the mercury-filled basin at the bottom. The pressure is given by

$$P = \rho_{\rm Hg} g h$$

where $\rho_{\text{Hg}} = 13546$ kg/m³. A millimeter of mercury (mmHg) is formerly defined as the pressure generated by a column of mercury one mm high ($\rho_{\text{Hg}}g \times 1$ mm = 132.9 Pa). Now it's defined as exactly 133.32 Pa. The standard atmosphere pressure is 101kPa, which is about 760 mmHg.

Archimedes' Principle

Consider an object floating stationary in a fluid.



The buoyancy force exerted by the fluid on the object is

 $\vec{F}_{buoy} = -\int_{surface} P d\vec{A}$, where the integral is over the surface of the object immersed in the fluid. Imagine removing the body and

replacing it by fluid that has the same density $\rho(z)$ and pressure P(z), at each depth *z*, as the surrounding fluid.



Integrating the equation of hydrostatic equilibrium over the volume of the previously immersed body yields

$$\int_{V} \vec{\nabla} P \, dV = \int_{V} \rho \vec{g} \, dV$$

which can be written as

$$\int_{\text{surface}} P \, d\vec{A} = \int_{V} \rho \vec{g} dV$$

Hence,

$$\vec{F}_{\mathrm{buoy}} = -\vec{g} \int_{V} \rho dV = -M_f \vec{g}$$

Here M_f is the mass of the fluid displaced by the body. This is known as *Archimedes' principle*, which states that the upward buoyant force on the body is equal in magnitude to the weight $M_f g$ of the displaced fluid.

Tip of the Iceberg



Consider an iceberg floating in sea water. Let V_a be the volume of the iceberg above the water and V be the total volume. Let $\rho_w =$ 1027 kg/m³ be the density of sea water and $\rho_i =$ 920 kg/m³ be the density of ice. In static state, the weight of the iceberg $W_i = \rho_i Vg$ is balanced by the buoyant force, which according to Archimedes' principle is given by $F_{buoy} = \rho_w V_b g$. Here $V_b = V - V_a$ is the volume of the iceberg below the water. Hence

$$\rho_i V g = \rho_w (V - V_a) g$$
 ,

which leads to

$$\frac{V_a}{V} = \frac{\rho_w - \rho_i}{\rho_w} = 0.10 \; .$$

This means that only 10% of the iceberg is seen above the sea water.

Earth's Atmosphere

The variation of Earth's pressure with altitude is closely approximated by the hydrostatic equilibrium.

Let z be the upward direction and write $\vec{g} = -g\hat{z}$. The equation of hydrostatic equilibrium becomes

$$\frac{dP}{dz} = -\rho g$$

From the ideal gas law,

$$P = nkT = rac{
ho}{M}RT$$
 ,

where

 $R = N_A k = 8.31 \text{J/(mol K)}$ is the gas constant,

M = 0.02896 kg/mol is the molar mass of the air (78% N₂, 21% O₂,

0.9% Ar and small amount of other gases).

Combining the two equations yields

$$\frac{dP}{dz} = -\frac{Mg}{RT}P$$
$$\frac{dP}{P} = -\frac{Mg}{RT}dz$$

Integrating both sides gives

$$P(z) = P_0 \exp\left(-\int_0^z \frac{Mg}{RT(z')} dz'\right)$$

Here P_0 is the pressure at z=0. If $T=T_0$ is constant (isothermal), the above equation becomes

$$P(z) = P_0 \exp\left(-\frac{Mgz}{RT_0}\right) \qquad (isothermal)$$

The pressure decays exponentially.

A more realistic model assumes that the temperature decreases linearly with height:

$$T = T_0 - Lz ,$$

where L is called the temperature lapse rate. In this case,

$$\int_{0}^{z} \frac{Mg}{RT(z')} dz' = \frac{Mg}{R} \int_{0}^{z} \frac{dz'}{T_{0} - Lz'} = -\frac{Mg}{RL} \ln \frac{T_{0} - Lz}{T_{0}}$$

and the pressure is

$$P(z) = P_0 \left(1 - \frac{Lz}{T_0}\right)^{Mg/RL}$$
 (lapse)

Recall that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \left(1 + \frac{x}{k} \right)^k = \lim_{k \to \infty} \exp\left[k \ln\left(1 + \frac{x}{k} \right) \right] = \lim_{k \to \infty} \exp\left(k \cdot \frac{x}{k} \right) = e^x$$

It's easy to show that the (*lapse*) equation reduces to the

(*isothermal*) equation in the limit $L \rightarrow 0$.

The assumption of linearly variation in temperature doesn't hold when at high altitude. A more realistic model is to divide the atmosphere into several layers, and each layer has a different

temperature lapse rate. In this model, the pressure in one layer is given by

$$P(z) = P_b \left[1 - \frac{L_b (z - z_b)}{T_b} \right]^{Mg/RL_b}$$

Here the subscript b ranges from 0 to 6, corresponding to each of the 7 layers of the atmosphere model. The constants are shown in the table below.

Sub- script b	Geopotential height above mean Sea level (z)		Static pressure		Standard temperature (K)	Temperature lapse rate	
	(m)	(ft)	(Pa)	(inHg)		(K/m)	(K/ft)
0	0	0	101 325.00	29.92126	288.15	0.0065	0.0019812
1	11 000	36,089	22 632.10	6.683245	216.65	0.0	0.0
2	20 000	65,617	5474.89	1.616734	216.65	-0.001	-0.0003048
3	32 000	104,987	868.02	0.2563258	228.65	-0.0028	-0.00085344
4	47 000	154,199	110.91	0.0327506	270.65	0.0	0.0
5	51 000	167,323	66.94	0.01976704	270.65	0.0028	0.00085344
6	71 000	232,940	3.96	0.00116833	214.65	0.002	0.0006096
Credit: Wikimedia

(https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Barometric_formula)

Adafruit's DPS 310 Pressure sensor



https://www.adafruit.com/product/4494?gad_source=5

According to Adafruit, their DPS 310 pressure sensor can measure the change in pressure to an accuracy of 0.2 Pa. Recall that

$$\frac{dP}{dz} = -\frac{Mg}{RT}P \quad \Rightarrow \quad \Delta P = -\frac{MgP}{RT}\Delta z$$

University of Illinois

An introduction to fluid dynamics

Hence a pressure change of $\Delta P = 0.2$ Pa corresponds to a change of height of $\Delta z = 1.7$ cm for P=101 kPa and T=300 K. The pressure sensor can measure altitude to an accuracy of about 2cm.

______ * _____

Energy conservation

Let's develop an energy conservation equation, again assuming the viscosity μ is zero. I'm going to follow the approach in <u>Chapter 12</u> of the <u>lecture notes by Blandford and Thorne</u>.

Consider a small fluid element occupying a volume V. Let ρ and P be the density and pressure. The mass of this fluid element $m = \rho V$ is constant as we follow its motion. However, its density, pressure and volume can change. According to the first law of thermodynamics,

$$dE = dQ - PdV$$

where *E* is the internal energy, dQ is the amount of heat flowing into the fluid element. Assume the flow is adiabatic so that there is no heat flow (dQ=0). We have dE = -PdV, which means that the increase of internal energy is caused by the compression of the fluid. Let w = E/m be the internal energy per unit mass. Using $V = m/\rho$, we can write the first law as

$$mdw = -Pd\left(\frac{m}{\rho}\right)$$

Dividing the equation by *m* gives $dw = -Pd(\frac{1}{\rho})$. Hence the rate of

change of internal energy per mass is

$$\frac{dw}{dt} = -P\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{1}{\rho}\right) = -\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{P}{\rho}\right) + \frac{1}{\rho}\frac{dP}{dt}$$

or

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(w + \frac{P}{\rho}\right) = \frac{1}{\rho}\frac{dP}{dt} = \frac{1}{\rho}\frac{\partial P}{\partial t} + \frac{\vec{v}\cdot\vec{\nabla}P}{\rho} \qquad [1]$$

Recall the equation for conservation of momentum:

$$\frac{d\vec{v}}{dt} = -\frac{\vec{\nabla}P}{\rho} + \vec{g}$$

Taking the dot product of the above equation by \vec{v} gives

$$\vec{v} \cdot \frac{d\vec{v}}{dt} + \frac{\vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla}P}{\rho} - \vec{v} \cdot \vec{g} = 0 \quad [2]$$

The acceleration of gravity \vec{g} can be written as $\vec{g} = -\vec{\nabla}U$, where *U* is the gravitational potential. Near Earth's surface, U = gh, where *h* is the height above a reference point. Since gravity on Earth is static, $\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} = 0$ and we have

$$\frac{dU}{dt} = \frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + \vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla} U = \vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla} U = -\vec{v} \cdot \vec{g} \quad [3]$$

Write

$$\vec{v} \cdot \frac{d\vec{v}}{dt} = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{2}\vec{v} \cdot \vec{v}\right) = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{2}v^2\right) \quad [4]$$

Combining equations [1]-[4] gives

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{1}{2}v^2 + \frac{P}{\rho} + U + w\right) = \frac{1}{\rho}\frac{\partial P}{\partial t}$$

For a steady flow, $\frac{\partial P}{\partial t} = 0$ and so

$$\frac{1}{2}v^2 + \frac{P}{\rho} + U + w = \text{constant}$$

along a *streamline*, which is a line obtained by connecting the velocity field \vec{v} in space. It's analogous to the electric and magnetic field lines in electrodynamics. This is called Bernoulli's equation; it's why airplanes fly. As *v* increases, *P* decreases. Thus lift is generated by airfoils as the greater speed across the curved, upper surface is accompanied by decreased pressure.

To see why the quantity $b = \frac{1}{2}v^2 + \frac{p}{\rho} + u + w$ is constant along a streamline, consider the flow depicted in the following diagram.



Consider a fluid element initially (*t*=0) at point *A* in the diagram and moves to point *C* later at $t = \Delta t$. Bernoulli's equation tells us that the values of *b* remains unchanged. Hence *b* at point *C* at $t = \Delta t$ is equal to *b* at point *A* at *t*=0. Since the flow is steady, $\partial b/\partial t =$ 0 at both *A* and *C*. As a result, the values of *b* at points *A* and *C* are the same at all times. Suppose the fluid element at point *C* moves to point *D* at a later time. By the same argument, one can deduce that the values of *b* at *C* and *D* are the same at all times. Hence one can conclude that every point on a single streamline must have the same value of *b*. Different streamlines, on the other hand, can have different values of *b* in general. However, if the flow in a region is homogeneous, one can expect that all streamlines have the same *b*. The exception is when there are *flow separations*, which often occur when a fluid moves from a smaller container to a larger

container, as shown in the diagram above. One can expect that the blue streamlines in the diagram have the same value of b if the fluid flow on the left is homegeneous. However, the red streamlines at the left corners of the right container are disconnected from the rest of the flow. Thus there is no reason to expect that the values of b in the red streamlines are the same as that in the blue streamlines.

For an incompressible fluid (e.g., water), w is constant so we have

$$\frac{1}{2}v^2 + \frac{P}{\rho} + U = \text{constant}.$$

If the fluid flow does not go uphill or downhill so that U is constant, Bernoulli's equation simplifies further:

$$\frac{1}{2}v^2 + \frac{P}{\rho} = \text{constant.}$$

Keep in mind how we got to this point: we were investigating how a small volume of fluid accelerated due to the existence of a pressure gradient. Modification of those equations to tell us how the kinetic energy of the small volume of fluid changed thanks to the power applied by the pressure gradient to our volume gave us Bernoulli's equation. It says, in effect, that the pressure will drop as the fluid expends energy speeding itself up.

University of Illinois

An introduction to fluid dynamics

One should also keep in mind the limitation of Bernoulli's equation. It only applied in *laminar flows*, where streamlines are well-defined. Bernoulli's equation doesn't apply in turbulent flows. Turbulent flows are usually unsteady, which violates one of the assumptions in the derivation of Bernoulli's equation. As the velocity fields in a turbulent flow are irregular and change rapidly in time, there are no well-defined streamlines. Viscosity is important in turbulence and is not accounted for in Bernoulli's equation.

Example: Water is flowing out of a rectangular tank from the bottom of a small hole. How long does it take to excavate the water from the tank?



University of Illinois

An introduction to fluid dynamics

The pressure *P* is the same at the top of the water level and at the hole. Apply Bernoulli's equation at height *y* and at the hole:

$$\frac{1}{2}\dot{y}^2 + \frac{P}{\rho} + gy = \frac{1}{2}v^2 + \frac{P}{\rho}$$
$$\Rightarrow v^2 - \dot{y}^2 = 2gy \qquad (1)$$

In a previous example, we find

$$\dot{y} = -\frac{A_h}{A(y)}v = -\frac{A_h}{A}v \quad (2)$$

where A_h is the area of the hole and A the cross-sectional area of the tank. For a rectangular tank, A is independent of y. Combining equations (1) and (2) leads to

$$\left(1 - \frac{A_h^2}{A^2}\right)v^2 = 2gy$$

and so

$$v = \sqrt{2gy} \left(1 - \frac{A_h^2}{A^2} \right)^{-1/2} \approx \sqrt{2gy} \quad (3)$$

when $A_h \ll A$. This is the speed that a body would acquire in falling freely from a height *y*. Note that the rate of water flow decreases as the water level *y* decreases. Combine (2) and (3):

$$\dot{y} = -\frac{A_h}{A}\sqrt{2gy}$$

which can be rewritten as

$$\frac{dy}{\sqrt{y}} = -\frac{A_h}{A}\sqrt{2g}dt$$

©2024 University of Illinois

01-44

Assume that $y=y_0$ at t=0. Integrating both sides gives

$$\int_{y_0}^{y} \frac{dy'}{\sqrt{y'}} = -\frac{A_h}{A}\sqrt{2g} t$$
$$2\sqrt{y} - 2\sqrt{y_0} = -\frac{A_h}{A}\sqrt{2g} t$$

and the water level at time *t* is

$$y(t) = \left(\sqrt{y_0} - \frac{A_h}{A}\sqrt{\frac{g}{2}} t\right)^2$$

Water is excavated from the tank at time T at which y(T)=0, or

$$T = \frac{A}{A_h} \sqrt{\frac{2y_0}{g}}$$

Note that $\sqrt{2y_0/g}$ is the time required for an object to fall freely from a height y_0 . So *T* is longer than the free-fall time by a factor of A/A_h .

For $A/A_h = 40$ and $y_0 = 0.3$ m, $T \approx 10$ s. Note that Bernoulli's equation only applies to steady flow. The water flow in the tank is not steady as the flow rate changes with time. However, Bernoulli's equation can still be used if the change is sufficiently slow. The flow is said to be "quasi-steady" in this case. We thus require *T* to be much longer than the relevant dynamical time scales. There are two dynamical time scales in this problem. The first is associated with pressure, which is characterized by the time

it takes for sound to travel a distance y_0 . The sound speed in water is about 1500m/s, so $y_0/c_s = 0.0002$ s, which is much shorter than *T*. The second time scale is associated with gravity, which is characterized by the free-fall time from height y_0 . Since *T* is longer than the free-fall time by the factor $A/A_h = 40$, the quasi-steady approximation is fine and we expect a relative error of about 1/40 = 2.5% in the estimated value of *T*.

(Ir)rotational flow

Remember about curl? Look over material in the lecture notes on conservative forces for a refresher, if necessary. The vorticity is defined as $\vec{\omega} = \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{v}$. It describes the local spinning motion of fluid.

Let's say the velocity in the fluid near a *vortex* looks like this:



To have something concrete to work with, let's say the velocity at a point (r,θ) is $\vec{v} = v(r)\hat{\theta}$ where r = 0 is the center of the vortex.

In Cartesian coordinates, we could write

$$\vec{v} = v\left(\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}\right) \left(\cos\theta \hat{y} - \sin\theta \hat{x}\right).$$

If you look up the form for curl in cylindrical coordinates you'll find that it's

$$\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A} = \frac{1}{r} \left(\frac{\partial A_z}{\partial \theta} - \frac{\partial A_{\theta}}{\partial z} \right) \hat{r} + \left(\frac{\partial A_r}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial A_z}{\partial r} \right) \hat{\theta} + \left(\frac{\partial A_{\theta}}{\partial r} - \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial A_r}{\partial \theta} + \frac{A_{\theta}}{r} \right) \hat{z}.$$

As a result, since $v_{\theta} = v(r)$, the vorticity is

$$\vec{\omega} = \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{v} = \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial r}v(r) + \frac{v(r)}{r}\right]\hat{z}$$

because $v_r = v_z = 0$.

A circular flow pattern like this has non-zero vorticity.

If the layers are all turning with the same angular velocity, there'll be no "shearing" between adjacent layers. This means that one layer in the flow does not slide past another, and there will be no energy loss associated with viscous drag that one layer will exert on another.

If all layers turn with the same angular velocity Ω , we'll have $v(r) = \Omega r$ which will yield

$$\vec{\omega} = \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial r}v(r) + \frac{v(r)}{r}\right]\hat{z} = 2\Omega\hat{z}$$

independent of *r*.

If $\vec{\omega}$ depends on *r*, there'll be shear dislocations of adjacent layers relative to each other, which will lead to energy dissipation in a viscous fluid.

If $\vec{\omega} = 0$, the flow is *irrotational*: a small pinwheel placed in the fluid won't spin.

We can be a little more formal than using the pinwheel analogy by referring to Stokes' theorem:

$$\oint_{loop} \vec{v} \cdot d\vec{s} = \iint_{area} (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{v}) \cdot d\vec{A} = \iint_{area} \vec{\omega} \cdot d\vec{A} \, .$$

©2024 University of Illinois

01-48

If the velocity seems to go around in circles so that $\oint_{loop} \vec{v} \cdot d\vec{s} \neq$

0, we'll automatically have non-zero vorticity inside the loop.

It'll also be true that fluid flow with a velocity gradient—for example, having v_x increase with y—can correspond to "rotational flow," even if all molecules in the fluid are traveling in the x direction.

______ ***** _____

Shear stress in a "Newtonian fluid"

Imagine you drag a sheet of plywood of area A across the floor, using a spring balance to measure the force F necessary to overcome friction. The force per unit area required to move the plywood is F/A, of course; we refer to this as the *stress* caused by the interaction between the plywood and the floor.

Imagine instead that we have a layer of fluid with non-zero viscosity between a (rough) plate of area *A* and the rough bottom

of a large tank, and drag the plate with velocity $\vec{v} = v_{\text{plate}} \hat{x}$ across the top of the fluid, as shown in the figure.



If the bottom of the tank and the surface of the plate that is in contact with the fluid are both sufficiently rough, the layer of fluid in contact with the surfaces should move with the same velocity as the surfaces. The fluid at y = d immediately below the plate will move to the right with velocity $v_{\text{plate}} \hat{x}$ while the fluid at the bottom of the tank will remain at rest.

In a *Newtonian* fluid, the fluid velocity below the moving plate increases linearly from 0 to $v_{\text{plate}}\hat{x}$ as y increases from 0 to d. We can write

$$\frac{\partial v_x(x, y, z)}{\partial y} = \frac{v_{\text{plate}}}{d}.$$

A Newtonian fluid is viscous (otherwise there'd be no shear forces to make the fluid move parallel to the plate), and will exert a stress τ (force per unit area) on the dragged plate that is proportional to how fast we're pulling it, among other things.

(Liquid) water behaves like a Newtonian fluid. Examples of non-Newtonian fluids include Silly Putty and chilled caramel ice cream toppings: stress them hard enough and they'll behave like solids. (Silly Putty will shatter, for example, when the applied force comes from a hammer.)

The thicker the layer of fluid, the less the force needed to drive the plate with constant speed in opposition to the viscous drag exerted by the fluid.

If we can assume that the fluid at the bottom of the tank has zero velocity, while the fluid in contact with the bottom of the plate has the same velocity as the plate, the required force will be proportional to 1/d for a Newtonian fluid. As a result, the shear stress on the plate (and on the bottom of the tank) has magnitude

$$\tau \equiv \frac{F}{A} = \mu \frac{v_{\text{plate}}}{d} = \mu \frac{\partial v_x}{\partial y}.$$

University of Illinois

An introduction to fluid dynamics

The constant μ is an intrinsic property of the fluid, and is called the fluid's *viscosity*.

It's not going to be true in general that the fluid velocity increases linearly with distance transverse to the direction of flow: that's only the case for the example of a plate being dragged above a rough surface.

Imagine we've set up a "steady flow" in a fluid: there's no explicit time dependence to the fluid velocity so we can write it as $\vec{v}(x, y, z)$. Let's look at how viscous forces are exerted on one small volume element by adjacent volume elements. Let's make them all the same size, and assume that the general direction of flow is along *x*, and that the flow speed increases (but not necessarily linearly) with *y*.

Viscous drag from the bottom volume element creates shear stress that tries to slow the middle element down; shear stress from the upper volume element will try to speed the middle element up. The shear stresses will also distort the volume element; we'll look at what's going on at the instant when the collection of molecules in the middle volume happens to form a rectangular solid.



The net (shear) force on the middle element will be

$$F_{x} = \mu \left(\frac{dv_{x}}{dy} \Big|_{\text{upper surface}} - \frac{dv_{x}}{dy} \Big|_{\text{lower surface}} \right) dx dz \approx \mu \left[\frac{d}{dy} \left(\frac{dv_{x}}{dy} \right) \right] dy dx dz$$
$$= \mu \frac{d^{2}v_{x}}{dy^{2}} dx dy dz = \mu \frac{d^{2}v_{x}}{dy^{2}} dV.$$

If we allow for a similar velocity gradient in v_x in the *z* direction, we'll have an additional contribution to the force on our middle volume element. As a result, we expect the force from viscosity on our volume element to be

$$F_{x} = \mu \left[\frac{d^{2}v_{x}}{dy^{2}} + \frac{d^{2}v_{x}}{dz^{2}} \right] dV.$$

There are also viscous effects that act along the direction of motion of the fluid: if you've ever poured honey into a cup of tea, you've

seen this. Even though gravity is pulling honey off your spoon, the viscosity of the honey keeps it from going into freefall.

The volume element to the left exerts a pull in the negative *x* direction, the volume to the right a pull in the positive *x* direction. In a Newtonian fluid, the pull along the direction of motion associated with viscosity (this is distinct from a pull associated with a pressure gradient) will also be proportional to the derivative of the velocity:

$$\frac{F_x}{A} \propto \frac{\partial v_x}{\partial x}.$$

I won't derive it, but the proportionality constant in a Newtonian fluid is the same viscosity constant μ as for shear forces.

The net force on the volume from the non-zero $\partial v_x / \partial x$ is

$$F_{x} = \mu \left(\frac{dv_{x}}{dx} \Big|_{\substack{\text{right} \\ \text{surface}}} - \frac{dv_{x}}{dx} \Big|_{\substack{\text{left} \\ \text{surface}}} \right) dy dz \approx \mu \left[\frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{dv_{x}}{dx} \right) \right] dx dy dz$$
$$= \mu \frac{d^{2}v_{x}}{dx^{2}} dx dy dz = \mu \frac{d^{2}v_{x}}{dx^{2}} dV.$$

As a result, the full expression for the viscous force is nicely symmetric:

$$F_{x} = \mu \left[\frac{d^{2}v_{x}}{dx^{2}} + \frac{d^{2}v_{x}}{dy^{2}} + \frac{d^{2}v_{x}}{dz^{2}} \right] dV = \left(\mu dV \right) \nabla^{2} v_{x}.$$

Including the effects of possible flow velocities along *y* and *z* gives

$$\vec{F} = \mu dV \Big[\hat{x} \nabla^2 v_x + \hat{y} \nabla^2 v_y + \hat{z} \nabla^2 v_z \Big] = (\mu dV) \nabla^2 \vec{v}.$$

Viscous Stress tensor

You may have learned about the elastic tensor during an oscillation unit in an intermediate classical mechanics course.

When I described viscous forces that produce shear strains, I concluded that F_x contained contributions from the second derivatives of v_x .

The *stress tensor* is something we can use to streamline some of our notation in fluid dynamics. Here I'm going to follow the approach in <u>Chapter 12</u> of the <u>lecture notes by Blandford and Thorne</u>.

Let's write the stress tensor \overleftarrow{T} in matrix form:

$$\overleftarrow{T} = \begin{pmatrix} T_{xx} & T_{xy} & T_{xz} \\ T_{yx} & T_{yy} & T_{yz} \\ T_{zx} & T_{zy} & T_{zz} \end{pmatrix}$$

The two-sided arrow in the superscript of *T* indicates that it's a tensor with two indices. It can be shown that the stress tensor must be symmetrical: $T_{ij} = T_{ji}$. Here the indices *i* and *j* run from 1 to 3, with 1 means *x*, 2 means *y* and 3 means *z*. The physical meaning of the stress tensor is that the force acting on a small surface in the fluid $d\vec{A} = \hat{n}dA$ is given by

$$d\vec{F} = \vec{T} \cdot d\vec{A}$$
$$d\vec{F} = dA(T_{xx}n_x + T_{xy}n_y + T_{xz}n_z)\hat{x}$$
$$+ dA(T_{yx}n_x + T_{yy}n_y + T_{yz}n_z)\hat{y}$$

$$+dA(T_{zx}n_x+T_{zy}n_y+T_{zz}n_z)\hat{z}$$

Here \hat{n} is the outward unit vector normal to the surface. Consider a fluid element occupying a certain volume. The total force on this fluid element by the surrounding fluid is

$$\vec{F} = -\int\limits_{\text{surface}} \vec{T} \cdot d\vec{A} = -\int\limits_{\text{volume}} \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{T} \, dV$$

where we have used the divergence theorem. The negative sign arises from the fact that for a closed surface, $d\vec{A}$ points out of the fluid element instead of into it. The divergence of the stress tensor is

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{T} = \left(\frac{\partial T_{xx}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial T_{yx}}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial T_{zx}}{\partial z}\right)\hat{x} + \left(\frac{\partial T_{xy}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial T_{yy}}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial T_{zy}}{\partial z}\right)\hat{y} + \left(\frac{\partial T_{xz}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial T_{yz}}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial T_{zz}}{\partial z}\right)\hat{z}$$

which is the negative of force per volume acting on a small fluid element.

The stress tensor of an ideal fluid is $\vec{T} = P\vec{G}$, where *P* is pressure and \vec{G} is called the metric tensor. In Cartesian coordinates, \vec{G} is represented by a 3×3 identity matrix. In this case, \vec{T} is represented by a diagonal matrix

$$\overrightarrow{T} = \begin{pmatrix} P & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & P & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & P \end{pmatrix}$$

01-57

The force acting on a small surface is $d\vec{F} = \vec{T} \cdot d\vec{A} = Pd\vec{A}$. The force is in the direction of $d\vec{A}$ and has equal magnitude in all directions (isotropic). The divergence of \vec{T} is equal to the pressure gradient:

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{T} = \left(\frac{\partial T_{xx}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial T_{yx}}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial T_{zx}}{\partial z}\right)\hat{x} + \left(\frac{\partial T_{xy}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial T_{yy}}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial T_{zy}}{\partial z}\right)\hat{y} \\ + \left(\frac{\partial T_{xz}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial T_{yz}}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial T_{zz}}{\partial z}\right)\hat{z} \\ = \frac{\partial P}{\partial x}\hat{x} + \frac{\partial P}{\partial y}\hat{y} + \frac{\partial P}{\partial z}\hat{z} = \vec{\nabla}P$$

In the presence of viscosity, the stress tensor can be written as the sum of two parts:

$$\overleftarrow{T} = P\overrightarrow{G} + \overleftarrow{\tau}$$

Here $\overleftarrow{\tau}$ is called the *viscous stress tensor*. The viscous force acting on a small surface is

$$dF_{\rm vis} = \overleftarrow{\tau} \cdot d\overrightarrow{A}$$

The total viscous force on this fluid element by the surrounding fluid is

$$\vec{F}_{\text{vis}} = -\int_{\text{surface}} \vec{\tau} \cdot d\vec{A} = -\int_{\text{volume}} \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{\tau} dV$$

In the presence of this viscous force per volume, we have to add an extra term $\vec{f}_{vis} = -\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{\tau}$ to the momentum conservation equation:

$$\rho \frac{d\vec{v}}{dt} = \rho \left(\frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial t} + \vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \vec{v} \right) = -\vec{\nabla}P + \rho \vec{g} - \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{\tau}$$

The effect of viscosity is to resist the motion of one layer of fluid slide past another layer. To motivate a model for $\overleftarrow{\tau}$ requires us to express mathematically what we mean by "one layer of fluid slide past another layer."

The motion of fluid is completely described by the fluid velocity field \vec{v} . Sliding can occur if neighboring fluid elements move with different velocities. Introduce the velocity gradient tensor

$$\vec{\nabla}\vec{v} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial v_x}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial v_y}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial v_z}{\partial x} \\ \frac{\partial v_x}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial v_y}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial v_z}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial v_x}{\partial z} & \frac{\partial v_y}{\partial z} & \frac{\partial v_z}{\partial z} \end{pmatrix}$$

It's useful to introduce a quantity called expansion:

$$\theta = Tr(\vec{\nabla}\vec{v}) = \frac{\partial v_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v_y}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v_z}{\partial z} = \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{v}$$

To understand the physical significance of θ , consider a small fluid element occupying a small volume ΔV . The mass of this fluid element $\Delta m = \rho \Delta V$ is constant as we move with it, but its density and volume may change. So we have

$$0 = \frac{d\Delta m}{dt} = \Delta V \frac{d\rho}{dt} + \rho \frac{d\Delta V}{dt}$$

From the continuity equation, we have $\frac{d\rho}{dt} = -\rho \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{v} = -\rho \theta$.

Substituting this to the above equation gives

$$\theta = \frac{1}{\Delta V} \frac{d\Delta V}{dt}$$

Thus, θ is the fractional rate of increase of fluid element's volume. Next, we introduce the rate of shear tensor $\vec{\sigma}$ and rate of rotation tensor \vec{r} whose components are defined as

$$\sigma_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial v_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x_i} \right) - \frac{1}{3} \theta \delta_{ij}$$
$$r_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial v_i}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x_i} \right)$$

Again the indices *i* and *j* run from 1 to 3 (1 means *x*, 2 means *y*, 3 means *z*, and $x_1 = x$, $x_2 = y$, $x_3 = z$). The Kronecker delta function is $\delta_{ij} = 1$ if i = j and 0 if $i \neq j$. The rate of shear tensor $\vec{\sigma}$ is symmetry and trace-free (i.e. $\sigma_{ij} = \sigma_{ji}$ and $Tr(\vec{\sigma}) = \sigma_{xx} + \sigma_{yy} + \sigma_{zz} = 0$). The rate of rotation tensor \vec{r} is anti-symmetry $(r_{ij} = -r_{ji})$ and trace-free. It's easy to show that

$$r_{xy} = -r_{yx} = \frac{1}{2}\omega_z, \qquad r_{yz} = -r_{zy} = \frac{1}{2}\omega_x, \quad r_{zx} = -r_{xz} = \frac{1}{2}\omega_y$$

and the diagonal terms vanish: $r_{xx} = r_{yy} = r_{zz} = 0$.

Here $\vec{\omega} = \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{v}$ is the vorticity we introduced earlier. Physically, \vec{r} describes a rotational motion of the fluid; $\vec{\sigma}$ describes the shear motion of the fluid – deformation that preserves fluid's volume. It's easy to show that the velocity gradient tensor can be decomposed as

$$\left(\vec{\nabla}\vec{v}\right)_{ij} = \frac{1}{3}\theta\delta_{ij} + \sigma_{ij} + r_{ij}$$

The first term corresponds to the expansion and contraction of a fluid, the second term describes the shear motion and the third term describes the rotational motion. It's the shear motion (second term) that causes one layer of a fluid sliding past another layer. Thus a simple model of the viscous stress tensor is to assume that τ_{ij} is linear to the rate of deformation:

$$\tau_{ij} = -\zeta \theta \delta_{ij} - 2\mu \sigma_{ij}$$

where ζ and μ are called the coefficients of bulk and shear viscosity, respectively. The negative sign is to make viscosity oppose to the motion. In particular, the *bulk viscosity* $-\zeta\theta\delta_{ij}$ resists the fluid's expansion and contraction, and *shear viscosity* $-2\mu\sigma_{ij}$ resists the fluid's shear motion. In general, the bulk viscosity is much smaller than the shear viscosity and is often ignored.

Including viscosity; the Navier-Stokes equations

Our momentum conservation equation in the presence of viscosity is

$$\rho \frac{d\vec{v}}{dt} = \rho \left(\frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial t} + \vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \vec{v} \right) = -\vec{\nabla}P + \rho \vec{g} - \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{\tau}$$

This is called the Navier-Stokes Equation. Sometimes the

"continuity equation"
$$\frac{\partial \rho(x, y, z, t)}{\partial t} + \vec{\nabla} \cdot (\rho \vec{v}) = 0$$
 is included as

another of the Navier-Stokes equations.

For an incompressible fluid like water, $\theta = \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{v} = 0$. Hence

$$\tau_{ij} = -\mu \left(\frac{\partial v_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x_i} \right)$$

Assuming μ is constant, we have

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{\tau} = \sum_{1=1}^{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{3} \tau_{ij} \hat{x}_j \right) = -\mu \sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{j=1}^{3} \left(\frac{\partial^2 v_i}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \frac{\partial^2 v_j}{\partial x_i^2} \right) \hat{x}_j$$

The first term is

$$-\mu\sum_{i=1}^{3}\sum_{j=1}^{3}\frac{\partial^{2}v_{i}}{\partial x_{i}\partial x_{j}}\widehat{x}_{j} = -\mu\sum_{j=1}^{3}\widehat{x}_{j}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}\sum_{i=1}^{3}\frac{\partial v_{i}}{\partial x_{i}} = -\mu\overrightarrow{\nabla}(\overrightarrow{\nabla}\cdot\overrightarrow{v}) = 0$$

for incompressible fluid. The second term is

$$-\mu \sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{\partial^2 v_j}{\partial x_i^2} \hat{x}_j = -\mu \sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} \sum_{j=1}^{3} v_j \hat{x}_j = -\mu \sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{\partial^2 \vec{v}}{\partial x_i^2} = -\mu \nabla^2 \vec{v}$$

Hence the viscous force per unit volume is

$$\vec{f}_{\rm vis} = -\vec{\nabla}\cdot\vec{\tau} = \mu\nabla^2\vec{v}$$

for incompressible fluid. The Navier-Stokes equation for incompressible fluid is

$$\rho \frac{d\vec{v}}{dt} = \rho \left(\frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial t} + \vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \vec{v} \right) = -\vec{\nabla}P + \rho \vec{g} + \mu \nabla^2 \vec{v}$$

Circulation

Circulation Γ is defined as the following line integral around a closed contour *C*:

$$\Gamma(\mathbf{t}) = \oint_{C(t)} \vec{v} \cdot d\vec{x}$$



Using Stokes' theorem, circulation can be written as the following surface integral.

$$\Gamma(t) = \iint\limits_{S(t)} ec{\omega} \cdot dec{S}$$
 ,

where *S* is the surface bounded by the contour *C* and $\vec{\omega} = \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{v}$ is the vorticity. Hence circulation is also equal to the flux of vorticity across *S*. Suppose every point of the contour *C*(*t*) moves along with the fluid. At a later time, very point in *C*(*t*) will in general move to a difference location and the shape of *C*(*t*) may also be distorted. The rate of change of the circulation $\Gamma(t)$ is given by

$$\frac{d\Gamma}{dt} = \oint_{C(t)} \frac{d}{dt} (\vec{v} \cdot d\vec{x}) = \oint_{C(t)} \frac{d\vec{v}}{dx} \cdot d\vec{x} + \oint_{C(t)} \vec{v} \cdot d\left(\frac{d\vec{x}}{dt}\right)$$

The second term is

$$\oint_{C(t)} \vec{v} \cdot d\left(\frac{d\vec{x}}{dt}\right) = \oint_{C(t)} \vec{v} \cdot d\vec{v} = \frac{1}{2} \oint_{C(t)} dv^2 = 0.$$

The first term can be rewritten using Navier-Stokes equation, resulting in

$$\frac{d\Gamma}{dt} = -\oint_{C(t)} \frac{\vec{\nabla}P}{\rho} \cdot d\vec{x} + \oint_{C(t)} \vec{g} \cdot d\vec{x} - \oint_{C(t)} \frac{1}{\rho} (\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{\tau}) \cdot d\vec{x} \, .$$

Since $\vec{g} = -\vec{\nabla}U$,

$$\oint_{C(t)} \vec{g} \cdot d\vec{x} = -\iint_{S(t)} \left(\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{\nabla} U \right) \cdot d\vec{S} = 0.$$

From Stokes' theorem,

$$-\oint_{C(t)} \frac{\vec{\nabla}P}{\rho} \cdot d\vec{x} = -\iint_{S(t)} \left(\vec{\nabla} \times \frac{\vec{\nabla}P}{\rho} \right) \cdot d\vec{S} = \iint_{S(t)} \frac{\vec{\nabla}\rho \times \vec{\nabla}P}{\rho^2} \cdot d\vec{S} \,.$$

Hence we have

$$\frac{d\Gamma}{dt} = \iint_{S(t)} \frac{\vec{\nabla}\rho \times \vec{\nabla}P}{\rho^2} \cdot d\vec{S} - \oint_{C(t)} \frac{1}{\rho} (\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{\tau}) \cdot d\vec{x} \,.$$

A fluid is said to be barotropic if the pressure only depends on density: $P = P(\rho)$. This can occur in an ideal gas at constant temperature. In this case, $\vec{\nabla}P = (dP/d\rho)\vec{\nabla}\rho$ and so $\vec{\nabla}\rho \times \vec{\nabla}P = 0$. Hence, $\frac{d\Gamma}{dt} = 0$ for barotropic, inviscid flow. This is called *Kelvin's circulation theorem*. It means that circulation is persistent in a barotropic flow when viscosity is negligible.

Water flowing through a long, cylindrical pipe

This is a good example of the power of the Navier-Stokes equations. I'm going to follow the development of the subject in J.M. McDonough's lecture notes, referenced at the beginning of this unit.

Something I forgot to mention: to good accuracy, the layer of a viscous fluid that is in contact with a surface is *always* moving at exactly the same velocity as the surface. This is called the "no-slip condition."

We need to rewrite the Navier-Stokes equations in cylindrical coordinates. That's not a big deal; looking it up (rather than grinding it out myself) yields this:

Continuity (conservation of mass) equation:

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial (\rho r v_r)}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial (\rho v_\theta)}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial (\rho v_z)}{\partial z} = 0$$

Equation of motion for *r* component of momentum:

$$\rho \left(\frac{\partial v_r}{\partial t} + v_r \frac{\partial v_r}{\partial r} + \frac{v_{\theta}}{r} \frac{\partial v_r}{\partial \theta} - \frac{v_{\theta}^2}{r} + v_z \frac{\partial v_r}{\partial z} \right) = -\frac{\partial P}{\partial r} - \left(\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial (r\tau_{rr})}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \tau_{r\theta}}{\partial \theta} - \frac{\tau_{\theta\theta}}{r} + \frac{\partial \tau_{rz}}{\partial z} \right) + F_r$$

Equation of motion for *z* component of momentum:

$$\rho \left(\frac{\partial v_z}{\partial t} + v_r \frac{\partial v_z}{\partial r} + \frac{v_\theta}{r} \frac{\partial v_z}{\partial \theta} + v_z \frac{\partial v_z}{\partial z} \right) = -\frac{\partial P}{\partial z} - \left(\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial (r\tau_{rz})}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \tau_{\theta z}}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial \tau_{zz}}{\partial z} \right) + F_z$$

For a Newtonian incompressible fluid, the momentum equations reduce to the following:

Equation of motion for *r* component of momentum:

$$\rho \left(\frac{\partial v_r}{\partial t} + v_r \frac{\partial v_r}{\partial r} + \frac{v_{\theta}}{r} \frac{\partial v_r}{\partial \theta} - \frac{v_{\theta}^2}{r} + v_z \frac{\partial v_r}{\partial z} \right) = -\frac{\partial P}{\partial r} + \mu \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial (rv_r)}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 v_r}{\partial \theta^2} - \frac{2}{r^2} \frac{\partial v_{\theta}}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial^2 v_r}{\partial z^2} \right] + F_r$$

Equation of motion for *z* component of momentum:

$$\rho \left(\frac{\partial v_z}{\partial t} + v_r \frac{\partial v_z}{\partial r} + \frac{v_\theta}{r} \frac{\partial v_z}{\partial \theta} + v_z \frac{\partial v_z}{\partial z} \right) = -\frac{\partial P}{\partial z} + \mu \left[\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r \frac{\partial v_z}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 v_z}{\partial \theta^2} + \frac{\partial^2 v_z}{\partial z^2} \right] + F_z$$

Due to the cylindrical symmetry of the pipe there cannot be a θ dependence to anything. If there are no gravitational effects, F_r and F_z are zero.

If we look at the fluid far enough from the entrance of the pipe so that we've arrived at a place where the flow is steady, v_r must be zero since the fluid is not passing out of the walls of the pipe. Naturally, v_{θ} is also zero. Further, the density has no explicit time dependence. As a result, the continuity equation becomes

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial (\rho r v_r)}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial (\rho v_\theta)}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial (\rho v_z)}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial (\rho v_z)}{\partial z} = \rho \frac{\partial v_z}{\partial z} = 0$$

so that v_z can only depend on r, but not on z.

The *r* momentum equation becomes $\partial P/\partial r = 0$ so that the pressure is independent of *r*, and can only depend on *z*: *P*(*z*).

The z momentum equation becomes

$$0 = -\frac{\partial P(z)}{\partial z} + \mu \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r \frac{\partial v_z(r)}{\partial r} \right)$$

or

$$\frac{\partial P(z)}{\partial z} = \frac{\mu}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r \frac{\partial v_z(r)}{\partial r} \right).$$

Note that the left side is only a function of *z*, while the right side is only a function of *r*. This can only be true if both sides are *constant*. There is a pressure drop in the pipe, of course. Let *k* be the constant. Integrating dP/dz = k from z=0 to z=L gives $k = -\Delta P/L$, where $\Delta P = P(0) - P(L)$ and *L* is the length of the pipe.

Solve for v_z now, replacing our partial derivatives with total derivatives to be able to do the integrals:

$$\begin{split} \frac{\mu}{r} \frac{d}{dr} \left(r \frac{dv_z}{dr} \right) &= -\frac{\Delta P}{L} \\ \Rightarrow r \frac{dv_z}{dr} &= -\frac{\Delta P}{\mu L} \int r dr = -\frac{\Delta P}{2\mu L} r^2 + C_1 \\ \frac{dv_z}{dr} &= -\frac{\Delta P}{2\mu L} r + \frac{C_1}{r} \,. \end{split}$$

Integrate:

$$v_z(r) = -\frac{\Delta P}{4\mu L}r^2 + C_1\ln r + C_2$$
.

Two boundary conditions on v_z : it must be finite at r = 0, and it must be zero at the walls of the pipe, where r = R. The first requires $C_1 = 0$; the second that $C_2 = \Delta P R^2 / 4\mu L$ As a result,

$$v_z(r) = \frac{\Delta P}{4\mu L} R^2 \left(1 - \frac{r^2}{R^2} \right).$$

We are neglecting messy things like turbulence!

The average flow velocity in the pipe is this:

$$\begin{split} \langle v_z \rangle &= \frac{1}{\pi R^2} \int_0^R \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\Delta P}{4\mu L} R^2 \left(1 - \frac{r^2}{R^2} \right) r dr d\theta \\ &= \frac{\Delta P}{2\mu L} \int_0^R \left(r - \frac{r^3}{R^2} \right) dr \end{split}$$

and finally

$$U_{avg} = \langle v_z \rangle = \frac{\Delta P}{8\mu L} R^2 .$$

The volume of fluid passing through the pipe is just the average flow velocity multiplied by the cross sectional area of the pipe:

$$Q = \pi R^2 U_{avg} = \frac{\pi \Delta P}{8\mu L} R^4 \,.$$

This is known as the Hagen-Poiseulle equation.

Reynolds Number and Turbulence

The Navier-Stokes equation

$$\rho \frac{d\vec{v}}{dt} = \rho \left(\frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial t} + \vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \vec{v} \right) = -\vec{\nabla}P + \rho \vec{g} + \mu \nabla^2 \vec{v}$$

contains a nonlinear term $\vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \vec{v}$, which can give rise to turbulence. As the velocity field is irregular in turbulent flows, viscosity tends to suppress turbulence. In flows where viscosity is dominant, turbulence cannot be developed. The relative strength of viscosity can be characterized by the ratio of the inertia term $\rho |d\vec{v}/dt|$ and the viscous term $\mu |\nabla^2 \vec{v}|$:

$$\frac{\text{inertia}}{\text{viscosity}} = \frac{\rho |d\vec{v}/dt|}{\mu |\nabla^2 \vec{v}|} \sim \frac{\rho u/T}{\mu u/L^2} \sim \frac{\rho u/(L/u)}{\mu u/L^2} = \frac{\rho uL}{\mu}.$$

University of Illinois

An introduction to fluid dynamics

Here *u* is a characteristic speed, *L* is a characteristic length scale and T = L/u is a characteristic time scale. The Reynolds number *Re* is defined to be this ratio:

$$Re = rac{
ho uL}{\mu}$$

When the Reynolds number is low, viscosity is dominant and the flow is laminar. On the other hand, turbulence usually occurs when the Reynolds number is high. Experiments show that pipe flow only remains laminar up to *Re* about several thousands. Turbulence is common in our everyday life. Whether we like it or not, we will have to deal with it. The following section introduces how engineers calculate pipe flow in the presence of turbulence.

Turbulent Pipe Flow

Darcy-Weisbach equation

In the absence of viscosity, Bernoulli's equation indicates that there is no pressure drop in a horizon pipe flow with constant velocity. In the presence of viscosity with laminar flows, the Hagen-Poiseuille equation

$$\Delta P = \frac{8\mu L U_{avg}}{R^2} = \frac{32\mu L U_{avg}}{D^2} \tag{1}$$

predicts that ΔP is proportional to the pipe length *L*. The pressure drop per unit length $\Delta P/L$ is constant. Note that engineers prefer to use the pipe diameter D=2R instead of pipe radius *R*. It terms out that $\Delta P \propto L$ is also true in turbulent flows. A dimensionless parameter *f*, called the *Darcy friction factor*, is introduced to establish the relationship between pressure drop per unit length $\Delta P/L$, mean flow speed U_{avg} , and pipe diameter *D*:

$$\frac{\Delta P}{L} = f \frac{\frac{1}{2} \rho U_{avg}^2}{D} \quad \text{or} \quad f = \frac{\Delta P}{\frac{1}{2} \rho U_{avg}^2} \left(\frac{D}{L}\right) \quad (2)$$

Instead of pressure *P*, hydraulic engineers prefer to consider $P/\rho g$, which has a dimension of length. It's called the pressure head. As one moves along the pipe, the pressure head decreases as a result of viscosity and is called *head loss* h_f . Head loss is related to pressure drop due to viscosity by $h_f = \Delta P/\rho g$. Hence we can express h_f in terms of *f* as

$$h_f = f \frac{L U_{avg}^2}{2Dg} \tag{3}$$

This is called the *Darcy-Weisbach equation*.

Equations (2) and (3) can be generalized to pipes with non-circular cross sections by replacing the pipe diameter D by the *hydraulic diameter*
$$D_h \equiv \frac{4A}{C}$$
,

where *A* is the cross-sectional area of the pipe and *C* is its circumference (or perimeter). For a duct with rectangular cross section with height *h* and width *w*, A = wh, C = 2(w + h) and so $D_h = 2wh/(w + h)$.

Moody Diagram

In a laminar flow, Darcy's friction factor can be calculated analytically by substituting equation (1) into (2). The result is

$$f = \frac{64\mu}{\rho U_{avg}D} = \frac{64}{Re}.$$

Here the Reynolds number for pipe flows is defined as

$$Re = \frac{\rho U_{avg} D}{\mu}.$$

Turbulent flows are more complicated, f not only depends on Re, but also on the surface roughness of the pipe. It is because at high Reynolds number, viscosity is important only in a region close to the pipe wall, which is called the viscous boundary layer.



The viscous dissipation occurs in this thin boundary layer. When the thickness of the boundary layer is comparable to the average length scale of the surface roughness of the pipe ϵ , the ragged pipe surface will significantly affect viscosity. The following diagram shows the experimental results of *f* as a function of *Re* for various values of ϵ/D . This is called the *Moody diagram*.



The table below lists the surface roughness for several engineering materials.

Material	Roughness <i>e</i> (mm)
Cast iron	0.26
Commercial steel	0.046
Wrought iron	0.046

Galvanized iron	0.15
Plastic	0.0015
Glass	0 (smooth)
Riveted steel	3.0

Laminar flow can only be maintained in a pipe flow for *Re* smaller than about 2000. When *Re* is between 2000 and 4000, the flow is in the transitional region between laminar and turbulence. We see "puffs" and/or "slugs" appearing sporadically and then decay due to viscous dissipation, but new puffs/slugs appear at later times. When *Re* > 4000, the flow becomes turbulent. We see from the Moody diagram that the friction factor increases substantially compared to that predicted by a laminar flow. For a fixed value of ϵ/D , *f* decreases with increasing *Re* but it becomes approximately constant at higher *Re* in the "fully turbulent" region. What happens is that as *Re* increases, the viscous layer becomes thinner. For very large values of *Re*, the viscous layer is much thinner than ϵ that the effect of viscosity is entirely controlled by the surface roughness of the pipe and therefore insensitive to *Re*.

Using Moody diagram to perform pipe-flow calculations is inconvenient. As a result, several attempts have been made to find an analytic method to approximate the experimental data. One

popular method is called the *Colebrook formula*, where f is calculated by the equation

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{f}} = -2\log_{10}\left(\frac{\epsilon/D}{3.7} + \frac{2.51}{Re\sqrt{f}}\right) \qquad (4)$$

This formula produces f that differs from experimental results by less than 15%. Note that f appears on both sides of the equation. This means that f has to be solved iteratively, which is fairly easy with modern digital computers.

Velocity Profile

In laminar flows, the Hagen-Poiseuille equation predicts a quadratic velocity profile in the pipe:

$$u(r) = U_c \left(1 - \frac{r^2}{R^2} \right) \quad , \qquad (5)$$

where $U_c = u(0)$ is the central velocity in the middle of the pipe. In turbulent flows, the velocity profile can be approximated by

$$u(r) = U_c \left(1 - \frac{r}{R}\right)^{1/n}$$
, (6)

where *n* depends on the Reynolds number, increasing from n = 6at $Re \approx 2 \times 10^4$ to n = 10 at $Re \approx 3 \times 10^6$ in a nearly linear fashion in log(*Re*). The following plot shows the velocity profiles for a few values of *n*, as well as the profile in a laminar flow.

©2024 University of Illinois



We can see that as *n* increases, the velocity decreases more slowly with r/R at the beginning but drops more sharply to 0 near r=R. As viscosity is only important in the viscous layer, the flow speed does not change significantly outside the layer but decreases rapidly inside the layer. As *Re* increases, the viscous layer becomes thinner, causing the rapid drop in flow speed close to the pipe wall. This is why *n* increases with increasing *Re*.

Another useful concept in a pipe flow is the correction factor to the kinetic energy. The kinetic energy carried by the pipe flow per unit time is given by

$$K = \iint_A \frac{1}{2} v^2 (\rho v dA) = \frac{1}{2} \rho \iint_A v^3 dA ,$$

01-77

where the integral is over the cross-sectional area of the pipe. The correction factor α is defined such that *K* can be written as

$$K = \iint_A \frac{1}{2} \alpha U_{avg}^2(\rho v dA) = \frac{1}{2} \alpha \rho U_{avg}^2 \iint_A v dA = \alpha A \frac{1}{2} \rho U_{avg}^3.$$

Hence α is given by

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{A} \iint\limits_{A} \left(\frac{\nu}{U_{avg}} \right)^3 dA$$

For the laminar-flow velocity profile given by equation (5), it's easy to show that $\alpha = 2$. For the turbulent-flow velocity profile given by equation (6), the integration can also be carried out analytically, resulting in

$$\alpha = \frac{(n+1)^3(2n+1)^3}{4n^4(n+3)(2n+3)}.$$

For turbulent flows with n=6 to 10, the values of $\alpha \approx 1.1$. Hence, one may use $\alpha \approx 1$ for turbulent flows.

Practical Head Loss Equations

The following modified Bernoulli's equation is often used in the pipe flow calculations:

$$\frac{P_1}{\rho g} + \alpha_1 \frac{U_1^2}{2g} + z_1 + h_{pump} = \frac{P_2}{\rho g} + \alpha_2 \frac{U_2^2}{2g} + z_2 + h_f + h_{turbine}$$

Here z_1 and z_2 are the height measured from a reference point. There are a few things to notice when compared to Bernoulli's equation:

- Each term has a dimension of length.
- There are correction factors α₁ and α₂ in the kinetic energy terms because the speeds U₁ and U₂ refer to the average speeds.
- The head loss due to viscosity h_f appears on the right hand side of the equation. It's computed by the Darcy-Weisbach equation. [Equation (3)].
- The term h_{pump} is the head gain by a pump (if present).
- The term $h_{turbine}$ is the head loss by a turbine (if present).

Let's look at a few examples to illustrate how this equation can be used in pipe flow calculations.

Example 1: Oil, with $\rho = 900 \text{ kg/m}^3$ and $\nu = \mu/\rho = 10^{-5} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$, flows at $Q = 0.2 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ through 500 m of a 0.2m-diameter cast iron pipe (roughness $\epsilon = 0.26 \text{ mm}$). Determine the head loss and pressure drop if the pipe slopes down at 10°.

©2024 University of Illinois



In steady state, the flow rate $Q=0.2 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ is constant. The pipe radius D=0.2m. Therefore,

$$U_1 = U_2 = \frac{Q}{\pi D^2/4} = 6.37 \,\mathrm{m/s}$$
.

The Reynolds number is

$$Re = rac{
ho UD}{\mu} = rac{UD}{
u} = 1.27 imes 10^5 \,.$$

Hence the flow is turbulent. The roughness parameter is $\epsilon/D = 0.26$ mm/0.2m=0.0013. From the Colebrook equation I find f = 0.0227. The head loss is given by the Darcy-Weisbach equation:

$$h_f = f \frac{LU^2}{2Dg} = 117 \text{ m}.$$

There is no pump and turbine here. The modified Bernoulli's equation becomes

$$\frac{P_1}{\rho g} + \alpha \frac{U^2}{2g} + z_1 = \frac{P_2}{\rho g} + \alpha \frac{U^2}{2g} + z_2 + h_f .$$

The velocity terms cancel and so the pressure drop is given by

An introduction to fluid dynamics

$$\frac{\Delta P}{\rho g} = h_f - (z_1 - z_2) = 117 \text{ m} - (500 \text{ m}) \sin 10^\circ = 30 \text{ m}$$

$$\Rightarrow \Delta P = \rho g (30 \text{ m}) = 2.65 \times 10^5 \text{ Pa}.$$

Example 2: The pipe in the previous example is connected to a horizontal pipe of length 100 m. The pipe is also made of cast iron but with diameter D = 0.25 m. Suppose the flow rate remains the same (Q=0.2m³/s). Calculate the head loss and pressure difference in the second pipe.



The flow speed in the horizontal pipe is

$$U_3 = \frac{Q}{\pi D^2/4} = 4.07 \,\mathrm{m/s}$$
 ,

which is slower than U_2 because of the larger pipe diameter. The Reynolds number is $Re = U_3D/\nu = 1.02 \times 10^5$ and roughness parameter is $\epsilon/D = 0.26/250$. The Colebrook formula gives f = 0.0223. Hence the head loss is

$$h_f = f \frac{LU_3^2}{2Dg} = 7.54 \mathrm{m} \,.$$

©2024 University of Illinois

01-81

University of Illinois

An introduction to fluid dynamics

Since the pipe is horizontal, $z_2 = z_3$. It follows from the modified Bernoulli's equation that

$$P_2 - P_3 = \rho g h_f + \frac{1}{2} \rho (U_3^2 - U_2^2) = 5.6 \times 10^4 \text{Pa}.$$

Example 3: A 10-meter garden hose is made of PVC with surface roughness $\epsilon = 0.03$ mm. The pipe diameter is D = 0.0125m and the pressure difference between the two ends is $\Delta P = 2 \times 10^5$ Pa. The density of water is $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³ and viscosity is $\mu = 10^{-3}$ Ns/m². Calculate the flow rate.

The pipe can be regarded as horizontal. Since the flow rate is constant, the average speed is the same everywhere in the pipe. The modified Bernoulli's equation becomes $\Delta P = \rho g h_f$. First assume the flow is laminar. The Hagen-Poiseuille equation gives

$$U = \frac{\Delta P}{32\mu L} D^2 = 97.66 \text{m/s}$$

The Reynolds number is $Re = \rho UD/\mu = 1.2 \times 10^6$. So the laminar assumption is not valid. The flow must be turbulent. It follows from the Darcy-Weisbach equation (3) that

$$U = \sqrt{\frac{2Dgh_f}{Lf}} \,. \tag{7}$$

Combining this equation with the Reynolds number $Re = \rho UD/\mu$ gives

$$\frac{1}{Re\sqrt{f}} = \frac{\mu}{\rho D} \sqrt{\frac{L}{2Dgh_f}} \,. \tag{8}$$

Combining the Colebrook formula (4), equations (7) and (8) yields

$$U = -2\sqrt{\frac{2Dgh_f}{L}}\log_{10}\left(\frac{\epsilon/D}{3.7} + \frac{2.51\mu}{\rho D}\sqrt{\frac{L}{2Dgh_f}}\right).$$

Since $\Delta P = \rho g h_f$, the above equation can be written as

$$U = -2\sqrt{\frac{2D\Delta P}{\rho L}}\log_{10}\left(\frac{\epsilon/D}{3.7} + \frac{2.51\mu}{\rho D}\sqrt{\frac{\rho L}{2D\Delta P}}\right).$$

With $\Delta P = 2 \times 10^5$ Pa, L = 10 m, D = 0.0125 m and $\epsilon = 0.03 \times 10^{-3}$ m, I get U = 4.29 m/s. The corresponding Reynolds number is $Re = \rho UD/\mu = 5.4 \times 10^4$. The flow rate is $Q = \pi D^2 U/4 = 5.26 \times 10^{-4}$ m³/s. The garden hose flow rate is sometimes expressed as gallons/minute. Since 1 galloon = 0.00378541 m³, this flow rate is equivalent to 8.34 gallons/minute.

Summary

These are the master equations for fluid dynamics, made with certain simplifying assumptions such as constant, homogeneous viscosity that is independent of flow velocity, pressure, temperature, and so forth.

Conservation of mass ("Continuity Equation") $\frac{\partial \rho(x, y, z, t)}{\partial t} + \vec{\nabla} \cdot (\rho \vec{v}) = 0 \qquad \text{(fixed } x, y, z\text{)}$

Conservation of momentum ("Navier-Stokes Equation")

$$\rho\left(\frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial t} + \vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \vec{v}\right) = -\vec{\nabla}P + \rho \vec{g} + \mu \nabla^2 \vec{v}$$

(Newtonian incompressible fluid)

Conservation of Energy ("Bernoulli's Equation")

 $\frac{1}{2}v^2 + \frac{P}{\rho} + U = \text{constant}$ (incompressible, non-viscous fluid)

The Navier-Stokes equations are nonlinear, and capable of producing the chaotic behavior—turbulence—that is observed in many fluid systems.

There are loads of concepts in fluid dynamics that I have omitted—Mach number, supersonic flow, and so forth.

______ * _____