Let's so all the way bed to the QCD Lagrangian, considering any the
two lightest quarks. I proving QED and setting the quark masses to zero,

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} G_{nv}^{\alpha} G^{nv,\alpha} + i u_{L}^{*} \overline{\sigma}^{*} D_{n} u_{L} + i u_{L}^{*} \overline{\sigma}^{*} U_{L} + i u_{L}^{*} \overline{\sigma}^{*} U_{L} + i u_{L}^{*} \overline{\sigma}^{*} U_{L} + i u_{L}^{*} U_{L} + i u_{L}^{*} U_{L} + i u_{L}^{*} U_{L} + i u_{L}^{*} \overline{\sigma}^{*} u_{L} + i u_{L}^{*} \overline{\sigma}^{*} u_{L} + i u_{L}^{*} \overline{\sigma}^{*} u_{L} + i u_{L}^{*} u_{L} +$$

To see how to arrange for chiral symmetry breaking, let's First
Consider a simpler toy example with a complex scalor
$$\mathscr{P}$$
 and
a spentaneously-Groken adecian symmetry. Consider the following Lagransian:
 $\int = \partial_{\mu} \beta^{\mu} \partial^{+} \eta' + m^{\mu} \beta^{\mu} \beta - \frac{\lambda}{q} (\beta^{\mu} \beta)^{\mu}$, which is invasive unler $\mathcal{P} \Rightarrow e^{iq} \mathcal{P}$.
This looks just like the scalar Lagrangian we considered much earlier
in the course, but the mass term has the wrong sign! IF
we write $\int = T - V$, the quadratic and quartic terms are like
a potential energy, which we can plot as a function of $Re(\mathcal{P})$
and $Im(\mathcal{P})$:
 $V(\mathcal{P})$
 $V(\mathcal{P})$
 $V(\mathcal{P})$
 $Ke(\mathcal{P})$

(I'm bud at 30 renderings.) What this is meant to show is that $\varphi = 0$ is an unstable maximum of the potential. All Feynman diagrams we have computed thus far are an expansion around zero field values, so to Fix this, we need to find the true minimum of the potential, which will describe the ground state of the theory.

But which ground state? The potential is a Function only of [D]:

$$V(x) = -m^2 x^7 + \frac{\lambda}{q} x^4$$
, where $x = |p|$. Find minimum by $V'=0$, $V''>0$:
 $V'(x) = -2m^7 x + \lambda x^3 = x(-2m^7 + \lambda x^7)$. $x = 0$ is unstable maximum, so
solve $-2m^7 + \lambda x_0^7 = 0 \implies x_0 = \sqrt{\frac{2m^7}{\lambda}}$ (take positive value since $|p|>0$).
Check: $V''(x) = -2m^2 + 3\lambda x^7$, $V''(x_0) = -2m^2 + 3\lambda(\frac{2m^7}{\lambda}) = 4m^7 > 0$
(as long as $\lambda > 0$ so x_0 is real)

Conclusion: there is a continuous family of minima, | }_ \$= $\int_{-\pi}^{2m} e^{i\theta}$, parameterized by θ . The theory has to pick one. by selecting a particular value of the angle along the circle, we are spontaneously breaking the U(1) rotation symmetry of the Lagrangian. Without loss of generality, define of such that the minimum is at 0=0, and rewrite & as $\mathcal{P}(x) = (x_0 + \sigma(x))e^{i\pi(x)}$, where $\sigma(x)$ and $\pi(x)$ are real. In other nods, we are just writing \$= reio in polar coordinates, and shifting the radial coordinate such that the ground state (on Figuration has o (x1 = Ti(x) = 0. By remiting the Lagrangian in terms of or and TI, we can go back to using Feynman rules and forget about any complications from the wrong-sign Mass term. We will see this again next lecture. Back to SU(2) × SU(2) R. Now we want to break a non-abelian global symmetry to a subgroup: to do this, we will need a matrix-valued scalar field which transforms under SU(2), × SU(2)p. Let's take a 1x2 matrix field Z(x) transforming as Z(x) -> 92 Z(x) 92 (and Z⁺ -> 92 Z⁺92⁺) to play the role of \$\$ above. Let's follow our noses and generalize the previous lagrangian to matrices? $\int = Tr(\partial_{n} \mathcal{Z}^{\dagger} \partial^{n} \mathcal{Z}) + M^{2} Tr(\mathcal{Z}^{\dagger} \mathcal{Z}) - \frac{\lambda}{4} (Tr(\mathcal{Z}^{\dagger} \mathcal{Z}))^{T}$ (an show (#HW) that this Lagrangian is invariant under $SU(2)_{L} \times SU(3)_{R}$, but the ground state is $Z_0 = \frac{V}{5L} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ with $V = \frac{2m}{\sqrt{3}}$. This ground state is not invariant under the Full symmetry, since 92 Zogr = Vy glgr, but it is invariant if we take gl=gr,

Since grand gre without natrices. Thus, this Lagrangian spontaneously breaks SU(2) ~ SU(2) r to the subgroup SU(2) r with gr = gr, as desired.

As before, we can rewrite
$$\Xi$$
 in "polar coordinats":

$$\frac{1}{Z(x)} = \frac{V+\sigma(x)}{V\Sigma} \exp\left(2i\frac{\pi^n(x)T^n}{V}\right), \text{ where } \sigma(x) \text{ and } \pi^n(x) \text{ are real scales},$$
and $T^n = \frac{\sigma}{V}$. This reduces to Ξ_0 when $\sigma = \pi = 0$, but it is not the
most general $\Sigma \times \Sigma$ complex matrix. Instead, we wat Ξ to
powereteize the space of possible vacua, which is $\frac{V}{VS}g_1g_1^{+}$, i.e. a
real constant times an $SU(x)$ matrix. We will actually go one step
further: we will decouple σ by taking $m \to \infty$, $\lambda \to \infty$ with V fixed.
This means it costs infinite potential energy to change σ , so it is
"pinned" at a constant value. The remaining degrees of Freedom can be
written as $U(x) \equiv \frac{S}{V}\Xi(x) = \exp\left(2i\frac{\pi^n(x)T^n}{F\pi}\right)$. This is a writing metrix,
Satisfying U^+U^-II , and transforming as $U \to g_1Ug_2^+$. Fin Is a
constant with dimensions of mass. In this normalization, $\leq U \geq 1$ which
is invariant under $g_2 = g_1$, so U parameterizes the SU(x) x SU(x),
breaking while throwing any all the information we don't know alignet
(after all, we have no idea whether the Lagrangian we started with
resumbles the QCD Lagragian at low energies).

Upshot: we want to write the nost general Lagrangian for U, invariant under $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$. $U^+U = I$, a constant term, so this won't catribute to the equations of notion: we need derivatives. Loretz invariance requires at least two derivatives, and must have an equal number of U and U^+ :

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{F_{\pi}}{4} \operatorname{Tr}(\partial_{\pi} \mathcal{U} \partial^{\pi} \mathcal{U}^{\dagger}) + \mathcal{O}(\partial^{4}) \qquad \text{this is the Chiral Lagragian} \\ \text{to lowest order in derivatives}$$

That was a lot of formalism: now to physics.
Let
$$\pi^{o} = \pi^{3}$$
, $\pi^{\pm} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\pi' \pm i \pi^{2}) (\pi^{o} \text{ is real}, \pi^{\pm} \text{ and } \pi^{\pm} \text{ are complex conjugates})$
 $U = \exp\left[\frac{i}{F_{\pi}} \begin{pmatrix} \pi^{o} & \sqrt{2} & \pi^{\pm} \\ \sqrt{2}\pi^{\pm} & -\pi^{o} \end{pmatrix}\right]$

We will interpret the Chiral Lagrangian as a theory of prons. Note that there are no quarks or gluons anywhere to be found! This is the "charge of variables" that lets us understand QCD when g gets large. Some important predictions of chiral symmetry breaking: [5 · There are 3 (almost) massless pions. Every tern has derivatives, so there is no term (ike m²π². This is an example of Goldstone's Theorem: a spontaneously broken continuous global symmetry implies massless particles. We will explain the nonzero observed pion masses shorty, but already this notivates why m_n = 130 MeV << mp = 1 GeV: pions are Goldstone bosons of the spontaneously broken chiral symmetry of massless QCD. It also explains why there are 3 pions, corresponding to De 3 generators of the broken SU(2).

• Pion interactions are highly constrained. The Lagrangian is an infinite series in powers of π . The coefficient $\frac{F\pi}{4}$ ensures the usual normalization for scalar kinetic terms: $\frac{F\pi}{4} Tr(\partial_{\mu} U \partial^{\mu} U^{+}) = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\mu} \pi^{0}) (\partial^{\pi} \pi^{0}) + \partial_{\mu} \pi^{+} \partial^{\pi} \pi^{-} + \dots \quad (BHw)$ But there is also an infinite series of two-dervative interactions: $\frac{1}{F\pi} \left(-\frac{1}{3}\pi^{0}\pi^{0}\partial_{\mu}\pi^{+}\partial^{\pi}\pi^{-} + \dots\right) + \frac{1}{F\pi} \left(\frac{1}{18}(\pi^{+}\pi^{-})^{2}\partial_{\mu}\pi^{0}\pi^{0} + \dots\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{F\pi^{0}}\right)$ all of these coefficients are completely fixed in terms of one parameter $F\pi$: we will show in a comple weeks how to determine F_{π} from the π^{+} lifetime. This means that $\sigma(\pi^{+}\pi^{-} \Rightarrow \pi^{0}\pi^{0})$ is completely determined once the π^{+} lifetime is measured. (flw) π^{-} Note that there are no odd powers of π : no 3-point vertex π^{0} .

The pion mass is proportional to square roots of the quark masses. We can introduce up and down quark masses as $\mathcal{L}_{m} = \overline{q} M q$ with $M = \binom{mn}{mq}$ and $q = \binom{n}{d}$. Clearly, this term breaks chiral symmetry, but we can still use it to write a chirally-

invariant Lagrangian by letting M be a constant field with the L
Same transformation properties as U:
$$M \rightarrow g_L M g_R^+$$
.
This trick is called spurion analysis, because M is not a real field
("spurious"). A nice analogy is with borentz symmetry breaking.' If
we want e.g. the X direction to be a preferred direction in
spacetime, we could embed it in a vector $V^- = (0, 1, 0, 0)$, and unite
A borentz-invariant bagrangian like $\partial_a R V^-$, even though V^- does
not actually transform.

 $= \sum \mathcal{L}_{m}^{\prime} = \frac{V^{3}}{2} \operatorname{Tr}(M^{\dagger}U + MU^{\dagger})$ $= V^{3}(m_{u}+m_{d}) - \frac{V^{3}}{F_{\pi}^{-}}(m_{u}+m_{d})\left(\frac{1}{2}(\pi^{0})^{\dagger} + \pi^{\dagger}\pi^{-}\right) + O(\pi^{3})$ The coefficient $\frac{V^{3}}{2}$ is fixed by $\langle \overline{u}u \rangle = \langle \overline{d}d \rangle = V^{3}$, so the vacuum everyics in \mathcal{L}_{m} and \mathcal{L}_{m}^{\prime} are equal. We then have $m_{\pi^{0}}^{-} = m_{\pi^{\pm}}^{-} = \frac{V^{3}}{F_{\pi}^{-}}(m_{u}+m_{d}).$ So approximate equality of charged and neutral pibn masses is not a result of $m_{u}-m_{d}$, but rather $m_{u}+m_{d} \ll V$. Lattice QCD calculations confirm this relationship

• We can generalize $SU(2) \rightarrow SU(3)$ to include the strange quark, but at the cost of some accuracy since M_s is of the some order as V. But we expect 8 light mesons, which we identify as Ti° , TI^{\pm} , K° , K^{\pm} , and η , whose interactions are constrained by approximate SU(3) Flavor symmetry.

The chiral Lagrangian is an example of an effective field theory, containing terms of dimension 6 and higher. We will see more examples like this in the last weeks of the course 6