Let's use the Feynman rules derived last lecture to Calculate tre decay width of the top quark.

$$\Gamma_{t \rightarrow anstring} \times |M_{t \rightarrow bw}|^{2} + |M_{t \rightarrow sw}|^{2} + |M_{t \rightarrow dw}|^{2}$$

$$\propto |V_{ts}|^{2} \times |V_{ta}|^{2}$$

Experimentally,  $V_{tb} >> V_{ts}$ ,  $V_{td}$ , so the top quark decays essentially 100% of the time into b quarks. We can calculate  $\Gamma_{t\to bw}$  and it will be straightforward to extend this to the remaining two Flavors.

$$iM_{t=bw} = \frac{t}{\rho} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}k} = \frac{ie}{\sqrt{2}\sin\theta u} V_{tb} \overline{u}(q) \gamma^{n} \left(\frac{1-\gamma^{s}}{2}\right) u(p) \mathcal{E}_{u}(k)$$

We have to be a bit careful conjugating the spinor product with Y's;

$$\left(\overline{u}(q)Y^{n}\left(\frac{1-Y^{s}}{2}\right)u(p)\right)^{s}=u^{+}(p)\left(\frac{1-Y^{s}}{2}\right)(Y^{n})^{+}Y^{o}u(q)$$
Heritian,

As with QED, vs.  $(Y^m)^+Y^0 = Y^0Y^n$ , but to move  $Y^0$  past  $Y^5$ , we have to anticommute:  $\left(\frac{1-Y^5}{2}\right)Y^0 = Y^0\left(\frac{1+Y^5}{2}\right)$ . These signs are tricks, and Show up everywhere in electroweak Calculations!

$$= \frac{1}{2} \frac{e^{2} |V_{t6}|^{2}}{8 \sin^{2} \theta w} Tr \left[ (q + m_{b}) \gamma^{n} (1 - \gamma^{5}) (p + m_{t}) (1 + \gamma^{5}) \gamma^{u} \right] \left( - \eta_{nu} + \frac{k_{m} k_{v}}{m_{u}^{2}} \right)$$

where we used the result for sums over massive vector polarizations from last week. Since  $m_b = 4$  GeV but  $m_t = 173$  GeV,  $m_b << m_t$  and we can set  $m_b = 0$  in the trace.

There are a couple more trace tricks involving 85.

these are also helpful for evaluating polarized amplitudes using projectors instead of left- or right-handed spirons

It will be simpler to first articommute one of the Vs factors:  $Tr[A(Y^{(1-Y^{5})}(p+n_{t})(1+Y^{5})Y^{u}] = Tr[A(Y^{(p+n_{t})}(1+Y^{5})^{T}Y^{u}]$   $= 2 Tr[A(Y^{(p+n_{t})}(1+Y^{5})Y^{u}]$ = 2 Tr[A r^(p+~+)(1+r5)r"] 3 anticonnutation = 1 Sign Flip So the four traces we need are Tr[qr^pr]= 4(q^p+q^p-n^q.p) 1-[98 m, ru] = 0 T-[98 mp85 ru] = 41 E ~ Papp [ [ { } } ~ m\_+ } " ] = 0 Tr(grant857")= 0 (articommute V three times and cycle back through trace, comes back to minus itself => must vanish) But this is contracted into a term which is symmetric in Merv, so the totally antisymmetric term varishes and we are left with \[
\left(\left) = \frac{e^2 |v\_{\frac{1}{6}}|^2}{25 in \text{ou}} \left( q^{\text{op}} + q^{\text{op}} - \eta^{\text{op}} - \eta^{\text{op}} \eta^{\text{op}} \right) \left( - \eta\_{\text{ou}} + \frac{k\_{\text{o}} k\_{\text{o}}}{n\_{\text{o}}^2} \right)
\]  $= \frac{e^{2}|V_{ti}|^{2}}{2\sin^{2}\theta w} \left(q \cdot p + \frac{2(q \cdot k)(p \cdot k)}{mw^{2}}\right)$ Using p=q+k and g~20, k=m2, p=mt, we have  $(p-q)^{-}=k^{2}=> q\cdot p=\frac{1}{2}(m_{t}^{2}-m_{w}^{2})$ p2=(q+k)2 => q·k = 1 (m+2-mw2)  $(p-k)^2=q^2=$   $p\cdot k=\frac{1}{2}(m_t^2+m_w^2)$  $= \frac{e^{2}|V_{tb}|^{2}}{4\sin^{2}\theta w} \frac{m_{t}^{4}}{m_{w}^{2}} \left(1 - \frac{m_{w}^{2}}{m_{t}^{2}}\right) \left(1 + 2\frac{m_{w}^{2}}{m_{t}^{2}}\right)$ Recall formula for 2-bods decays:  $\Gamma = \frac{1}{2m_t} \int d\Pi_2 \langle |M|^2 \rangle = \frac{1PI}{8\pi m_s^2} \langle |M|^2 \rangle$ When < |M1 > is isotropic as it is here. Ipil is the outgoing momentum of one of the decay products: since |p|=E6, every conservation gives me = E6 + VE6+ mo, Solving gives  $E_6 = |\vec{p}| = \frac{m_t^2 - m_u^2}{2m_t}$ . Plugging in,  $\Gamma = \frac{e^2|v_{tb}|^2}{64\pi \sin^2 \omega} \frac{m_t^2}{m_w} (1 - \frac{m_u^2}{m_t}) (1 + 2\frac{m_u^2}{m_t})$ . Now it's easy to sum over the other decay chamels. Plugging in experientally-newsured values: e=0.303, sin = 0,231, |V+6| <0.88, |V+5|=0.039, |V+a|=0.0084, mt= 173 GeV, mw=80.4 GeV

=> 1 t, tot = 1,38 GeV

Experimentally, \(\tau\_{t,tot} = 1.42 - 0.15\) GeV, so matches within error bars! Though, note the fact that both e and sinten run with energy (like as), and here we used e at Q==0 and sinten at Q== mz important for precision measurements, Regardless, this is a large width! They = - = 4.8×10-255. Shorter lifetime than even strongly-interacting hadrons! The weak interaction isn't really that weak at high eregies, and the top is so heavy that the decay phase space is huge: it decays before it hadronizes, so it's the closest thing to a free quark we can see in the SM.

(HW: more practice on 2 and Higgs decays, using same techniques)

## Neutrino oscillations

While direct evidence of neutrino masses from Rinematics does not yet exist, there is overwhelming evidence for neutrino masses from oscillation experiments. We have seen that neutrinos are produced through a W-boson vertex in flavor eigenstates; an electron is always accompanied by a ve, etc. Similarly, a process where a rentrino is Converted into a charsed lepton also preserves Flavor, for example e + Vn -> M + Ve. Experiments have been performed

where only we are produced, yet (1) fewer electron events are defected than expected, and (2) sometimes muon events are observed! This can occur if the mass eigenstates (which determine the propagating states) are rotated from the Flavor eigenstates (which determine the interactions); IV; > = UIVe> when U is the PMNS matrix. The oscillation probabilities will then defend on the moss differences between different states, as we will now see.

For simplicity, let's restrict to the oscillation of only two neutrino 

Flavor mixing basis
basis angle

Let's consider an experiment where Te are produced from newtoon decay, 1 -> p+e-+ Ve, and detected a distance L away, QFT tells us that & for antinectrinos is the same as & for neutrinos. The propagating eigenstates are plane waves,  $|\overline{v}_{1,2}\rangle = e^{-i\beta_{1,2}\times}$ , so the electron neutrino component at spacetime point x is

 $|\bar{\nu}_{e}(x)\rangle = e^{-i\hat{p}_{i}\cdot x}\cos\theta|\bar{\nu}_{i}\rangle + e^{-i\hat{p}_{z}\cdot x}\sin\theta|\bar{\nu}_{z}\rangle$ 

If we take x = (T, 0, 0, L) (measure at time T and distance L), and use the fact that the average velocity of the neutrino wave packet is  $\overline{V} = \frac{|\vec{P}_i + \vec{P}_L|}{|\vec{E}_i + \vec{E}_L|}$ , we can set  $T = \frac{L}{\overline{V}} = L(\frac{E_i + E_L}{|\vec{F}_i + \vec{F}_L|})$ .

For  $\vec{p}_i$  parallel to  $\vec{p}_i$ , this just means  $x = L(\frac{\vec{p}_i + \vec{p}_i}{|\vec{p}_i + \vec{p}_i|}, o, o, 1) = \frac{L}{|\vec{p}_i + \vec{p}_i|}(\vec{p}_i + \vec{p}_i)$ 

(proportional to sun of 4-vectors). Essentially what we are saying is that the neutrino waveprekets begin to separate during propagation because they travel at slightly different speeds, but for sufficiently small L trey still overlap at a fixed spacetime point.

This gives 
$$|\overline{v}_{e}(L)\rangle = e^{-i\beta\cdot x} \left[ \cos\theta |\overline{v}_{i}\rangle + e^{i(\beta-\beta_{2})\cdot x} \sin\theta |\overline{v}_{i}\rangle \right]$$

$$= e^{-i\beta\cdot x} \left[ \cos\theta |\overline{v}_{i}\rangle + e^{i\frac{L}{\beta_{i}+\beta_{2}}} (\beta_{i}-\beta_{2})\cdot (\beta_{i}+\beta_{2})} \sin\theta |\overline{v}_{i}\rangle \right]$$

$$= e^{-i\beta_{i}\cdot x} \left[ \cos\theta |\overline{v}_{i}\rangle + exp\left(i\frac{L}{\beta_{i}+\beta_{2}} (m_{i}^{2}-m_{i}^{2})\right) \sin\theta |\overline{v}_{i}\rangle \right]$$

$$\approx e^{-i\beta_{i}\cdot x} \left[ \cos\theta |\overline{v}_{i}\rangle + exp\left(i\frac{L}{2-E} (m_{i}^{2}-m_{i}^{2})\right) \sin\theta |\overline{v}_{i}\rangle \right]$$

In the last step we used the fact that in the kinematics of newton decay, neutrinos are effectively massless, so  $|\vec{p}_1 \neq \vec{p}_2| \approx E_1 + E_2$  and  $E_1 \approx E_2 \approx E$ . (Experimentally,  $E \sim MeV$  and  $m_1, m_2 \ll eV$ ). Note that we did not make the approximation  $p_1 \approx p_2$  since we wanted to keep track of the masses  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  in the exponent; if  $m_1 = m_2 = 0$ , the effect we are booking for would valish. Let  $\Delta m_{12} = m_1^2 - m_2^2$  for future convenience.

Finally, we compute the overlap of this state with the Flower eigenstates.

$$\langle \bar{v}_{e} | \bar{v}_{e}(L) \rangle = e^{-i\beta_{i} \times \left( \cos^{2}\theta + \exp\left(i \frac{L}{2E} \Delta m_{i2}^{2}\right) \sin^{2}\theta \right)}$$

$$\langle \bar{v}_{\mu} | \bar{v}_{e}(L) \rangle = e^{-i\rho_{i} \cdot x} \left( -\sin \theta \cos \theta \right) \left( 1 - \exp \left( i \frac{\xi_{e}}{\lambda_{e}} \Delta m_{\nu} \right) \right)$$

So the detection probabilities are (after some trig identities)

These probabilities sum to I (as they should), and  $P(\bar{\nu}_e \to \bar{\nu}_n) = 0$  if  $P(\bar{\nu}$ 

Numerically, independent of & we can maximize the oscillation probability.

So a detector 1 km away is most sensitive to mass-squared differences of  $\Delta m_{12}^{2} \approx 10^{-3} \, \text{eV}^{2}$ . Drives design considerations for neutrino experiments!