

$$\text{Spin} = \frac{1}{2}$$

L

Of the Lorentz reps we found in Week 2, we've written down Lagrangians for $(0,0)$ and $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$. Now we'll finish the job with $(\frac{1}{2}, 0)$ and $(0, \frac{1}{2})$.

Recall $\vec{J}^+ = \frac{\vec{J} + i\vec{K}}{2}$ and $\vec{J}^- = \frac{\vec{J} - i\vec{K}}{2}$ formed $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ algebras

$$(\frac{1}{2}, 0) : \vec{J}^- = \frac{1}{2}\vec{\sigma}, \vec{J}^+ = 0 \Rightarrow \vec{J} = \frac{1}{2}\vec{\sigma}, \vec{K} = \frac{i}{2}\vec{\sigma}$$

These act on two-component objects we will call left-handed spinors:

$$\psi_L \rightarrow e^{\frac{i}{2}(-\vec{\theta} \cdot \vec{\sigma} - \vec{\beta} \cdot \vec{\sigma})} \psi_L, \text{ where } \vec{\theta} \text{ parameterizes a rotation and } \vec{\beta} \text{ a boost.}$$

NOTE! Our sign convention for θ differs from Schwartz, because our sign yields rotations consistent with the right-hand rule. So if you're following along in Schwartz Ch. 10, take $\theta \rightarrow -\theta$ in his formulas.

Note also the transformation of ψ_L is not unitary. As with spin-1, we will use momentum-dependent polarizations (i.e. spinors) to fix this.

$$\text{Infinitesimally, } \delta \psi_L = \frac{1}{2}(-i\theta_j - \beta_j)\sigma_j \psi_L.$$

$$\text{Similarly, } (0, \frac{1}{2}) : \vec{J}^- = 0, \vec{J}^+ = \frac{1}{2}\vec{\sigma} \Rightarrow \vec{J} = \frac{1}{2}\vec{\sigma}, \vec{K} = -\frac{i}{2}\vec{\sigma}$$

(same behavior under rotations, opposite under boosts)

$$\text{This acts on right-handed spinors: } \psi_R \rightarrow e^{\frac{i}{2}(i\vec{\theta} \cdot \vec{\sigma} + \vec{\beta} \cdot \vec{\sigma})} \psi_R$$

$$\delta \psi_R = \frac{1}{2}(-i\theta_j + \beta_j)\sigma_j \psi_R$$

Take Hermitian conjugates:

$$\delta \psi_L^\dagger = \frac{1}{2}(i\theta_j - \beta_j)\psi_L^\dagger \sigma_j$$

$$\delta \psi_R^\dagger = \frac{1}{2}(i\theta_j + \beta_j)\psi_R^\dagger \sigma_j$$

} remember $\sigma_j^\dagger = \sigma_j$

How do we write down a Lorentz-invariant Lagrangian? So far, no Lorentz indices are present to contract with e.g. $\partial_\mu \psi_L$.

Can try just multiplying spinors, e.g. $\psi_R^\dagger \psi_R$, but this is not Lorentz invariant!

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(\psi_R^\dagger \psi_R) &= \frac{1}{2}(i\theta_j + \beta_j) \psi_R^\dagger \sigma_j \psi_R + \frac{1}{2} \psi_R^\dagger (-i\theta_j + \beta_j) \sigma_j \psi_R \\ &= \beta_j \psi_R^\dagger \sigma_j \psi_R \neq 0 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the product of a left-handed and right-handed spinor is invariant:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(\psi_L^\dagger \psi_R) &= \frac{1}{2}(i\theta_j - \beta_j) \psi_L^\dagger \sigma_j \psi_R + \frac{1}{2} \psi_L^\dagger (-i\theta_j + \beta_j) \sigma_j \psi_R \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

This isn't Hermitian, so add its Hermitian conjugate:

$$\mathcal{L} \supset m(\psi_L^\dagger \psi_R + \psi_R^\dagger \psi_L) \leftarrow \text{will see this is a mass term for Spin-}\frac{1}{2}\text{ fields}$$

Conclusion: without derivatives, only a product of ψ_L and ψ_R is Lorentz-invariant. But just this term alone gives equations of motion $\psi_L = \psi_R = 0$, which is very boring.

Consider $\psi_R^\dagger \sigma_i \psi_R$:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(\psi_R^\dagger \sigma_i \psi_R) &= \frac{1}{2}(i\theta_j + \beta_j) \psi_R^\dagger \sigma_i \sigma_j \psi_R + \frac{1}{2}(-i\theta_j + \beta_j) \psi_R^\dagger \sigma_j \sigma_i \psi_R \\ &= \frac{\beta_j}{2} \psi_R^\dagger \underbrace{\{\sigma_i, \sigma_j\}}_{\text{anticommutator}} \psi_R - \frac{i\theta_j}{2} \psi_R^\dagger \underbrace{[\sigma_i, \sigma_j]}_{\text{commutator}} \psi_R \\ &= 2\delta_{ij} \psi_R^\dagger \psi_R + 2i\epsilon_{ijk} \theta_j \psi_R^\dagger \sigma_k \psi_R \end{aligned}$$

Let's define $\sigma^\mu = (1, \vec{\sigma})$. Claim: $\psi_R^\dagger \sigma^\mu \psi_R \equiv (\psi_R^\dagger \psi_R, \psi_R^\dagger \sigma_i \psi_R)$ has precisely the Lorentz transformation properties of a 4-vector $V^\mu \equiv (V^0, \vec{V})$:

$$\delta V^0 = \vec{\beta} \cdot \vec{V}$$

$$\delta \vec{V} = \vec{\beta} V^0 + \vec{\theta} \times \vec{V} \quad (\text{you did this in HW 1})$$

CAUTION: σ^μ is NOT a 4-vector. It is just a collection of 4 matrices.

However, the notation and the previous calculation make it clear that

$i\psi_R^\dagger \sigma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi_R$ is Lorentz-invariant (factor of i makes this term Hermitian)

Similarly, $\bar{\sigma}^\mu \equiv (\mathbb{1}, -\vec{\sigma})$ is Lorentz-invariant when sandwiched between ψ_L and ψ_L^\dagger

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{L} = i\psi_R^\dagger \sigma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi_R + i\psi_L^\dagger \bar{\sigma}^\mu \partial_\mu \psi_L - m(\psi_R^\dagger \psi_L + \psi_L^\dagger \psi_R)$ is the Lagrangian

for a left-handed and a right-handed spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ particle coupled with a mass term. Note there is only one derivative, so $[\psi] = \frac{3}{2}$ (a bit weird!)

Equations of motion: treat ψ_R and ψ_R^\dagger as independent, so e.o.m. for $\psi_R^\dagger, \psi_L^\dagger$ are

$$\left. \begin{aligned} i\sigma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi_R - m\psi_L &= 0 \\ i\bar{\sigma}^\mu \partial_\mu \psi_L - m\psi_R &= 0 \end{aligned} \right\} \text{Dirac equation}$$

We will show shortly that both ψ_L and ψ_R satisfy Klein-Gordon eqn, so indeed, m is acting like a mass. Before that, though, let's consider internal symmetries.

ψ_R and ψ_L live in different representations of Lorentz group, so can transform differently under internal symmetries. Suppose $\psi_L \rightarrow e^{iQ_1 \alpha} \psi_L$ and $\psi_R \rightarrow e^{iQ_2 \alpha} \psi_R$, w/same α . Kinetic terms are invariant, but not mass terms!

$$\psi_R^\dagger \psi_L \rightarrow e^{i(Q_1 - Q_2)\alpha} \psi_R^\dagger \psi_L$$

This fact determines an enormous amount of the structure of the SM.

Ignoring mass terms for now, we can see that

$i\psi_{LR}^\dagger \bar{\sigma}^\mu \partial_\mu \psi_{LR}$ are invariant under any global $U(1)$ or $SU(N)$ transformations, under which ψ^\dagger and ψ transform oppositely.

To promote these to local symmetries, just replace

$$\partial_\mu \rightarrow D_\mu \equiv \partial_\mu - igQA_\mu \text{ or } D_\mu \equiv \partial_\mu - igT^a A_\mu^a \text{ as for scalars.}$$

\Rightarrow interactions between spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ and spin-1, e.g. electron-photon.

If ψ_L and ψ_R have the same symmetries, for $m \neq 0$ it is convenient to combine them into a 4-component object

$\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_L \\ \psi_R \end{pmatrix}$, called a Dirac spinor. If we define

$$\bar{\psi} \equiv \psi^\dagger \gamma^0 = (\psi_R^\dagger \quad \psi_L^\dagger) \text{ where } \gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0_{2 \times 2} & \mathbb{1}_{2 \times 2} \\ \mathbb{1}_{2 \times 2} & 0_{2 \times 2} \end{pmatrix}$$

we can write the Lagrangian more simply as

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi} (i \gamma^\mu D_\mu - m) \psi = 0 \text{ where } m \equiv m \times \mathbb{1}_{4 \times 4}$$

where $\gamma^\mu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^\mu \\ \bar{\sigma}^\mu & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Recall from HW 2 that

$S^{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{4} [\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu]$ satisfied the commutation relations for the Lorentz group, but they were block-diagonal so this is a reducible representation obtained by combining ψ_R and ψ_L .

The equation of motion is easily obtained from $\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \bar{\psi}} = 0$:

$$(i \gamma^\mu D_\mu - m) \psi = 0.$$

Setting $D_\mu = \partial_\mu$ (i.e. ignoring the coupling to the gauge field), can show that ψ satisfies the Klein-Gordon eqn. by acting with $(i \gamma^\nu \partial_\nu + m)$ on left:

$$0 = (i \gamma^\nu \partial_\nu + m)(i \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m) \psi = (-\gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu \partial_\nu \partial_\mu - m^2) \psi$$

$$\text{(kill minus signs, use } \partial_\mu \partial_\nu = \partial_\nu \partial_\mu \text{):} \quad = \left(\frac{1}{2} \{ \gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu \} \partial_\mu \partial_\nu + m^2 \right) \psi$$

$$\{ \gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu \} \equiv \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu + \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu = 2 \eta^{\mu\nu} \quad = (\gamma^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \partial_\nu + m^2) \psi$$

$$\text{(Clifford algebra)} \quad = (\partial_\mu \partial^\mu + m^2) \psi$$

Convenient notation: contracting with γ denoted by a slash, i.e. $\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \equiv \not{\partial}$

To obtain equation of motion for $\bar{\psi}$, integrate derivative term by parts:

Noether's theorem guarantees $\partial_\mu \hat{j}^\mu$ as a consequence of the invariance of \mathcal{L} under the internal symmetry $\psi \rightarrow e^{iQ\alpha} \psi$

16

The theorem: \mathcal{L} invariant under a continuous symmetry $\delta \varphi_i = \alpha \frac{\delta \varphi_i}{\delta \alpha}$
 $\Leftrightarrow \hat{j}^\mu \equiv \sum_i \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \varphi_i)} \frac{\delta \varphi_i}{\delta \alpha}$ conserved.

(see Schwartz 3.3 for a proof)

φ_i can be any fields (scalar, fermion, ...), and \sum_i runs over all fields transformed by the symmetry.

Example: $\mathcal{L} = \bar{\Psi}(i\gamma - m)\Psi$ invt. under $\psi \rightarrow e^{iQ\alpha}\psi$, $\bar{\psi} = e^{-iQ\alpha}\bar{\psi}$

$\Rightarrow \delta \psi = iQ\alpha \psi$, so $\frac{\delta \psi}{\delta \alpha} = iQ\psi$, similarly $\frac{\delta \bar{\psi}}{\delta \alpha} = -iQ\bar{\psi}$

$$\hat{j}^\mu = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \psi)} \frac{\delta \psi}{\delta \alpha} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \bar{\psi})} \frac{\delta \bar{\psi}}{\delta \alpha} = i\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu (iQ\psi) + 0 \quad (\mathcal{L} \text{ doesn't have } \partial_\mu \bar{\psi})$$
$$= -Q\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi, \text{ same as we found before!}$$

(up to a factor of g , since without a gauge field there is no coupling)

\hat{j}^μ as constructed from a symmetry is called a Noether current.

Can play same game for a complex scalar field, will find for U(1)

$$\hat{j}^\mu = -iQ(\Phi^\dagger \partial^\mu \Phi - (\partial^\mu \Phi^\dagger) \Phi) \text{ exactly as we saw last week.}$$

Non-abelian requires being a little more careful with indices, we'll do this next time.

All our Lagrangians are also invariant under Poincaré, so:

translation invariance \Leftrightarrow conservation of energy-momentum

rotation invariance \Leftrightarrow conservation of angular momentum.

In HW 3 you'll see how to interpret the Noether current

for a gauge field with a translation-invariant action.