

The strong interaction at low energies

Let's go all the way back to the QCD Lagrangian, considering only the two lightest quarks. Ignoring QED and setting the quark masses to zero,

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} G_{\mu\nu}^a G^{\mu\nu a} + i u_L^\dagger \bar{\sigma}^\mu D_\mu u_L + i u_R^\dagger \sigma^\mu D_\mu u_R + i d_L^\dagger \bar{\sigma}^\mu D_\mu d_L + i d_R^\dagger \sigma^\mu D_\mu d_R$$

This is invariant under separate global left- and right-handed $SU(2)$:

$$Q_L \rightarrow g_L Q_L \text{ and } Q_R \rightarrow g_R Q_R \text{ where } Q_L = \begin{pmatrix} u_L \\ d_L \end{pmatrix}, Q_R = \begin{pmatrix} u_R \\ d_R \end{pmatrix}, g_L \in SU(2)_L,$$

and $g_R \in SU(2)_R$. Given the existence of $q\bar{q}$ mesons, one can guess this interaction is attractive. What happens if the ground state of the universe has a condensate of $q\bar{q}$ pairs? (This is analogous to Cooper pairs in a superconductor.)

Let's assume $\langle \bar{u}u \rangle = \langle \bar{d}d \rangle = V^3$ (remember $[u] = \frac{3}{2}$, so this operator has dimension 3). This is Lorentz-invariant, but it breaks the L and R symmetries: $\bar{Q}Q \equiv Q_L^\dagger Q_R + Q_R^\dagger Q_L$, so $\langle \bar{Q}Q \rangle \equiv \langle \bar{u}u + \bar{d}d \rangle$ is not invariant unless $g_L = g_R$. This is our first example of a spontaneously broken symmetry:

$$SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \rightarrow SU(2)_V$$

g_L

g_R

$g_L = g_R$: this symmetry is called (strong) isospin


"Spontaneous" because the Lagrangian is invariant under the symmetry, but the ground state is not. We call $\langle \bar{Q}Q \rangle$ a vacuum expectation value (vev), which is an order parameter for the symmetry breaking.

Armed with the hypothesis of chiral symmetry breaking, we can understand the spectrum and interactions of light mesons without knowing anything about QCD! This is an extremely powerful tool, which will serve as a warm-up for a similar effect at high energies, the Higgs mechanism.

To see how to arrange for chiral symmetry breaking, let's first 2
 consider a simpler toy example with a complex scalar ϕ and
 a spontaneously-broken abelian symmetry. Consider the following Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L} = \partial_\mu \phi^\dagger \partial^\mu \phi + m^2 \phi^\dagger \phi - \frac{\lambda}{4} (\phi^\dagger \phi)^2, \text{ which is invariant under } \phi \rightarrow e^{i\alpha} \phi.$$

This looks just like the scalar Lagrangian we considered much earlier
 in the course, but the mass term has the wrong sign! If
 we write $\mathcal{L} = T - V$, the quadratic and quartic terms are like
 a potential energy, which we can plot as a function of $\text{Re}(\phi)$
 and $\text{Im}(\phi)$:



(I'm bad at 3D renderings.) What this is meant to show is that $\phi = 0$
 is an unstable maximum of the potential. All Feynman diagrams
 we have computed thus far are an expansion around zero field values,
 so to fix this, we need to find the true minimum of the potential,
 which will describe the ground state of the theory.

But which ground state? The potential is a function only of $|\phi|$:

$$V(x) = -m^2 x^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4} x^4, \text{ where } x = |\phi|. \text{ Find minimum by } V' = 0, V'' > 0:$$

$$V'(x) = -2m^2 x + \lambda x^3 = x(-2m^2 + \lambda x^2). \text{ } x=0 \text{ is unstable maximum, so}$$

$$\text{solve } -2m^2 + \lambda x_0^2 = 0 \Rightarrow x_0 = \sqrt{\frac{2m^2}{\lambda}} \text{ (take positive value since } |\phi| > 0).$$

$$\text{Check: } V''(x) = -2m^2 + 3\lambda x^2, \quad V''(x_0) = -2m^2 + 3\lambda \left(\frac{2m^2}{\lambda}\right) = 4m^2 > 0$$

(as long as $\lambda > 0$ so x_0 is real)

Conclusion: there is a continuous family of minima,

$\phi_0 = \sqrt{\frac{2m^2}{\lambda}} e^{i\theta}$, parameterized by θ . The theory has to pick one:

by selecting a particular value of the angle along the circle, we are spontaneously breaking the $U(1)$ rotation symmetry of the Lagrangian. Without loss of generality, define ϕ such that the minimum is at $\theta=0$, and rewrite ϕ as

$\phi(x) = (x_0 + \sigma(x)) e^{i\pi(x)}$, where $\sigma(x)$ and $\pi(x)$ are real. In other words, we are just writing $\phi = r e^{i\theta}$ in polar coordinates, and shifting the radial coordinate such that the ground state configuration has $\sigma(x) = \pi(x) = 0$. By rewriting the Lagrangian in terms of σ and π , we can go back to using Feynman rules and forget about any complications from the wrong-sign mass term. We will see this again next lecture.

Back to $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$. Now we want to break a non-abelian global symmetry to a subgroup: to do this, we will need a matrix-valued scalar field which transforms under $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$. Let's take a 2×2 matrix field $\Sigma(x)$ transforming as $\Sigma(x) \rightarrow g_L \Sigma(x) g_R^\dagger$ (and $\Sigma^\dagger \rightarrow g_R \Sigma^\dagger g_L^\dagger$) to play the role of ϕ above. Let's follow our noses and generalize the previous Lagrangian to matrices:

$$\mathcal{L} = \text{Tr}(\partial_\mu \Sigma^\dagger \partial^\mu \Sigma) + m^2 \text{Tr}(\Sigma^\dagger \Sigma) - \frac{\lambda}{4} (\text{Tr}(\Sigma^\dagger \Sigma))^2$$

Can show (HW) that this Lagrangian is invariant under $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$, but the ground state is $\Sigma_0 = \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ with $v = \frac{2m}{\sqrt{\lambda}}$.

This ground state is not invariant under the full symmetry, since

$$g_L \Sigma_0 g_R^\dagger = \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} g_L g_R^\dagger, \text{ but it is invariant if we take } g_L = g_R,$$

since g_L and g_R are unitary matrices. Thus, this Lagrangian spontaneously breaks $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$ to the subgroup $SU(2)_V$ with $g_L = g_R$, as desired.

As before, we can rewrite Σ in "polar coordinates":

$\Sigma(x) = \frac{v + \sigma(x)}{\sqrt{2}} \exp\left(2i \frac{\pi^a(x) \tau^a}{v}\right)$, where $\sigma(x)$ and $\pi^a(x)$ are real scalars, and $\tau^a = \frac{\sigma^a}{2}$. This reduces to Σ_0 when $\sigma = \pi = 0$, but it is not the most general 2×2 complex matrix. Instead, we want Σ to parameterize the space of possible vacua, which is $\frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} g_L g_R^\dagger$, i.e. a real constant times an $SU(2)$ matrix. We will actually go one step further: we will decouple σ by taking $m \rightarrow \infty, \lambda \rightarrow \infty$ with v fixed.

This means it costs infinite potential energy to change σ , so it is "pinned" at a constant value. The remaining degrees of freedom can be written as $U(x) \equiv \frac{\sqrt{2}}{v} \Sigma(x) = \exp\left(2i \frac{\pi^a(x) \tau^a}{F_\pi}\right)$. This is a unitary matrix, satisfying $U^\dagger U = \mathbb{1}$, and transforming as $U \rightarrow g_L U g_R^\dagger$. F_π is a constant with dimensions of mass. In this normalization, $\langle U \rangle = \mathbb{1}$ which is invariant under $g_L = g_R$, so U parameterizes the $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$ breaking while throwing away all the information we don't know about (after all, we have no idea whether the Lagrangian we started with resembles the QCD Lagrangian at low energies).

Upshot: we want to write the most general Lagrangian for U , invariant under $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$. $U^\dagger U = \mathbb{1}$, a constant term, so this won't contribute to the equations of motion: we need derivatives. Lorentz invariance requires at least two derivatives, and must have an equal number of U and U^\dagger :

$\mathcal{L} = \frac{F_\pi^2}{4} \text{Tr}(\partial_\mu U \partial^\mu U^\dagger) + \mathcal{O}(\partial^4)$ ← this is the Chiral Lagrangian to lowest order in derivatives

That was a lot of formalism: now to physics.

Let $\pi^0 = \pi^3, \pi^\pm = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\pi^1 \pm i\pi^2)$ (π^0 is real, π^+ and π^- are complex conjugates)

$U = \exp\left[\frac{i}{F_\pi} \begin{pmatrix} \pi^0 & \sqrt{2} \pi^- \\ \sqrt{2} \pi^+ & -\pi^0 \end{pmatrix}\right]$ ↑ this is a bad convention! The field π^+ actually annihilates a charge -1 pion, not charge +1

We will interpret the Chiral Lagrangian as a theory of pions. Note that there are no quarks or gluons anywhere to be found! This is the "change of variables" that lets us understand QCD when g gets large.

Some important predictions of chiral symmetry breaking:

- There are 3 (almost) massless pions. Every term has derivatives, so there is no term like $m^2 \pi^2$. This is an example of Goldstone's Theorem: a spontaneously broken continuous global symmetry implies massless particles. We will explain the nonzero observed pion masses shortly, but already this motivates why $m_\pi = 130 \text{ MeV} \ll m_p = 1 \text{ GeV}$: pions are Goldstone bosons of the spontaneously broken chiral symmetry of massless QCD. It also explains why there are 3 pions, corresponding to the 3 generators of the broken $SU(2)$.

- Pion interactions are highly constrained. The Lagrangian is an infinite series in powers of π . The coefficient $\frac{F_\pi^2}{4}$ ensures the usual normalization for scalar kinetic terms:


$$\frac{F_\pi^2}{4} \text{Tr}(\partial_\mu U \partial^\mu U^\dagger) = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \pi^0)(\partial^\mu \pi^0) + \partial_\mu \pi^+ \partial^\mu \pi^- + \dots \quad (\text{BHW})$$

But there is also an infinite series of two-derivative interactions:

$$\frac{1}{F_\pi^2} \left(-\frac{1}{3} \pi^0 \pi^0 \partial_\mu \pi^+ \partial^\mu \pi^- + \dots \right) + \frac{1}{F_\pi^4} \left(\frac{1}{18} (\pi^+ \pi^-)^2 \partial_\mu \pi^0 \partial^\mu \pi^0 + \dots \right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{F_\pi^6}\right)$$

all of these coefficients are completely fixed in terms of one parameter F_π : we will show in a couple weeks how to determine F_π from the π^+ lifetime. This means that $\sigma(\pi^+ \pi^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0)$ is

completely determined once the π^+ lifetime is measured. (HW)

Note that there are no odd powers of π : no 3-point vertex  even though this is Lorentz invariant, conserves charge, etc.

- The pion mass is proportional to square roots of the quark masses.

We can introduce up and down quark masses as

$$\mathcal{L}_m = \bar{Q} M Q \text{ with } M = \begin{pmatrix} m_u & \\ & m_d \end{pmatrix}. \text{ Clearly, this term explicitly$$

breaks chiral symmetry, but we can still use it to write a chirally-

invariant Lagrangian by letting M be a constant field with the same transformation properties as U : $M \rightarrow g_L M g_R^\dagger$. 6

This trick is called spurion analysis, because M is not a real field ("spurious"). A nice analogy is with Lorentz symmetry breaking: if we want e.g. the x direction to be a preferred direction in spacetime, we could embed it in a vector $v^\mu = (0, 1, 0, 0)$, and write a Lorentz-invariant Lagrangian like $\partial_\mu \phi v^\mu$, even though v^μ does not actually transform.

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \mathcal{L}'_m &= \frac{V^3}{2} \text{Tr}(M^\dagger U + M U^\dagger) \\ &= V^3 (m_u + m_d) - \frac{V^3}{F_\pi^2} (m_u + m_d) \left(\frac{1}{2} (\pi^0)^2 + \pi^+ \pi^- \right) + \mathcal{O}(\pi^3) \end{aligned}$$

↑ real scalar mass ↑ complex scalar mass

The coefficient $\frac{V^3}{2}$ is fixed by $\langle \bar{u}u \rangle = \langle \bar{d}d \rangle = V^3$, so ensuring the vacuum energies in \mathcal{L}_m and \mathcal{L}'_m are equal uniquely determines the coefficient.

$m_{\pi^0}^2 = m_{\pi^\pm}^2 = \frac{V^3}{F_\pi^2} (m_u + m_d)$. So approximate equality of charged and neutral pion masses is not a result of $m_u = m_d$, but rather $m_u + m_d \ll V$. Lattice QCD calculations confirm this relationship.

- We can generalize $SU(2) \rightarrow SU(3)$ to include the strange quark, but at the cost of some accuracy since m_s is of the same order as V . But we expect 8 light mesons, which we identify as $\pi^0, \pi^\pm, K^0, \bar{K}^0, K^\pm$, and η , whose interactions are constrained by approximate $SU(3)$ flavor symmetry.

The chiral Lagrangian is an example of an effective field theory, containing terms of dimension 6 and higher. We will see more examples like this in the last weeks of the course.