Spontaneously broken gauge symmetries

Last time we saw an example of a spontaneously broken global symmetry. Goldstoni's theorem told us that for each generator of the broken symmetry, a messless particle exists in the spectrum. This week, we will investigate spontaneous breaking of gauge symmetries. The upshot: instead of getting new massless particles, the gauge bosons will become massive. 17

There are lots of technical details involved in the group theory structure of the Standard Model, so we will warm up with a simpler example, a U(1) gauge theory. While this does not describe the Standard Model, it maps exactly on to the phenomenon of superconductivity, so it will be worth the effort.

Let's go back to the complex Scalar Lagrangian, but replace the ordinary derivative with a covariant derivative and add the kinetic term for a U(1) gauge field: $\int = (\partial_{\mu} \rho^{*} - ie A_{\mu} \rho^{*}) (\partial^{*} \rho + ie A^{*} \rho) + m^{2} |\rho|^{2} - \frac{\lambda}{4} |\rho|^{4} - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}$ Pecall that the U(1) transformation is $\rho \rightarrow e^{-i\alpha Q_{\mu}}\rho$. The potential V(ρ) is the same regardless of whether this symmetry is global or gauged, so by our results from last time, the grand state is at $\langle \rho \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{2m^{*}} e^{i\theta}$. By performing a U(1) transformation, we can set $\theta = 0$, so $\langle \rho \rangle = \sqrt{\frac{2m^{*}}{4}} = \frac{V}{V_{\mu}}$ (J2 is convertional).

As before, let's write
$$\emptyset = \frac{v + r(v)}{\sqrt{1-e^{-i\pi k}}} e^{-i\pi k} e^{-i\pi k}$$
 and rewrite the bayrongian in terms of the real fields σ and \overline{n} .
 $\partial_{\mu} \theta^{\mu} = \left[\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \partial_{\mu} \overline{\eta} \frac{v + \sigma}{\sqrt{1-e^{-i\pi k}}} - \frac{\partial_{\mu} \sigma}{\sqrt{1-e^{-i\pi k}}}\right] e^{-i\pi k}$
 $\partial_{\mu} \theta^{\mu} = \left[\frac{-i}{\sqrt{2}} \partial_{\mu} \overline{\eta} \frac{v + \sigma}{\sqrt{1-e^{-i\pi k}}}\right] e^{-i\pi k}$
Kintic term: $\left[-\frac{-i}{\sqrt{2}} \partial_{\mu} \overline{\eta} \frac{v + \sigma}{\sqrt{1-e^{-i\pi k}}} - \frac{\partial_{\mu} \sigma}{\sqrt{1-e^{-i\pi k}}}\right] e^{-i\pi k}$
Kintic term: $\left[-\frac{-i}{\sqrt{2}} \partial_{\mu} \overline{\eta} \frac{v + \sigma}{\sqrt{1-e^{-i\pi k}}} - \frac{\partial_{\mu} \sigma}{\sqrt{1-e^{-i\pi k}}}\right] e^{-i\pi k}$
Kintic term: $\left[-\frac{-i}{\sqrt{2}} \partial_{\mu} \overline{\eta} \frac{v + \sigma}{\sqrt{1-e^{-i\pi k}}} - \frac{\partial_{\mu} \sigma}{\sqrt{1-e^{-i\pi k}}}\right] e^{-i\pi k}$
Kintic term: $\left[-\frac{-i}{\sqrt{2}} \partial_{\mu} \overline{\eta} \frac{v + \sigma}{\sqrt{1-e^{-i\pi k}}} - \frac{\partial_{\mu} \sigma}{\sqrt{1-e^{-i\pi k}}}\right] e^{-i\pi k}$
Kintic term: $\left[-\frac{-i}{\sqrt{2}} \partial_{\mu} \overline{\eta} \frac{v + \sigma}{\sqrt{1-e^{-i\pi k}}}\right] e^{-i\pi k}$
Kintic term: $\left[-\frac{-i}{\sqrt{2}} \partial_{\mu} \overline{\eta} \frac{v + \sigma}{\sqrt{1-e^{-i\pi k}}}\right] e^{-i\pi k}$
Kintic term: $\left[-\frac{-i}{\sqrt{2}} \partial_{\mu} \overline{\eta} \frac{v + \sigma}{\sqrt{1-e^{-i\pi k}}}\right] e^{-i\pi k}$
Kintic term: $\left[-\frac{-i}{\sqrt{2}} \partial_{\mu} \overline{\eta} \frac{v + \sigma}{\sqrt{1-e^{-i\pi k}}}\right] e^{-i\pi k}$
Kintic term: $\left[-\frac{-i}{\sqrt{2}} \partial_{\mu} \overline{\eta} \frac{v + \sigma}{\sqrt{1-e^{-i\pi k}}}\right] e^{-i\pi k}$
Kintic term: $\left[-\frac{-i}{\sqrt{2}} \partial_{\mu} \overline{\eta} \frac{v + \sigma}{\sqrt{1-e^{-i\pi k}}}\right] e^{-i\pi k}$
Kintic term: $\left[-\frac{-i}{\sqrt{2}} \partial_{\mu} \overline{\eta} \frac{v + \sigma}{\sqrt{1-e^{-i\pi k}}}\right] e^{-i\pi k}$
Kintic term: $\left[-\frac{-i}{\sqrt{2}} \partial_{\mu} \overline{\eta} \frac{v + \sigma}{\sqrt{1-e^{-i\pi k}}}\right] e^{-i\pi k}$
But Since The U(1) symmetry is a factor to set $\pi(k) = 0$ everywhere.
($\pi(k) \rightarrow \pi(k) - \sqrt{\alpha(k)}$, just choose $\alpha(k) = \pi(k)$)
This is known as unitary gauge. In this gauge, the k kinetic term is $\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \partial_{\mu} \sigma^{-i\pi - i\pi k} \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} e^{-i\pi k} A^{-i\pi k}$
The pare field In (moders) (both field) $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{-i\pi k} A^{-i\pi k}$
The pare field In (moders) (both field) $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{-i\pi k}$, $\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}} e^{-i\pi k}$, $\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{$

$$+m^{2} |\rho|^{2} = \frac{m}{2} (v+\sigma)^{2} = \frac{m^{2}}{2} v^{2} + \frac{m^{2}}{2} v\sigma + \frac{m^{2}}{2} \sigma^{2}$$

$$-\frac{\lambda}{4} |\rho|^{4} = -\frac{\lambda}{16} (v+\sigma)^{4} = -\frac{\lambda}{16} v^{4} - \frac{\lambda v\sigma^{2}}{4} - \frac{3\lambda}{8} v^{2} - \frac{\lambda v^{3}\sigma}{4} - \frac{\lambda}{16} \sigma^{4}$$
Recall $v = \frac{\lambda m}{\sqrt{\lambda}}$, so $m^{2}v = \frac{\lambda}{4} v^{3} = 3$ term linear in σ cancels
(as it must, since we defined ρ such that the minimum of
the potential was at $\sigma = 0$)
$$= \lambda_{int} = \frac{m^{2}}{\lambda} - m^{2}\sigma^{2} - \frac{1}{4} \lambda v \sigma^{3} - \frac{1}{16} \lambda \sigma^{4} + e^{2}v \sigma A_{m}A^{4} + \frac{1}{2} e^{2}\sigma^{2}A_{m}A^{4}$$

$$= \frac{m^{2}}{\lambda} \frac{m^{2}}{16} - m^{2}\sigma^{2} - \frac{1}{4} \lambda v \sigma^{3} - \frac{1}{16} \lambda \sigma^{4} + e^{2}v \sigma A_{m}A^{4} + \frac{1}{2} e^{2}\sigma^{2}A_{m}A^{4}$$

$$= \frac{m^{2}}{2} \frac{m^{2}}{16} \frac{m^$$

[note: factor of N! for N idutical particles at each vertex, so this is why prefactors change] while we started from only a single interaction $\lambda |P|^4$, we get cubic and quartic interactions whose relative coefficients are predicted by the symmetry breaking. The mass term is also related to the coupling: $M_{\sigma} = 52 m$

So measuring the mars and the size of the cubic interaction predicts the size of the quartic interaction. This is a powerful Consistency check of the theory, and a smoking gun for a symmetry hidden in the Lagrangian. Let's do some example calculations to see how this would [10 work in practice. First, we need the propagator for a massive vector field:

$$mm = \frac{i}{p^2 - m_A^2} \left(- m^{m\nu} + \frac{p^2 p^{\nu}}{m_A^2} \right)$$
Then term for massive vectors

Because of gause symmetry, the propagator is gauge-dependent,
but this arbitrary choice cancels out of physical observables.
However, in other gauges, the would-be Goldstone II reappears,
so we will stick with unitary gauge for simplicity.
Polarization sums:
$$\xi \in e^{-e^{\nu}} = -m^{-\nu} + \frac{p^{-p^{\nu}}}{m^{2}}$$
 (sum over physical polarizations
pieco gives numerator of papagator)
 $= ---- = \frac{i}{p^{-}-m^{2}}$

Consider
$$\sigma \sigma \rightarrow AA$$
 at tree level. Four possible diagrams;
 P_1 , P_2 , P_3 , P_1 , P_2 , P_1 , P_2 , P_1 , P_2 , P_1 , P_2 , P_2 , P_1 , P_2

Note that despite appearances when
$$E_1, E_2 \sim m_0 \gg m_1$$
, all diagrams
are independent of λ : $D \sim e^2$, $D \sim \frac{(\lambda \vee \chi e^2 \nu)}{m_0} \sim \frac{e^2 \lambda (\frac{n}{\lambda})}{m_0} \sim e^2$,
 $\Im \neq \Phi \sim \frac{(e^2 \nu)^2}{m_1} \sim \frac{e^4 \nu^2}{e^2 \nu^2} \sim e^2$. This is a consequence of SSB, the
diagrams "know" about the original theory without the σ ,
where $\Phi \phi \Rightarrow AA$ only depends on the gauge interaction and not
the $\lambda |\phi|^4$ term.

The Higgs mechanism in the Standard Model

 $-ig\left(W_{n}^{a} \tau^{a} + \frac{i}{2}\frac{g'}{g}B_{n}^{4}\right) = -\frac{ig}{2}\left(W_{n}^{a}\sigma^{a} + \frac{g'}{g}B_{n}^{4}\right)$ Homitian $= 2\left|D_{n}H\right|^{2} = g^{2}\frac{v^{2}}{8}(0-1)\left(\frac{g'}{g}B_{n}^{4} + W_{n}^{3} - W_{n}^{2} - W_{n}^{2}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{0}{1}\right)$ $\left(\frac{w'_{n}}{w'_{n}} + iW_{n}^{2} - \frac{g'}{g}B_{n}^{2} - W_{n}^{3}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{0}{1}\right)$

H > Jr (i). Since B is Abelian, rewrite non-derivative term as