Spontaneously broken gange symmetries -

Spontaneously broken gauge symmetre
Last time we saw an example
global symmetry. Goldstani's theore
generator of the broken symmetry,
in the spectrum. This week, we u Last time we saw an example of ^a spontaneously broken global symmetry. Goldstoni's theorem told us that for each generator of the broken symmetry, ^a massless particle exists in the spectrum. This week, we will investigate spontaneous breaking of gauge symmetries. The upshot: instead of getting new massless particles, the gauge bosons will become massive . cakir
ettio
1935:1
1935:1

There are lots of technical details involved in the group theory structure of the Standard Model, so we will warm up with ^a simpler example, a UCI gauge theory. While this does not describe the standard Model, it maps exactly on to the phenomenon of superconductivity, so it will be worth the effort.

Let's go back to the complex Scalar Lagrangion, but replace the ordinary derivative with ^a covaint deivative and add the kinetic term for a UCI) gauge field! $L = (\partial_{\mu}\rho^{\mu} - i\epsilon A_{\nu}\rho^{\beta})(\partial^{\mu}\rho + i\epsilon A^{\mu}\rho) + m^2|\rho|^2 - \frac{\lambda}{4}|\rho|^4 - \frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}$ Hecall that the UCI) transformation is $\cancel{\phi} \rightarrow e^{-i\alpha(t)}\cancel{p}$. The potential VCI) is the same regardless of whether this symetry is global or gauged, so by our results from last time, the ground state is at $\langle \phi \rangle = \sqrt{\frac{2m^2}{\lambda}} e^{i\theta}$. By performing a UCI) transformation, we can set $\theta = 0$, so $\langle \phi \rangle = \sqrt{\frac{2n^2}{4}} \equiv \frac{V}{\sqrt{2}}$ ($\sqrt{2}$ is convertional).

\nAs letay, lety notte
$$
p = \frac{v - r_0}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{i\pi k/2}
$$
 and check diversise $\sqrt{8}$ and count the the logarithm in terms of the real fields or and π .\n

\n\n $\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2\pi} \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} 2\pi \frac{v_1 e}{\sqrt{2\pi}} + \frac{3}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right] e^{-i\pi k/2}$ \n

\n\n $\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2\pi} \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} 2\pi \frac{v_1 e}{\sqrt{2\pi}} + \frac{3}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right] e^{-i\pi k/2}$ \n

\n\n $\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2\pi} \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} 2\pi \frac{v_1 e}{\sqrt{2\pi}} + \frac{3}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right] e^{-i\pi k/2}$ \n

\n\n $\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2\pi} \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} 2\pi \frac{v_1 e}{\sqrt{2\pi}} + \frac{3}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right] e^{-i\pi k/2}$ \n

\n\n $\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2\pi} \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} 2\pi \frac{v_1 e}{\sqrt{2\pi}} + \frac{3}{\sqrt{2}} e^{-i\pi k/2} \right] e^{-i\pi k/2}$ \n

\n\n $\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2\pi} \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} 2\pi \frac{e^{i\pi}}{2} + \frac{3}{\sqrt{2}} e^{-i\pi k/2} \right] e^{-i\pi k/2}$ \n

\n\n $\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2\pi} \left[\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2\pi} \frac{e^{i\pi}}{2} + \frac{3}{\sqrt{2}} e^{-i\pi k/2} \right] e^{-i\pi k/2}$ \n

\n\n $\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2\pi} \left[\frac{e^{i\pi}}{2} 2\pi \frac{e^{i\pi}}{2} + \frac{3}{\sqrt{2}} e^{-i\pi k/2} \right] e^{-i\pi k/2}$ \n

\n\n \frac

$$
+ m^{2} |\ell|^{2} = m \left(v + \sigma \right)^{2} = \frac{m^{2}}{2} v^{2} + m^{2} v \sigma + \frac{m^{2}}{2} \sigma^{2}
$$
\n
$$
- \frac{\lambda}{4} |\ell|^{4} = -\frac{\lambda}{16} (v + \sigma)^{4} = -\frac{\lambda}{16} v^{4} - \frac{\lambda}{4} v \sigma^{3} - \frac{3\lambda}{4} v^{2} \sigma^{2} - \frac{\lambda}{4} v^{3} \sigma^{4}
$$
\nRecall $v = \frac{2m}{\sqrt{3}}$, so $m^{2}v = \frac{\lambda}{4} v^{3} = 3$ term. Hence, in σ cancels
\n(a) if $m v = t$, since u defined β such that the minimum of
\nthe potential u as at $\sigma = 0$)
\n $\Rightarrow \lambda_{int} \Rightarrow \frac{m^{4}}{\lambda} - m^{2} \sigma^{2} - \frac{1}{4} \lambda v \sigma^{3} - \frac{1}{16} \lambda \sigma^{4} + e^{2} v \sigma A_{n} A^{2} + \frac{1}{2} e^{2} \sigma^{2} A_{n} A^{2}$
\n v_{actual} (correct-sip,
\n v_{total} in the cubic
\nfactor, from $\frac{1}{2}$ (there are Feynman diagram vertices, a, follows)
\n(a) for s of σ , there are Feynman diagram vertices, a, follows:
\n $(\frac{1}{2})^{2}$
\n $\frac{1}{2}$
\n $\frac{$

[note: factor of N! for N idutical particles at each vertex, so this is why prefactors change] while we started from any a single interaction $\lambda |\varphi|^\alpha$ we get cubic and quartic interactions, whose relative coefficients are predicted by the symmetry breaking. The mass term is also related to the compling? $m_{\sigma} = \sqrt{2} m$

So Measuring the mass and the size of the cubic interaction predicts the size of the quartic interaction. This is a powerful Consistincy check of the theory, and a smoking gun for a symmetry hidden in the Lagrangian.

Let's do some example calculations to see how this would 110
work in practice. First, we need the propagator for a massive

$$
m_{x} = \frac{1}{p^{2}-m_{1}^{2}}(-p^{mv}+\frac{p^{2}p^{v}}{m_{1}^{2}}) -
$$

Because of gauge symmetry, the population is gauge-dependent,
but this ability may choice cancels out of physical observations,
However, in other gauges, the would be Goldstone II (cappears,
so we will stick with unitary gauge for simplicity.
Polanical (on 50 ms):
$$
\sum_{p\in I} e^{-e^{ipx}} = -\eta^{-p} + \frac{\rho^{np}}{m_a^2}
$$
 (sun are physical polviizations
gives a uncertainty of papegator)

Consider
$$
\sigma \rightarrow AA
$$
 at tree (eucl. Four possible diagrams)
\n P_1 P_2 P_3 P_4 P_5 P_6 P_7 P_8 P_9 P_9

$$
M = 2 \times e^{2} \varepsilon_{n}^{a}(\rho_{3}) \varepsilon^{a}(\rho_{4}) + (-\frac{3}{2}i \lambda v) \left(\frac{i}{(\rho_{1} \cdot \rho_{2})^{2} - n_{0}^{2}} \right) (2 \times i v) \varepsilon_{n}^{a}(\rho_{3}) \varepsilon^{a}{}_{n}(\rho_{4})
$$

+ (2 \times i v) [(-i \eta^{2}v)] (-i \eta^{2}v) \left(\frac{i}{(\rho_{1} \cdot \rho_{3})^{2} - n_{1}^{2}} + \frac{i}{(\rho_{1} \cdot \rho_{4})^{2} - n_{2}^{2}} \right) \varepsilon_{n}^{a}(\rho_{3}) \varepsilon_{v}^{a}(\rho_{4}) \qquad (2 \text{ (e.g. } \frac{1}{n_{1}^{2}}i \text{ (e.g. } \rho_{4}) \text{)} \varepsilon_{n}^{a}(\rho_{5}) \frac{1}{n_{1}^{2}} \text{ (e.g. } \rho_{5})
where $m_{0} = 52 m_{1} v = \frac{2m}{\sqrt{3}}, m_{0} = eV$

Note that despite appearances when
$$
E_1, E_2 \sim m_{\sigma} \gg m_{A'}
$$
, all diagrams
are independent of λ ; $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} e^{2} \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \bigcup_{m_{\sigma} \sim 1}^{m_{\sigma} \times m_{\sigma}} \bigcup_{m_{\sigma} \sim 1}^{m_{\$

The Higgs mechanism in the Standard Model

Let's now return to the last terms in the Standard model
\nLongrangian use having if the first,
$$
y \in \mathbb{R}
$$
.
\n $\sqrt{2} - \frac{1}{4} W_{xy} W^{x+1} - \frac{1}{4} \beta_{yy} B^{xy} + (D_x H)^2 (D_x H) + m^2 H^2 + \lambda (H^2 H)^2$
\n β_5 with the Abelian case, the wrong sign mass term will lead to
\n $5 \rho u$ tanens's symmetry because they, first (cf's minimize the potential:
\n $V(H) = -m^2 H^2 H + \lambda (H^2 H)^2$
\n $\frac{\partial V}{\partial H^2} = -m^2 H + \lambda \lambda H (H^2 H)^2$
\n $\frac{\partial V}{\partial H^2} = -m^2 H + \lambda H (H^2 H)^2$
\n $\frac{\partial V}{\partial H^2} = -m^2 H + \lambda H (H^2 H)^2$
\n $\frac{\partial V}{\partial H^2} = -m^2 H + \lambda H (H^2 H)^2$
\n $\frac{\partial V}{\partial H^2} = -m^2 H + \lambda H (H^2 H)^2$
\n $\frac{\partial V}{\partial H^2} = -m^2 H + \lambda H (H^2 H)^2$
\n $\frac{\partial V}{\partial H^2} = -m^2 H + \lambda H (H^2 H)^2$
\n $\frac{\partial V}{\partial H^2} = \frac{m^2}{V} \frac{1}{V} \frac{1}{$

 $-ig(W_{n}^{a}U^{a}+\frac{1}{2}\frac{2}{9}\beta_{n}1)=-\frac{ig}{2}(W_{n}^{a}\sigma^{a}+\frac{g^{\prime}}{g}\beta_{n}1)$ $HermiHa$ => $|D_{n}H|^{2} = g^{2} \frac{v^{2}}{g} (0 + \frac{g^{2}}{g} \frac{g}{g} + w_{n}^{3} + w_{n}^{2} - i w_{n}^{2} \choose 1)$