Kinematic thresholds
Last time, we worked in the high-energy limit $E \gg m_c$, m. Last time, we worked in the high-energy limit $E \gg m_c$, m_c . Let's now put the muon mass (106 mer) back in and study the c ross section for E just above Ln_{μ} . Aside: this process is actively being investigated to produce muons ast time, we worked in the high-energy line
et's now put the muon mass (106 mer) back
ross section for E just above Imm.
Aside: this process is actively being investigated
for a muon collider. Muons are the lightest
partic rustable subatomic particle, so if your bean energy is just right, you can make slow muons and nothing else to contaminate the final state Since $m_n \gg m$, we can still appoint the e^+ and e^- as massless, Since $m_n > m$, and can still approximate the e and e as massless,
but now $\beta_3 = (E_3, \beta_3 \sin\theta, \theta, \beta_3 \cos\theta)$ with $\beta_3 = \sqrt{E_3 - m_n}$. We can solve for E_3 by using 4 -vector algebra. $\rho_{1} + \rho_{2} = \rho_{3} + \rho_{4}$ => $(p_{1}+p_{2}-p_{3})^{2}=\rho_{9}^{2}$ $(\rho_1 + \rho_2 - \rho_3) = \rho_4$
 $m_a + E^2 - 2E E_3 =$ m_{μ} \sim => E_7 = $E/2$ (makes sense, every shared cqually between m^+ and m^-) $\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}} & \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{A} \end{array}$ => E_3 = E_1 (makes sense, every showed cqually between n⁺.
 ρ_3 = $\sqrt{\frac{E^2}{4} - m^2}$, which is $|\rho_f|$ in our two-body phase space formula . Computing all the dot products as before gives (check!) \angle IMI² > = $e^{4}((1 + \frac{4m^{2}}{E^{2}}) +$ (1 - $\left(\frac{4m^2}{\epsilon^2}\right)(\cos^2\theta)$ which reduces to our previous result for $E \gg 2m_n$. $\frac{4m^2}{\sqrt{\frac{m^2}{m^2}}}$ + (1- $\frac{4m^2}{\sqrt{\frac{m^2}{m^2}}}$) + (1- $\frac{4m^2}{\sqrt{\frac{m^2}{m^2}}}$) + (1- $\frac{4m^2}{\sqrt{\frac{m^2}{m^2}}}$ (1/4) E2 $\angle|M|^{2}$ > = $e^{4} \left[(1 + \frac{4m_{r}}{E}) + (1 - \frac{4m_{r}}{E}) \right]$
which reduces to our previous res
 $\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{1}{2E^{2}} \frac{1}{16\pi^{2}} \frac{\sqrt{E^{2} - m^{2}}}{E} \leq |m|^{2}$ E

 θ oing the angular integrals, $\sigma_{\text{tot}} = \frac{4\pi\alpha^2}{3E^2}\sqrt{1-\frac{4\pi^2}{E^2}}\left(1+\frac{2\pi\alpha^2}{E^2}\right)$ The square root is generic at kinematic thresholds: for $E = 2m_n + \Delta$, The square root is generic at kinematic thresholds; for
the phase space suppresses the cross section like $\sqrt{\frac{\Delta}{m_{m}}}$ the phase space suppresses the cross section like $\frac{\Delta}{m_n}$.

 $\begin{array}{c} \boxed{7} \\ \boxed{1} \end{array}$ In the cm frame, the threshold every is $2m_{\pi} \approx 212$ MeV Lonsider a position beam hitting a taget of stationary electrons. In this Frame, $P_i = (m_{e_i}, 0, 0, 0)$ and $p_r \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} (E_{lat_i}, 0, 0, E_{lat})$ (+ $\theta(m_e)$) We know that in the CM Franc, $(\rho_1+\rho_2)^2 \equiv \epsilon_{cn}^2$, so compute in (ab Franc). $(P_1+P_2)^2 = (n_e + E_{\alpha_e})^2 - E_{\alpha_e}^2 = 2E_{\alpha_e}r_e + r_e^2$. Setting this equal to $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$ $2E_{lab}$ me + meⁿ $\geq 4m^2$ = > $E_{lab} \geq \frac{4m^2 - m^2}{2m}$ = 44 GeV! Colliding beans much more efficient than fixed tagets!

Angular dependence

Let's now understand the Itcosto dependence another way! instead of summing over sping un will use explicit choices of spinors. First let's nock in the ligh-energy limit. Il call $u(\rho) = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\rho \cdot \sigma} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \sqrt{\rho \cdot \overline{\sigma}} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} - \rho} & \sqrt{\rho} \\ \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} - \rho} & \sqrt{\rho} \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\rho} \sqrt{\rho} \begin{pmatrix} \rho & \rho \\ \rho & \rho \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \rho \\ \rho \\ \rho \end{pmatrix}$ $V(\rho) = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\rho \cdot \sigma} \gamma_5 \\ -\sqrt{\rho \cdot \sigma} \gamma_5 \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \sqrt{\nu \epsilon} \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v & o \\ o & v \end{pmatrix} \gamma_5 \\ \begin{pmatrix} -1 & o \\ o & o \end{pmatrix} \gamma_5 \end{pmatrix}$ $\overline{V} \gamma^m u = v^+ Y^o \gamma^m u$, and $Y^o Y^m = (\overline{\sigma}^m \sigma^m)$ is block diagonal. So if $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 5 \end{pmatrix}$ but $\begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$, u is a right-chiral spinor and v is a
left-chiral spinor, and thus $\overline{V}V^+u$ vanisles (if $p_2>0$ for boh u and v) \Rightarrow in the high-energy (massless) limit, QED exhibits helicity conservation": left couples to left and right couples to right, but there are no mixed helicity terms. to really, we should say "chirality conservation." But the terminology is standard.

8 In fact, we already knear this because the original Lagrangion was $\underline{\begin{pmatrix} 8 \end{pmatrix}}$ $e_{\alpha}^{+}\sigma^{\mu}e_{\beta}$ An + $L^{\tau}\bar{\sigma}^{\mu}L$ An : left and right couple separately to Lagr
separa p hd 101. $e_{k}^{+}\sigma^{m}e_{k}A_{m}+\sum_{s\in\mathbb{Z}}\overline{\sigma}^{m}LA_{m}$ knear Mis because a
CLAn : left and dyn
 \Rightarrow \Rightarrow \Rightarrow \Rightarrow

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\n\ell \nleftrightarrow & \text{Consider} & \frac{\text{Spin}}{e^{-} & \text{Fe}(e)} & \text{In the image,}\\
\hline\n\text{Cight} & \text{In the image,}\\
\text{Cight} & \text{In the image,}\\
\text{Cight} & \text{In the image,}\\
\text{Cight} & \text{Cight} & \text{In the image,}\\
\text{Cight} & \text{Cight} & \text{Cight} & \text{Cight} & \text{Cight} \\
\text{Cight} & \text{Cight} & \text{Cight} & \text{Cight} & \text{Cight} \\
\text{Cight} & \text{Cight} & \text{Cight} & \text{Cight} & \text{Cight} \\
\text{Cight} & \text{Cight} & \text{Cight} & \text{Cight} & \text{Cight} \\
\text{Cight} & \text{Cight} & \text{Cight} & \text{Cight} & \text{Cight} & \text{Cight} \\
\text{Cight} & \text{Cight} & \text{Cight} & \text{Cight} & \text{Cight} & \text{Cight} \\
\text{Cight} & \text{Cight} & \text{Cight} & \text{Cight} & \text{Cight} & \text{Cight} & \text{Cight} \\
\end{array}
$$

 $Nole: e⁺ has more than in -2 direction, so spin-up along $+2$ is on the method, hence left-handed helicity.
\n $\overline{V}(\mu)$ γ $u(\rho)$ \longrightarrow $e_{R}(\rho) \sigma$ $e_{R}(\rho) = \sqrt{\lambda(E_{\lambda})} (0, -1) \sigma$ $\sqrt{\lambda(E_{\lambda})}$$ is opposite direction of motion, hence left-handed helicity.

In fact, we already knew his because the original Lagrangian was
\n
$$
e^+_{\alpha} \sigma^m e^+_{\alpha} A_{\alpha} + L^{\overline{\sigma}m} L A_{\alpha}
$$
 if left and right complex separately to plotan.
\nLet's consider
\n $e^- = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^m}{\partial t} & \frac{\partial^m}{\partial t} \\ \frac{\partial^m}{\partial t} & \frac{\partial^m}{\partial t} \end{pmatrix}$
\n $\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^m}{\partial t} & \frac{\partial^m}{\partial t} \\ \frac{\partial^m}{\partial t} & \frac{\partial^m}{\partial t} \end{pmatrix}$
\n $\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^m}{\partial t} & \frac{\partial^m}{\partial t} \\ \frac{\partial^m}{\partial t} & \frac{\partial^m}{\partial t} \end{pmatrix}$
\n $\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^m}{\partial t} & \frac{\partial^m}{\partial t} \\ \frac{\partial^m}{\partial t} & \frac{\partial^m}{\partial t} \end{pmatrix}$
\n $\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^m}{\partial t} & \frac{\partial^m}{\partial t} \\ \frac{\partial^m}{\partial t} & \frac{\partial^m}{\partial t} \end{pmatrix}$
\n $\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^m}{\partial t} & \frac{\partial^m}{\partial t} \\ \frac{\partial^m}{\partial t} & \frac{\partial^m}{\partial t} \end{pmatrix}$
\n $\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^m}{\partial t} & \frac{\partial^m}{\partial t} \\ \frac{\partial^m}{\partial t} & \frac{\partial^m}{\partial t} \end{pmatrix}$
\n $\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^m}{\partial t} & \frac{\partial^m}{\partial t} \\ \frac{\partial^m}{\partial t} & \frac{\partial^m}{\partial t} \end{pmatrix}$
\n $\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^m}{\partial t} & \frac{\partial^m}{\partial t} \\ \frac{\partial^m}{\partial t} & \frac{\partial^m}{\partial t} \end{pmatrix}$
\n $\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^m}{\partial t} & \frac{\partial^m}{\partial t} \\ \frac{\partial^m}{\partial t} & \frac{\partial^m}{\partial t} \end{pmatrix}$
\n $\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^m}{\partial t} & \frac$

Can interpret This 4-vector as a circularly polarized virtual photon. Now for muon part of diagram, Consider same spin states)

 $^{\mu}$ e + o^UMR is a Lorentz 4-vector. Under a rotation by θ_j it must transform int_0 $E\left(0,-\cos\theta,-i,sin\theta\right)$. Because it represents outgoing particles, we need to take complex conjugate (i.e. $f(j\rho$ roles of u and v); $[\bar{u}(\rho_{3})\gamma^{\nu}v(\rho_{4})]^{\pm}=\bar{v}(q_{4})v^{'}u(q_{3})$

 $M_{e^-_R e^+_L \to m^-_R m^+_L} \sim (0, -\omega_0 e^+_R)$ $+i$, $sin\theta$) · $(0, -1, -i, 0) = - (1 + cos\theta)$
 $-6i\theta$ by

The faction by
 $+6i\theta$ be by
 $+6i\theta$ be by
 $+6i\theta$ be by subscripts refer to physical belief $e_{R}^{-}e_{L}^{+}\rightarrow m_{R}^{-}m_{L}^{+}\sim$
subscripts refer to p
the that this vanishes

Note that this vanishes at θ = π . Forbidden by

 $\begin{picture}(180,10) \put(0,0){\line(1,0){15}} \put(10,0){\line(1,0){15}} \put(10,0){\line($ et $+\frac{1}{2}$ e^{2}
 $+\frac{1}{2}$ e^{2}
 $+\frac{1}{2}$
 $+2$
 S_2 = $+\pi$ S_2 = - k

Our $1+cos^{2}\theta$ in the spin-averaged metrix element 19 Lame from adding up 4 helicity amplitudes for the different nonvanishing spin configurations : Meq^+ = μ_R^2 = $-e^{\mu}(1+cos\theta)$ = $M_{LR\rightarrow LR}$ M_{RL} = LR = $M_{LR\rightarrow RL} = -e^{2\pi (1-c_{0s}\theta)}$ \Rightarrow \leq $|M|^{2}$ $>$ $=$ $\mu_{R=RL} = -e$ (1- $\cos \theta$)
 $\frac{1}{4}$ $\left[$ $M_{R_{L=RL}}\right]^{2}$ + $M_{R=RL}$)² + te spin-averaged metrix elem
up 4 helicity amplitudes for
y spin configurations.
-e² (1+cos 0) = $M_{LR}\rightarrow R$
==e² (1-cos 0) = $M_{LR}\rightarrow R$
Peneul²⁻1 Marial²⁺¹ Marial²⁻¹
ene are distinguishable final states
vec square a $|M_{RL3LR}|^2$ + $|M_{LR3RL}|^2$ these are distinguishable final states, so we square amplitudes before summing component is proportional -

= $e^{4}(1+cos^{2}\theta)$

See Peskin sec. 8.3 for a nice interpretation of the helicity amplitudes in terms of currents and polarizations.

.
If the muon were exactly massless, the helicity-violating amplitudes RL-LL, etc., are exactly zero. But with a finite m_{n} , the physical left-handed muon spinor contains both left-chiral and right-chiral spirors; from the Lagrangian term m_n M⁺M_R, we know that the opposite-chirality component is proportional to the fermion mass.

we can illustrate this as follows! M_{L} m m Mi
mponent is
re can ill
ment KE -7X e K in mass insection"; sometimes convenient to think MRK OF this as part of the Feynman diagram itself

 $= 7 \mathcal{M}_{RL \rightarrow LL} \sim (\frac{m_{c}}{E}) \mathcal{M}_{RL \rightarrow RL}$ $Explains$ factors of $\frac{m^{2}}{2}$ $\frac{1}{E^2}$ $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$ </m/2>

Keeping track of helicities and mass insertions is usually
\nmore convenient in 1-component notation, but there is a
\nnice trick in 4-component notation, but there is a
\nnice trick in 4-component notation, but thenates
\nthe calculator.
\nDefine
$$
Y^5 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 15^\circ & \text{is a relic from old relative, } \text{res}} \\ \text{which used hours indices, } \text{res} \text{)} \text{33,4} \text{)} \end{pmatrix}
$$

\nThe chirality problem operations are
\n $P_L = \frac{1 - Y^5}{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $P_R = \frac{1 + Y^5}{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, which is a left to
\n $\text{top } L$ and both $\text{in } L$ approaches of a spinor.
\nTo make a spinor right-chiral, there is a spinor.
\nTo make an unife the eight amplitude as
\n $\overline{Y} \text{ and } \overline{Y} \text{ and$

 $Weful\ \n $fact: Y^5$ ~arbsomutes with all Y^4 , so now
Y° and Y'' preserves all signs. Furthermore, P^{\geq} :$ r and r preserves all signs. Furthermore, PR²-PR (as appopriate
for a projection operator) so τ a projection operator) so
v⁺ P_R $Y^o Y^o P_R$ u = $V^+Y^o Y^A P_R^a$ u = $V^+Y^o Y^A P_R$ u = $V^+Y^o Y^C P_R$ u = $\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{R} u =$

-> can compute the sun over spins with $V^{\dagger}P_{R}V^{\circ}V^{\prime}P_{R}u = V^{\dagger}$
 $>$ Can compute the
 $\leq \sqrt{V_{s}}Y^{\infty}(\frac{1+Y^{\circ}}{L})u_{s}Y^{\infty}$ $\sum_{n,4} |\overline{V}_{s_{r}}^{\alpha}Y^{\alpha}(\frac{1+Y^{\beta}}{2})u_{s_{1}}^{\alpha}|^{2} = \sum_{r=1}^{n}(-1)^{r}s^{r}=-1,$ u_{5},u_{5} some additional trace identities involving ^V? additional trace identities involving V?
We will see these projectors much more when we Study the weak interaction, which is intrinsically chiral.