Pand CP violation

The weak interaction is special for two reasons?

· the interactions of the Wad 2 bosons treat (ert- and righthanded fermions differently, which violates parity symetry P.

. []

· the CKM matrix is complex instead of real, which violates a combination of Charge Conjugation symmetry and parity symmetry called CP.

We will first define how P and CP transformations act on fields, and then examine the phenomenological consequences of the violation of these symmetries by the weak interactions.

Parity transformations

As we briefly discussed many neeks ago,
$$P(t, \bar{x}) \rightarrow (t, -\bar{x})$$
 implements
spatial inversions and has a representation on 4-vectors as
 $P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & -1 \\ & & -1 \end{pmatrix}$. Note that this matrix has $det = -1$, so it is

Not an element of So(3, 1), but rather O(3, 1). Because of this, Lorentz-invariant equations of notion do not guarantee invariance under P. However, theories of Free bosonic fields (scalars and vector) are invariant under P (see Schwartz sec. 11.5). Since $P^2 = 1$, it has eigenvalues t1. Spin-O particles with P = t1 are called scalars, and those with P = -1are called pseudoscalars. For example, $P | TT^\circ \rangle = -1T^\circ \rangle$, so the pion is a pseudoscalar. If the Lagrangian of a theory is invariant under P, then parity is a multiplicative quantum number: the product of the parities of the initial states equals the product of the parities of the final states. (path is chosen to have P = +1, this fixes potote balars) Similarly to spin -1°. P $|V_0(t,\bar{x})\rangle = \pm |V_0(t,\bar{x})\rangle$, $P|V_1(t,\bar{x})\rangle = \pm |V_1(t,-\bar{x})\rangle$. The parity is determined by the eigenvalue of the spatial component: gauge fields must transform like ∂_{x_1} so $A_i = -A_i$ and A_i has P = -1. (spin-1 particles with P=+1 are called pseudovectors or axial vectors) 12 For fernions, we saw in our discussion of the Lorentz group that Pexchanges L and R spinors. In 4 component notation, P. Y-r Y°Y Therefore, we can compute (suppressing the spacetime against) $P: \overline{\Psi}\Psi \rightarrow \Psi^{+}\gamma^{\circ}\gamma^{\circ}\gamma^{\circ}\Psi = \overline{\Psi}\Psi$ $\rho : \overline{\psi} Y^{m} \psi \xrightarrow{-} \psi^{+} Y^{o} Y^{o} Y^{n} Y^{o} \psi = \overline{\psi}(Y^{m})^{+} \psi$ Since (Y')+=Y' and (Y')+=-Y', the time and space components of this Condination of spinors transforms just like a vector with P=-1. Therefore, P: TAY -> TAY since spatial components are (-D(-1)=+1 and Try An time components are (+1)(+1)=+1. However, inserting a YS charges the signs: p: VXY54 -> - VXY54. (for 2 couplings, turs term was what we called cA) A Lagrangian that mixes 8" with Y"Y'S (like the week interaction!) is not symmetric unler parity. Example: polarization in W decay et Pi W-> e⁺ve; fw Lawing constants: $M \propto \overline{u}(p_x) \gamma^{m}(\frac{1-\gamma^{2}}{2}) v(p_{1}) \epsilon_{\mu}(p_{W})$ Say wis initially at rest: pw=(mw, 0,0,0). Then 3 line-ly independent polarization vectors are $E_{x}^{x} = (0, 1, 0, 0), E_{y}^{y} = (0, 0, 1, 0), E_{m}^{z} = (0, 0, 0, 1).$ These Satisfy $e^{(i)} \cdot e^{(j)} = -\delta''$, $e^{(i)} \cdot \rho w = 0$.

Define z-axis as direction of outgoing neutrino. In limit of massless
neutrinos, neutrino is always (eff-backed: spin opposite direction of
rotion, and only top two corporats of Arconport spinor are nonzer.
For neutrino energy EV, U(p_1) =
$$\int \sum EV \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
 (recall there is a very back
typo in Schwatz eq. (11.76)! See errate on Schwatz book website)
The (1) in the upper two components spicifies spin down along z-axis.
Positron avers in -2 direction to conserve momentum. Positron spinors can be
 $V(R) = \begin{pmatrix} V_{R-RE} \\ 0 \\ -V_{ErrIve} \end{pmatrix}$ or V_{R}^{0}) = $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ V_{ErrFre} \\ -V_{ErrIve} \end{pmatrix}$. Recall V^{0} represents a spin-down positre
 $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -V_{ErrIve} \end{pmatrix}$ or V_{R}^{0}) = $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -V_{ErrIve} \end{pmatrix}$. Recall V^{0} represents a spin-down positre
 $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -V_{ErrIve} \end{pmatrix}$ or V_{R}^{0}) = $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -V_{ErrIve} \end{pmatrix}$. Recall V^{0} represents a spin-down positre
 $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -V_{ErrIve} \end{pmatrix}$ or V_{R}^{0}) = $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -V_{ErrIve} \end{pmatrix}$. V_{R}^{0} (10.00)
 $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -V_{ErrIve} \end{pmatrix}$ or V_{R}^{0}) = $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -V_{ErrIve} \end{pmatrix}$. V_{R}^{0} direction.
 $V = (10.001) Y^{1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
 $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -V_{ErrIve} \end{pmatrix}$ = $\int_{V} V_{ErrIve} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -V_{ErrIve} \end{pmatrix}$.
 V_{R}^{0} = V_{R}^{0} direction.
 $M_{R}^{1} = V_{R}^{0} \int_{V} V_{R}^{0} = \frac{1}{V_{R}^{0}} e_{R}^{0} (10.01) / \binom{10}{10} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}$
 V_{R}^{0}) = O .
Similarly, $M_{Y}^{1} = \sqrt{2E_{V}} \int_{EerFree} (-(0.1)\sigma_{1}^{2}(0.01) / \binom{10}{10} = \sqrt{2E_{V}} \int_{ErrIve} V_{R}^{0} (0.01) / \binom{10}{10} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}$
 $A_{R}^{1} = J_{R}^{0} \int_{V} V_{R}^{0} (10.01) / \binom{10}{10} = \sqrt{2E_{V}} \int_{ErrIve} V_{R}^{0} = O$.
Similarly, $M_{Y}^{1} = \sqrt{2E_{V}} \int_{EerFree} (-(0.1)\sigma_{1}^{2}(0.01) / \binom{10}{10} = O$.
 $I_{R}^{1} f_{R}^{1} + |A_{R}^{1}|^{1} \rangle \propto E_{V} (EerFree)$.
Entropretation: positron spin is an EPR-(1/ee measurement of W spin.
Along neutrino direction, W could have beed spin -1,010 or 1; ONLY spin -O
has a convanishing amplitude, consister with angular mervium conservation.
(Recall 6^{K} + i6^{K} have exponences 1 under J_{Z} , E^{K} has exponenter O)

Momentum conservation: $P_{2e} = -E_v$. To Find E_v , $P_w = P_i + P_2 = > (P_w - P_z)^{T} = P_i^{T}$, [4] $50 \ m_{w}^{2} - 2m_{w}E_{v} = me^{2}, \ and \ E_{v} = \frac{m_{w}^{2} - ne^{2}}{2m_{w}}. \ E_{e} = m_{w} - E_{v} = \frac{m_{w}^{2} + me^{2}}{2m_{w}}.$ $E_{v}(E_{e} + \beta_{2e}) = \left(\frac{m_{w}^{2} - ne^{2}}{2m_{w}}\right) \left(\frac{m_{w}^{2} + ne^{2}}{2m_{w}} - \frac{m_{w}^{2} - ne^{2}}{2m_{w}}\right) \approx \frac{m_{w}}{2} \frac{m_{e}^{2}}{2m_{w}}.$ Note that this varishes in limit me -> 0! IF we repeated the calculation for the other position spin, we would find $(|M^{t}|^{2}) \propto \frac{m_{w}}{2} \times O(1)$. So the relative probability of positron having spin aligned wildirection of motion is mut/met ~ 10^{10]} Could use this to give an unambiguous definition of "left." The met penalty is known as helicity suppression and we will see it again next lecture. (P transformations Another discrete symmetry operation is charge conjugation, deroted C. Roughly speaking, it takes a spin-up electron to a spin-down position: C: 4-3-1 x243 Under C, \$\$\$ -> \$\$\$ and \$\$\$\$ -> \$\$\$\$ (see Schwartz 11.4), so Free Dirac Lagrangian is invariant under charge congugation. For gauge interactions, ΨYmy → -ΨYmy, so if we define C. An → - An, then FDY is invariant. This is a bit weired since A is real, but note that C=1, so An is still an eigenstate of C, just with eigenvalue -1. We can also combine C and P to see under what conditions the SM Lagrangian is invariant under the combined transformation. Can show the following transformation properties unler CP; $\overline{\Psi}_{i} \times {}^{5} \Psi_{j} (t, \overline{x}) \rightarrow -\overline{\Psi}_{j} \times {}^{5} \Psi_{i} (t, -\overline{x})$ $\overline{\Psi}_{i} \Psi_{j}(t, \overline{x}) \longrightarrow + \overline{\Psi}_{j} \Psi_{i}(t, - \overline{x})$ $\overline{\Psi}_{i} \not A Y^{5} \Psi_{j}(t_{j} \vec{x}) \rightarrow \overline{\Psi}_{j} \not A Y^{5} \Psi_{i}(t_{j} - \vec{x})$ $\overline{\psi_{i}} \not \land \psi_{i}(t, \widehat{x}) \longrightarrow + \overline{\psi_{i}} \not \land \psi_{i}(t, -\widehat{x})$ where A = A, W, Z is any vector field. Consider the part of the SM Lagrangian containing the W! $\mathcal{A}_{W} = \frac{e}{\sqrt{2} \sin \Theta_{W}} \left[\overline{u}_{i} V_{ij} W^{\dagger} \left(\frac{1-\gamma^{5}}{2} \right) d_{j} + \overline{d}_{i} V_{ij}^{\dagger} W^{-} \left(\frac{1-\gamma^{5}}{2} \right) u_{j} \right]$ Under C, complex fields transform to their conjugates, so C takes Wt to W. By the above, all the fermions transform by changing order but not sign, so

<u>_5</u> $\mathcal{A}_{w} \xrightarrow{CP} \frac{e}{\sqrt{2} \sin \theta_{w}} \left[\overline{d}_{j} V_{ij} \mathcal{W}^{-} \left(\frac{1-Y^{5}}{2} \right) u_{j} + \overline{u}_{j} V_{ij}^{+} \mathcal{W}^{+} \left(\frac{1-Y^{5}}{2} \right) d_{j} \right]$ In metrix form, $\overline{u} V \left(\frac{-r^{s}}{L} \right) d \rightarrow \overline{u} \left(\frac{-r^{s}}{L} \right) d = \overline{u} V^{*} \left(\frac{-r^{s}}{L} \right) d.$ So if V = V°, i.e. if all CKM elements are real, (P is conserved. However, as discussed last week, V has one complex phase, which is known (as you now can see) as a CP-violating phase. This is not a basis-independent statement since we can always redefine the quark fields with phase rotations that leave the mass matrix invariant, but determinants are basis-independent; det $[Y_{u_1}, Y_{d_1}] = -\frac{16}{16} (m_t - m_c) (m_t - m_u) (m_c - m_u) (m_b - m_s) (m_b - m_d) (m_s - m_d) J_{1}$ where J is the Jarlskog invertent) = Sin O12 Sin O2, Sin O, (05 O12 COSO2, COSO, Sin J I varistes if and only if the CP-violating phase J=0. (P violation and KK mixing Let's look at some observable consequences of CP violation. The lightest mesons containing strange quarks are the neutral knows $K^{\circ} = \overline{5}d$ and $\overline{K}^{\circ} = \overline{d5}$. P is conserved in the strong interactions, so the parity of the kaon can be determined from its production: PIKO> = - IKO>, PIKO> = - IKO>. C exchanges particles and antipaticles. 50 (11K)=11K) and (11K)=11K) => the CP eigenstates are linear combinations: $K_{1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(K^{\circ} + \overline{K^{\circ}} \right), \quad K_{2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(K^{\circ} - \overline{K^{\circ}} \right)$ CP = -1 CP = +1The pions TP, TI have P=-1. So a neutral state with two pions (π°π°, or π+π) has CP=+1, and a state with three pions (π°π°π° or TIO TT TT has CP = - 1. If (P were conserved in the Standard Model, K, should never decay to TITI. Since Mr. = 998 Mer and $3m_{\pi} \approx 405$ MeV, there is a strong phase space suppression for the 3 Ti decay, as well as factors or I four the additional dip.

Therefore, K, has a much smiller decay width, and a longer cifetome, compared to K2 which can decay to 200 with no suppression. [6 Experimentally, there are two mass eigenstates, Ky and Ks ("long" and "short"), with TS = 0.845×10-103, TL = 5.116×10-85. To produce pure KL, just wait long crough. _____ [1] Only KL kr od π_{ρ} (all Ks have Whetever decayer) In 1964, it was found that Br(K, ->π+π-) 2 0.3%; this indicates CP violation! At a Ferrman diagram level, K_ => TI+T- must involve a weak interaction vertex: ~ Vus (assuming Vud ~ 1) However, Ky is a superposition of sd and ds, and trese states can mix: This product of CKM elements contains the CP-violating phase. For HW you will look at mixing in the BO BO system which contains b quets instead of s quets.

One final aside's CP violation is a necessary condition to generate be notter-antimatter asymmetry in the universe. However, the CP violation measured in these meson systems is not sufficient to generate the observed asymmetry! There must be additional sources of CP violation beyond the Standard Model.