

Relativity review

11

Units in this class are "natural units": $\hbar = c = 1$. In the SI system of units, there are three dimensional quantities (mass, length, time), but relativity mixes length and time, and QM mixes energy and time from $E = \hbar\omega$. So natural units make these conversions easy by having only one dimensional quantity, mass (or energy, by $E = mc^2$). Dimensions will be computed in powers of mass, and denoted $[...] = d$.

Ex. $[m] = 1$

$$[E] = [mc^2] = [m] = 1$$

$$[T] = \left[\frac{\hbar}{E} \right] = [E^{-1}] = -1$$

$$[L] = [cT] = [T] = -1$$

An example in practice:
 $\vec{F} = q(\vec{E} + \vec{v} \times \vec{B})$, $[q] = [cV] = 0$,
and $[F] = [ma] = \left[\frac{m v}{T} \right] = 2$, so
 $[\vec{E}] = [\vec{B}] = 2$.

Two useful conversion factors to get back to SI: $\hbar = 6.58 \times 10^{-22} \text{ MeV} \cdot \text{s}$
 $\hbar c = 197 \text{ MeV} \cdot \text{fm}$

Recall that Lorentz transformations are the set of linear coordinate transformations that leave the spacetime metric invariant. In this course, metric is $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \eta^{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1)$
So timelike 4-vectors have positive invariant mass.

A Lorentz "boost" along the z-axis by velocity $|\beta| < 1$ can be written as a matrix

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & 0 & 0 & \gamma\beta \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \gamma\beta & 0 & 0 & \gamma \end{pmatrix} \text{ where } \gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\beta^2}}$$

In this class, all transformations will be active, so acting on the 4-momentum of a particle at rest, $p^\mu = (m, 0, 0, 0)$, gives $p^\mu \rightarrow (\gamma m, 0, 0, \gamma\beta m)$. If $\beta > 0$, p^μ is boosted to have $p^3 > 0$.

We can extract a couple useful facts from this calculation. 2

- $E = \gamma m$, so to find the Lorentz factor for a massive particle, just divide its energy by its mass.
- $|\vec{p}| = \gamma \beta m$, so $\beta = \frac{|\vec{p}|}{E}$. In this course we will almost never care about β , and will use γ exclusively.

Recall $p^2 \equiv p \cdot p \equiv (p^0)^2 - (p^1)^2 - (p^2)^2 - (p^3)^2$ is invariant; same in any frame. Comparing rest-frame $p^\mu = (m, \vec{0})$ to some other frame $p^{\mu'} = (E, \vec{p})$ gives $\boxed{E^2 = |\vec{p}|^2 + m^2}$ which we will use all the time.

Massless particles (e.g. photons) are described by lightlike 4-vectors with $p^2 = 0$, thus $E = |\vec{p}|$ (and $\beta = 1$).

An easy way to immediately see that a quantity is Lorentz-invariant is to use index notation. A Lorentz transformation

Λ is a 4×4 matrix with entries Λ^μ_ν , $\mu, \nu = 0, 1, 2, 3$

Ex.
$$\begin{matrix} & \nu \\ \mu \backslash & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & \gamma & 0 & 0 & \gamma\beta \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 3 & \gamma\beta & 0 & 0 & \gamma \end{matrix}$$

μ labels row, ν labels column.

$\Lambda_3^0 = \Lambda_0^3 = \gamma\beta$, etc.

Greek indices run from 0 to 3,
Latin indices i, j, k , etc. run from 1 to 3

Contravariant vectors V^μ transform by matrix multiplication:

$$V^\mu \xrightarrow{\Lambda} \Lambda^\mu_\nu V^\nu \quad (\equiv \Lambda V, \text{ matrix multiplication is "northeast" contraction})$$

Note Einstein summation convention: sum over repeated upper/lower indices.

Covariant vectors W_μ transform with the transpose of Λ :

$$W_\nu \xrightarrow{\Lambda} W_\mu \Lambda^\mu_\nu \quad (\equiv W \cdot \Lambda^T, \text{ contract bottom matrix index = column})$$

Can raise and lower indices (i.e. convert covariant to contravariant) by using the metric: $V^\mu \equiv \eta^{\mu\nu} V_\nu$, $W_\mu \equiv \eta_{\mu\nu} W^\nu$. This is nice because we never have to keep track of transposes explicitly.

Lorentz transformations are defined to be those that

preserve the metric: $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \Lambda^\rho_\mu \Lambda^\sigma_\nu \eta_{\rho\sigma}$, or equivalently,

$$\eta^{\mu\nu} = \Lambda^\mu_\rho \Lambda^\nu_\sigma \eta^{\rho\sigma}$$

for the inverse metric.

If we want to use matrix notation:

$$\eta_{\mu\nu} = \Lambda^\rho_\mu \eta_{\rho\sigma} \Lambda^\sigma_\nu$$

Now flip ρ and μ to take a transpose:

$$\eta^\mu_\nu = (\Lambda^\mu_\rho)^T \eta^\rho_\sigma \Lambda^\sigma_\nu$$

So thinking of η as a diagonal matrix

$$\eta^\mu_\nu, \text{ we have } \boxed{\eta = \Lambda^T \eta \Lambda}$$

The metric preservation condition implies that any expression with no free indices is a Lorentz scalar, or invariant under Lorentz.

Example: $V_\mu W^\mu \equiv \eta_{\mu\nu} V^\mu W^\nu \equiv W_\nu V^\nu = V_\nu W^\nu$

Perform Lorentz transformation Λ on both V and W :

$$W_\nu V^\nu \rightarrow (W \Lambda^T) \eta (\Lambda V) = W (\Lambda^T \eta \Lambda) V = W \eta^{-1} V = W_\nu V^\nu$$

Transposes and inverses are related by the metric preservation eqn:

$$\Lambda^T \eta \Lambda = \eta \Rightarrow (\eta \Lambda^T \eta) \Lambda = \eta \eta = \mathbb{1}, \text{ so } \Lambda^{-1} = \eta \Lambda^T \eta$$

With indices, $(\Lambda^{-1})^\mu_\nu = \eta_{\alpha\nu} \eta^{\beta\mu} \Lambda^\alpha_\beta$, but by the index raising/lowering rules, the RHS gets the same symbol Λ^μ_ν , so we don't have to keep track of inverses either.

To be clear, this is just notational simplicity: if we wanted to evaluate components of the inverse transformation for our boost, we could do so explicitly: $(\Lambda^{-1})^0_3 = \eta_{\alpha 3} \eta^{\beta 0} \Lambda^\alpha_\beta = \eta_{33} \eta^{00} \Lambda^3_0 = -\gamma\beta$. But our notation means we don't have to keep track of Λ^μ_ν vs. Λ_μ^ν .

Check Lorentz invariance with index notation:

$$V^\mu W_\mu \rightarrow \Lambda^\mu_\nu V^\nu \Lambda^\rho_\mu W_\rho = (\Lambda^{-1})^\mu_\nu \Lambda^\rho_\mu V^\nu W_\rho = \delta^\rho_\nu V^\nu W_\rho = V^\rho W_\rho \checkmark$$

Tensors have more than one index: each upper index transforms with a factor of Λ , each lower index w/ Λ^T

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{e.g. } T_{\mu\nu} &\rightarrow \Lambda_{\mu}^{\alpha} \Lambda_{\nu}^{\beta} T_{\alpha\beta} \\
S^m_{\rho\sigma} &\rightarrow \Lambda^{\alpha}_{\rho} \Lambda^{\beta}_{\sigma} \Lambda^{\hat{m}}_{\gamma} S^{\gamma}_{\alpha\beta}
\end{aligned}$$

With index notation, we know that a quantity like $T_{\mu\nu} T^{\mu\nu}$ is invariant under Lorentz transformations just by looking at it.

One last piece of notation:

$\partial_{\mu} \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}} \equiv (\partial_0, \partial_1, \partial_2, \partial_3)$ is "naturally" a covariant vector,

while x^{μ} is "naturally" contravariant.

$\partial^{\hat{\mu}} \partial_{\mu} \equiv \eta^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} = (\partial_0)^2 - (\partial_1)^2 - (\partial_2)^2 - (\partial_3)^2$ is called the d'Alembertian and is often denoted \square .