Spontaneously broken gauge symmetries

Last week we saw an example of a spontaneously broken global symmetry. Goldstoni's theorem told us that for each generator of the broken symmetry, a messless particle exists in the spectrum. This week, we will investigate spontaneous breaking of gauge symmetries. The upshot: instead of getting new massless particles, the gauge bosons will become massive. ||____

There are lots of technical details involved in the group theory structure of the Standard Model, so we will warm up with a simpler example, a U(1) gauge theory. While this does not describe the Standard Model, it maps exactly on to the phenomenon of superconductivity, so it will be worth the effort.

Let's go back to the complex Scalar Lagrangian, but replace the ordinary derivative with a covariant derivative and add be kinetic term for a U(1) gauge field: $\int = (\partial_n p^* - ie A_n p^*) (\partial^* p + ie A^* p) + m^2 |p|^2 - \frac{\lambda}{4} |p|^4 - \frac{1}{4} E_n F^{nu}$ Pecall that the U(1) transformation is $p' = e^{-i\alpha Q_1} p$. The potential V(p) is the same regardless of whether this symmetry is global or gauged, so by our results from last week, the grand state is at $\langle p \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{2m^*} e^{i\theta}$. By performing a U(1) transformation, we can set $\theta = 0$, so $\langle p \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{2m^*} e^{i\psi}$ where V is the Vacuum expectation value, abbreviated "ver."

As lettery let's write
$$\emptyset = \frac{v + \sigma(y)}{\sqrt{2}} e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}W}$$
 and express the
lagrangian in terms of the cent fields σ and π .
 $\partial_{\mu} \emptyset = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \partial_{\mu} \pi & \frac{v + \sigma}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\partial_{\mu} \sigma}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix} e^{i\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}}}$
 $\partial_{\mu} \emptyset^{*} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \partial_{\mu} \pi & \frac{v + \sigma}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\partial_{\mu} \sigma}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix} e^{-i\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}}}$
Kinetic term: $\begin{bmatrix} -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \partial_{\mu} \pi & \frac{v + \sigma}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\partial_{\mu} \sigma}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix} e^{-i\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}}} \frac{v + \sigma}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \partial^{\pi} \pi & \frac{v + \sigma}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\partial^{2} \sigma}{\sqrt{2}} + ieA^{*} & \frac{v + \sigma}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix}$
(note exponentials cancel)
 $= \frac{i}{2} \partial_{\mu} \pi \partial^{\pi} \pi & \frac{(v + \sigma)^{*}}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{i}{2} \partial_{\mu} \sigma \partial^{*} \sigma + e^{*} & \frac{(v + \sigma)^{*}}{2} A_{\mu} A^{*}$
 $+ e & \frac{(v + \sigma)^{*}}{2v} \partial_{\mu} \pi A^{*}$

|2_

But since the U(1) symmetry is a local symmetry, we can apply
an appropriate gauge transformation to set
$$T(x) = O$$
 everywhere.
 $(T(x) \rightarrow T(x) - V \propto (x), \text{ just choose } \alpha(x) = T(x))$
This is known as unitary gauge. In this gauge, the & kinetic term is
 $\frac{1}{2}\partial_n \sigma \ \partial^n \sigma + \frac{1}{2}e^2v^2A_AA^a + e^2v \sigma A_AA^a + \frac{1}{2}e^2\sigma^2A_AA^a$
The gauge field
has acquired a moss!
 $M_A = ev$

We say the gauge field has "eater" the field TI to acquire a mass, and hence a physical longitudinal polarization. In spontaneouslybroken gauge theories, instead of a massless Goldstone boson, we get a mass term for the gauge field. Note that there are also σ -A interactions, but these are essentially the same as the Q-A interactions which come from the covariant derivative. Let's look at the rest of the Lagragian.

$$+m^{2}|\eta|^{2} = \frac{m}{2}(v+\sigma)^{2} = \frac{m^{2}}{2}v^{2} + \frac{m^{2}v\sigma}{2}v^{2} + \frac{m^{2}\sigma}{2}\sigma^{2}$$

$$= \frac{\lambda}{4}|\eta|^{4} = -\frac{\lambda}{16}(v+\sigma)^{4} = -\frac{\lambda}{16}v^{4} - \frac{\lambda v\sigma^{3}}{4} - \frac{3\lambda}{8}v^{2} - \frac{\lambda v^{3}\sigma}{4} - \frac{\lambda}{16}\sigma^{4}$$

$$Recall \quad v = \frac{\lambda m}{\sqrt{\lambda}}, so \quad m^{2}v = \frac{\lambda}{4}v^{3} = 3 \quad term \quad (lnear in \sigma cancels)$$

$$(as it must, since we defined β such that the minimum of the potential was at $\sigma = 0$)
$$= \lambda_{int} - m^{2}\sigma^{2} - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\lambda} m \sigma^{3} - \frac{1}{16}\lambda\sigma^{4} + e^{2}v\sigma A_{m}A^{4} + \frac{1}{2}e^{2}\sigma^{2}A_{m}A^{4}$$

$$Vaccum \quad Correct-sign \qquad interaction from every mass tern! \qquad new cubic \qquad kinetic tern \\ (dashed lines for scalars)$$

$$= -\lambda_{int}^{2} - \lambda_{int}^{2} - \frac{\lambda}{2}i\lambda^{2} \qquad 2ie^{2}v^{2} - \frac{\lambda}{2ie^{2}}v^{2}$$$$

[note: factor of N! for N idutical particles at each vertex, so this is why prefactors change] while we started from only a single interaction $\lambda |P|^4$, we get cubic and quartic interactions whose relative coefficients are predicted by the symmetry breaking. The mass term is also related to the coupling: $M_{\sigma} = 52 m$

So measuring the mass and the size of the cubic interaction predicts the size of the quartic interaction. This is a powerful Consistency check of the theory, and a smoking gun for a symmetry hidden in the Lagrangian. Let's do some example calculations to see how this would 4 work in practice. First, we need to revisit the propagator for a massive vector Field:

$$m = \frac{1}{p^2 - m_A^2} \left(- \eta^{m\nu} + \frac{p^2 p^{\nu}}{m_A^2} \right)$$

When we discussed the property, we didn't include this last term.
Because of gause symmetry, the propagator is gause-dependent,
but this arbitrary choice cancels out of physical observables.
However, in other gauses, the would-be Goldstone TT reappears,
So we will stick with unitary gauge for simplicity.
Polarization sums:
$$\Sigma = e^{-e^{ve}} = -m^{ve} + \frac{p^{-pv}}{m_{A}^{2}}$$
 (sum are spins gives proposator)
 p_{reso}
 $= ---- = \frac{i}{p^{*-m_{a}v}}$

Consider
$$\sigma \sigma \rightarrow AA$$
 at tree level. Three possible diagrams;
 P_1 , P_3 , P_1 , P_1 , P_2

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{M} &= 2 i e^{2} \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}}^{a}(\rho_{3}) \mathcal{E}^{a}(\rho_{4}) + \left(-\frac{3}{2} i \lambda v\right) \left(\frac{i}{(\rho_{1} + \rho_{2})^{4} - m_{0}^{4}}\right) (2ie^{2}v) \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}}^{a}(\rho_{3}) \mathcal{E}^{a}(\rho_{4}) \\ &+ (2ie^{2}v)^{2} \left(\frac{-i \eta^{-v}}{(\rho_{3} - \mu_{1})^{2} - m_{0}^{2}}\right) \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}}^{a}(\rho_{3}) \mathcal{E}_{v}^{a}(\rho_{4}) \\ \end{split}$$

$$where \quad m_{\sigma} = \sqrt{2} m, \quad v = \frac{2m}{\sqrt{3}}, \quad m_{A} = eV \end{split}$$

Note that despite appearances, when
$$f_{1}, p_{1} \ll m_{0}, m_{A}$$
 all diagrams since the same:

$$\frac{(\lambda v)(e^{v}v)}{m_{0}v} = \frac{2m^{2}e^{v}}{2m^{2}} = e^{v}, \quad \frac{(e^{v}v)^{v}}{m_{A}v} = e^{v}.$$
This is a consequence of the spontaneous symmetry breaking: the diagrams "know" about the original theory without the σ , where $pp = AA$ only depends on the gauge interaction and not the $\lambda |p|^{4}$ term.

The Higgs mechanism in the Standard Model

|5_

$$H \rightarrow \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 6 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}. \text{ Since } \mathcal{B} \text{ is Abelian, rewrite non-derivative term as}$$

$$-ig \left(W_{n}^{*} \tau^{n} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{g'}{g} \mathcal{B}_{n} \mathbf{1} \right) = -\frac{ig}{2} \left(W_{n}^{*} \sigma^{n} + \frac{g'}{g} \mathcal{B}_{n} \mathbf{1} \right)$$

$$Hermitian$$

$$= 2 \left| D_{n} H \right|^{n} = g^{2} \frac{v^{2}}{g} \left(0 + 1 \right) \left(\frac{g'}{g} \mathcal{B}_{n} + W_{n}^{3} - W_{n}^{2} - W_{n}^{2} \right)^{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$