Photon emission! et et - mt n V

We now consider an O(a) correction to the process we studied last week.

6



Assume
$$Q^2 = (p_1 + p_2)^2 \implies m_1^2$$
 so we can ignore m_e, m_e .
 $iM = i \frac{e^2}{Q^2} \overline{v}(p_2) \Upsilon^n u(p_1) \overline{u}(p_3) \left[\Upsilon_n \frac{-i(p_4 + p_1)}{(p_4 + p_1)^2} (-ie\Upsilon^n) + (-ie\Upsilon^n) \frac{i(p_3 + p_1)}{(p_3 + p_1)^2} \Upsilon_n \right] v(p_4) E_a^A(p_1)$
interval Ferrier propagators
defined with momentum along arrow, so need a minus sign here

Let
$$S^{mn} = -ie \left[Y^{n} \frac{i(P_3 + P_Y)}{(P_3 + P_Y)^2} Y_m - Y_m \frac{i(P_4 + P_Y)}{(P_4 + P_Y)^2} Y_m^n \right]$$
 (yes I know the index heights are wrong, but $S^{mn} \neq S^{mn}$, so this lets us keep track of order better)

$$\sigma_{\gamma} = \frac{1}{2Q^{2}} \left(\int T \left(\int A T \right)^{2} \right) = \frac{e}{2Q^{6}} L^{2} X_{nu}$$
Contains momentum-conserving

pols.

$$L^{nv} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{s_1, s_2} \overline{v}(\rho_2) Y^n u_{s_1}(\rho_1) \overline{u}_{s_1}(\rho_1) Y^v v_{s_2}(\rho_2) = \frac{1}{4} Tr(\rho_2 Y^n \rho_1 Y^v) = \rho_1^* \rho_2^v + \rho_1^v \rho_2^v - \frac{1}{4} Q^* q^{nv}$$

$$X_{nv} \text{ is right half, involving the photon:} \qquad (\overline{u} \Gamma v)^{\dagger} = \overline{v} \Gamma u \text{ were } \Gamma \text{ reverses order}$$

$$X_{nv} = \int d \Pi \sum_{s_3, s_4} (\overline{u}_{s_3}(\rho_3) \int^{nu} v_{s_4}(\rho_4) \overline{v}_{s_4}(\rho_4) \int^{sv} u_{s_3}(\rho_3) E^*_{\alpha}(\rho_4) \int^{sv} u_{s_3}(\rho_3) \int^{sv} u_{s_$$

Use
$$\sum_{\text{Pols.}} \mathcal{E}_{x}^{*}(q_{Y}) \mathcal{E}^{*}(q_{Y}) \rightarrow -\delta_{x}^{*}$$
, $X_{mv} = -\int dT Tr[p_{3}S^{ma}p_{4}S^{av}]$

Here, we are integrating over 3-body phase space,

$$dT_{13} = \frac{d^{3}_{13}}{(\pi \pi)^{3}} \frac{d^{3}_{1}\rho_{1}}{(\pi \pi)^{3}} \frac{1}{(\pi \pi$$

but a similar result holds with appropriate minus sizes for $Q \rightarrow \beta_{3}\tau \beta_{7}\tau \gamma$) => $\chi_{\gamma} + \chi_{1} + \chi_{2} = 2$, take $\chi_{\gamma} = 2 - \chi_{1} - \chi_{2}$ so χ_{1} and χ_{2} are independent. Limits of integration: $t = 2\beta_{3}\beta_{7} = 2\beta_{3}E_{\gamma}(1-\cos\theta_{3\gamma})$. $t_{nin} = 0$ when $E_{\gamma} = 0$; $t_{max} = 4E_{3}E_{\gamma}$ when $\cos\theta_{3\gamma} = -1$, $I \neq E_{4} = 0$, $E_{3} = E_{\gamma} = \frac{Q}{2}$, so $t_{max} = Q^{2}$ = $2\chi_{1,nin} = 0$, $\chi_{1,max} = 1$

$$\int d \, i \overline{l} = \frac{Q^2}{128 \pi^3} \int dx_1 \int_{1-x_1}^{l} dx_2$$

$$Tr \left[R_3 \int_{1-x_1}^{\infty} f(x) \int_{1-x_1}^{\infty} dx_2 \right] = \frac{8e^2 (x_1^2 + x_2^2)}{(1-x_1)(1-x_2)} \qquad (A HW)$$

$$Fris diverges (ogarithmically ($\int \frac{1}{x} dx) a + x_1, x_2 = 1.$$$

By the analysis above,
$$X_1 = 1$$
 corresponds to $\sum E_3 E_7 (1 - \cos \theta_{37}) = 0$.
This can happen either if $E_7 = 0$ (a soft singularity), or $\cos \theta_{37} = 0$
(a collinear singularity). This behavior is generic in RFT : massless
particles prefer to be emitted with low pressies and along the
directions of chorsed particles.
If we preter that the photon has a mass m_7 , and let $\beta = \frac{m^2}{R^2}$.
the limits of integration charge to $\int d7I = \int dx_1 \int_{-\infty}^{1-\infty} dx_2$ (xHw)
Doing the integral, $\int_{-\infty}^{1-\beta} dx_2 = \frac{x_1^2 + x_2^2}{(1-x_1)(1-x_2)} = \ln^2 \beta + 3\ln\beta - \frac{\pi^2}{3} + 6$
double
logarithmini
from x_1 and x_1

However, these singularities are not physical! It turns out they Cancel exactly against the interference terms From

The result is
$$G - \frac{\pi^2}{3} \longrightarrow \frac{3}{2}$$
 for the tinite pieces, so
 $\Gamma(\gamma^2 \to \mu^4 \mu^- Y) = \frac{e^2}{2a} \frac{a^2}{128\pi^3} \left(8 \times \frac{3}{2}\right) = \frac{3ae^2}{64\pi^3}$

What this result tells us is that ete = = m⁺m⁻ with an orbitarily low every photon, or one emitted along one of the much directions, is indistinguishable from just m⁺m⁻ in the filmal state. Charged particles are accompanied by clouds of photons.

More concrete interpretation: any real experiment will have
a finite every resolution Errs and angular resolution Gress. Instead of
Cutting off the integral with my, use Errs and Errs instead.
This is technically complicated, so we will just quote the answer:

$$\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow m^+\pi^-\gamma)|_{E_r \rightarrow Errs} = \sigma_{gr} e^+ \left(\ln \frac{1}{\Theta_{rs}} \left[\ln \left(\frac{\alpha}{2E_{rs}} - 1 \right) + ... \right) \right]$$

 $\theta_{rn} \rightarrow \theta_{rcs}$
Focus on $\ln \frac{\alpha}{2E_{rs}}$. If $\alpha \gg Errs$, Could le in a situation where
 $\ln \left(\frac{\alpha}{2E_{rs}} \right) \supset \frac{gr^+}{e^-}$, and perturbation theory breaks down.
Solution: consider $e^+e^- \rightarrow m^+\pi^- + NV$, and don't restrict to a
fixed number of photons. This is no layer at a Fixed order in
the coupling e, but corresponds before to the physical situation where
distinguishing $\sum v_s$. It's again with quarks and gluons in α col.

10

- · QFT gives infinities when you ask it dunb (unphysical) questions. By relating amplitudes to a physically measurable quantity, we always get finite results.
- · Singularities tend to appear beyond the lowest-order diagrams. Resolving them may require summing over several amplitudes convertly.
- · Not all loop diagrams suffer from this complication: electron magnetic moment is one example.