Photon emission: $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-} \gamma$

We now consider an $\theta(\alpha)$ correction to the process we studied last week.


Assume $Q^{2}=\left(p_{1}+p_{2}\right)^{2}>m_{\mu}^{2}$ so we can ignore $m_{e}, m_{\mu}$.

Let $S^{\mu \alpha}=-i e\left[r^{\alpha} \frac{i\left(f_{3}+p_{r}\right)}{\left(\rho_{3}+p_{r}\right)^{2}} \gamma_{\mu}-\gamma_{\mu} \frac{i\left(p_{4}+\rho_{r}\right)}{\left(\rho_{4}+p_{r}\right)^{2}} r^{\alpha}\right] \begin{gathered}\text { (yes I know the index heights are } \\ \text { wrong } \\ \text { un }\end{gathered}$ un kep track of ore better)
Cross section after avenging over initial and summing over final spins is

$$
\left.\sigma_{r}=\left.\frac{1}{2 a^{2}} \int d \pi\langle | \mu\right|^{2}\right\rangle=\frac{e^{4}}{2 a^{6}} L^{\mu v} X_{r v}
$$


$L^{\omega v}$ is left half of the diagram:

$$
L^{\mu \nu}=\frac{1}{4} \sum_{1_{1}, s_{2}} \bar{v}_{s_{2}}\left(p_{2}\right) \gamma^{\mu} u_{s_{1}}\left(p_{1}\right) \bar{u}_{s_{1}}\left(p_{1}\right) \gamma^{\nu} v_{s_{2}}\left(p_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{4} T r\left[l_{2} \gamma^{\mu} \rho_{1} \gamma^{\nu}\right]=p_{1}^{\mu} p_{2}^{v}+p_{1}^{v} p_{2}^{r}-\frac{1}{2} Q^{2} \eta^{\mu \nu}
$$

$X_{m s}$ is right half, involving the proton:

Use $\sum_{\text {pols. }} \epsilon_{\alpha}^{*}\left(p_{r}\right) \epsilon^{\prime \prime}\left(p_{r}\right) \rightarrow-\delta_{\alpha}^{0}: X_{\mu v}=-\int d \Pi \operatorname{Tr}\left[p_{3} S^{\mu \alpha} p_{4} S^{\alpha v}\right]$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\bar{u} \Gamma v)^{+}=\bar{v} \tilde{F}_{u} \text { where } \tilde{\Gamma} \text { revises order } \\
& \text { of } r \text { matrices : check hair } \\
& \text { using }\left(Y^{m}\right)^{4}=r^{0} \gamma^{n} \gamma^{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, we are integrating over 3-6ody phase space,

$$
d \Pi_{3}=\frac{d^{3} p_{3}}{(2 \pi)^{3}} \frac{d^{3} p_{4}}{(2 \pi)^{3}} \frac{d^{3} p_{r}}{(2 \pi)^{3}} \frac{1}{2 E_{3}} \frac{1}{2 E_{4}} \frac{1}{2 E_{r}}(2 \pi)^{4} \delta\left(Q-\rho_{3}-\rho_{4}-p_{r}\right)
$$

where $Q=p_{1}+p_{2}$
By he ward identity, we know $Q_{m} x^{m v}=0$. After $\int d \pi_{3}$, $X$ is a function of $Q$ on ${ }^{\prime}$, symmetric in $\mu \rightarrow v$ (because $L^{\mu \nu}$ is),
So $X_{\mu v}=\left(Q_{\mu} Q_{v}-Q^{2} \eta_{\mu v}\right) \times\left(Q^{2}\right)$


In this form, $\eta^{\mu} X_{\mu v}=\left(Q^{2}-4 a^{2}\right) \times\left(Q^{2}\right)$, so $X\left(Q^{2}\right)=-\frac{1}{3 Q^{2}} \eta^{\mu \nu} X_{r v}$
Plug in for $L^{\mu v}$ : $L^{\mu v} X_{n v}=\left(p_{1}^{m} \rho_{2}^{v}+p_{1}^{v} \rho_{2}^{m}-\frac{1}{2} Q^{2} \eta^{m v}\right)\left(Q_{m} Q_{v}-Q^{2} \eta_{\mu v}\right) \times\left(Q^{2}\right)$

$$
=\left(2\left(p_{1} \cdot Q\right)\left(p_{r} \cdot Q\right)-\frac{1}{2} Q^{4}-2 a^{2}\left(p_{1} \cdot p_{2}\right)+2 Q^{4}\right) \times\left(a^{2}\right)
$$

Now, $Q^{2}=\left(p_{1}+p_{2}\right)^{2}=2 p_{1} \cdot p_{2}$ (assuming, me $=0$ ), and similar,

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{1} \cdot Q & =p_{1} \cdot\left(P_{1}+P_{2}\right)=P_{1} \cdot P_{2}=\frac{Q^{2}}{2}=P_{2} \cdot Q \\
L^{\mu v} X_{\imath v} & =\left(2\left(\frac{Q^{2}}{2}\right)\left(\frac{Q^{2}}{2}\right)-\frac{1}{2} Q^{4}-Q^{4}+2 Q^{4}\right) \times\left(Q^{2}\right)=Q^{4} \times\left(Q^{2}\right)=-\frac{Q^{2}}{3} \eta^{\sim v} X_{\sim v} \\
\Rightarrow \sigma_{r} & =\frac{e^{4}}{2 a^{6}} L^{\mu} X_{\mu v}=-\frac{e^{4}}{6 Q^{4}} \eta^{\sim v} X_{\mu}
\end{aligned}
$$

Recall from last week that $\frac{d \sigma_{e^{2} c^{-}-\mu^{2} \mu-}}{d \theta}=\frac{e^{4}}{32 \pi Q^{2}}\left(1+\cos ^{2} \theta\right)$, so intcratiry, are $\theta$,

$$
\sigma_{0} \equiv \sigma_{e^{+} t^{-} \rightarrow \mu^{2} \mu^{-}}=\frac{e^{4}}{12 \pi Q^{2}} .
$$

Thug we can write $\sigma_{r}=\sigma_{0}\left(\frac{-2 \pi}{Q^{2}} \eta^{\mu v} X_{i v}\right)$.

There is a nice way to interpret his result. Lets write $\sigma_{r}=\frac{4 \pi \sigma_{0}}{Q} \times \frac{1}{2 Q} x^{\mu \nu}\left(-\eta_{m v}\right)$, where $Q=\sqrt{Q^{2}}$. The decay rate of a particle of mass $M$ is given by $\left.\Gamma=\left.\frac{1}{2 M} \int d \pi\langle | m\right|^{2}\right\rangle$. So we con interpret the rate for $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-} r$ as he product of the rate for $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow r^{\infty}$, a virtual photon of "mass" $Q$, times the decay rate of that photon, $r \rightarrow \mu t{ }^{\infty} r$, summed over polarizations of the find-stzte photon. This is a special case of the narrow-uidon approximation, which is a general statement about the factorization of Feynman diansons though an intermediate state. (More on this in HW 6!)
Let's parameterize the phase space of $V^{B} \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu r$ using Mandestom Variables as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S=\left(p_{3} r p_{4}\right)^{2} \equiv Q^{2}\left(1-x_{r}\right) \\
& t=\left(p_{3}+p_{r}\right)^{2} \equiv Q^{2}\left(1-x_{1}\right) \\
& u=\left(p_{4}+p_{r}\right)^{2} \equiv Q^{2}\left(1-x_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

From $H W$, $s+t+u=\sum m_{i}^{2} \approx Q^{2}$ (you derived it for $\rho_{1}+p_{2} \rightarrow \rho_{3}+p_{4}$, but a similar result holds with appropriate minus sims to $\left.Q \rightarrow \beta_{3}+p_{T}+r\right)$ $\Rightarrow x_{r}+x_{1}+x_{2}=2$, take $x_{r}=2-x_{1}-x_{2}$ so $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ are independent.
Limits of integration: $t=2 p_{3} \cdot p_{r}=2 E_{3} E_{r}\left(1-\cos \theta_{i r}\right) . \quad t_{r i n}=0$ when $E_{r}=0$;
$t_{\text {max }}=4 E_{3} E_{r}$ when $\cos \theta_{3 r}=-1$. If $E_{4}=0, E_{3}=E_{r}=\frac{Q}{2}$, so $t_{\text {max }}=Q^{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Rightarrow x_{1, \text { min }}=0, x_{1, \text { max }}=1 \\
& \int d \Pi=\frac{Q^{2}}{128 \pi^{3}} \int_{0}^{1} d x_{1} \int_{1-x_{1}}^{1} d x_{2} \\
& \operatorname{Tr}\left[\phi_{3} s^{m \times} l_{4} s^{\alpha v}\right] \eta_{\mu v}=\frac{8 e^{2}\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right)}{\left(1-x_{1}\right)\left(1-x_{2}\right)} \quad(\& H w)
\end{aligned}
$$

Trace triceri.
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This diverges logarithmically ( $\int \frac{1}{x} d x$ ) at $x_{1}, x_{2}=1$.

By the analysis above, $x_{1}=1$ corresponds to $2 E_{3} E_{r}\left(1-\cos \theta_{3 r}\right)=0$.
This can happen eitice if $E_{r}=0$ (a soft singularity), or $\cos \theta_{i r}=0$ (a collinear singularity). This behavior is generic in QF $T$ : massless particles prefer to be emitted with low energies and along te directions of charged particles.
If we pretend that the photon has a mass $n_{r}$, ard let $\beta=\frac{M_{r}{ }^{2}}{Q^{2}}$, the limits of integration charge to $\int d \pi=\int_{0}^{1-\beta} d x_{1} \int_{1-x_{1}-\beta}^{1-\frac{B}{1-x_{1}} d x_{2} \quad(* H W) ~ Q^{2}}$ Doing Re integral, $\int_{0}^{1-\beta} d x_{1} \int_{1-x_{1}-\beta}^{1-\frac{\beta}{1-x_{1}} d x_{2}} \frac{x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}}{\left(1-x_{1}\right)\left(1-x_{2}\right)}=\frac{\ln ^{2} \beta+3 \ln \beta-\frac{\pi^{2}}{3}+6.6 \text { double }}{}$
double
logwinni
from $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$
However, these sinqularitte) are not physical! It twas out they cancel exactly against the interferace terms from
$i \mu=$


The result is $6-\frac{\pi^{2}}{3} \rightarrow \frac{3}{2}$ for the finite pieces, so

$$
\Gamma\left(\gamma^{\prime} \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-} \gamma\right)=\frac{e^{2}}{2 Q} \frac{Q^{2}}{128 \pi^{3}}\left(8 \times \frac{3}{2}\right)=\frac{3 a e^{2}}{64 \pi^{3}}
$$

$\sigma_{\gamma}=\frac{4 \pi \sigma_{0}}{a}\left(\frac{3 a e^{2}}{64 \pi^{3}}\right)=\sigma_{0}\left(\frac{3 e^{2}}{16 \pi^{2}}\right) \simeq$ quantum correction to $\mu^{2} \mu^{-}$
What this result fells us is that $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$with an arbitrarily low enerny photon, or one emitted along we of the muon directions, is indistinguishable from just $\mu^{*} \mu^{\prime}$ in the final state. Changed particles are accompanied by clouds of photons.

More concrete interpretation: an real experiment will have a finite every resolution Eves and angular collation $\theta_{\text {res }}$. Instal of Cutting off the integral with $m_{r}$, use $E_{\text {res }}$ and $\epsilon_{\text {res }}$ instead.
This is technically complicated, so me will just quote the ansueri:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left.\left.\sigma\left(e^{+} r^{-} \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-} \gamma\right)\right|_{E_{r}>E_{r s}}=\sigma_{0} \frac{e^{2}}{8 \pi^{2}}\left(\ln \frac{1}{\theta_{r s}}\left[\ln \left(\frac{Q}{2 E_{r r_{s}}}-1\right)+\ldots\right]+\cdots\right)\right) \\
\theta_{r \mu}>\theta_{r s}
\end{gathered}
$$

 $\ln \left(\frac{Q}{2 E \text { es s }}\right)>\frac{8 \pi^{2}}{e^{2}}$, and perturbation theory breeks down.
Solution: Consider $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-}+N V$, and doit restrict to a fixed number of photons. This is no loner at a fixed order in the coupling $e$, but corresponds better to the physical situation where distinguishing 2 va. 3 vs. 4 very Gow-ereng photons isnit possible in practice. Will sue this again with quarks ard gluons in QCD!

Lessons from this week:

- QFT gives infinities when you ask it dumb (unphysical) questions. By relating amplitudes to a physically measurable quantity, we alums get finite results.
- Singularities tend to appear beyond the lowestoder diagrams. Resolving dem may require summing over several amplitudes conereaty.
- Not all loop diagrams suffer from this complication. plectron magnetic moment is one example.

