Let's so all the way back to be QCD Lagrangian, considering only the
two lightest quarks. I graving QED and setting the quark masses to zero,

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} G_{n}^{\alpha} G^{\alpha \alpha} + i u_{k}^{+} \overline{\sigma}^{+} D_{n} u_{k}^{+} i u_{k}^{+} \overline{\sigma}^{-} D_{n} u_{k}^{-} i u_{k}^{+} \overline{\sigma}^{-} u_{k}^{-} u$$

First, let's parameterize the symmetry breaking. After the
phase transition, the degrees of freedom are no larger quarks and
gluons, but scalar mesons. Let's package them into a scalar field
$$\leq$$
,
which we declare to transform as $2(k) \rightarrow g_{L} \geq k \cdot g_{R}^{+}$. Litewise,
 $2^{+} \rightarrow g_{R} \geq^{+}g_{L}^{+}$. \geq is a 2×2 complex matrix, and the transformation
rule is just ordinary matrix multiplication.
To see how to arrange for chiral symmetry breaking let's first
consider a simpler toy example with a complex Scalar of which
is just a number, not a matrix. Consider the following Lagransian:
 $L = \partial_{\mu} \beta^{*} \partial^{+} d + m^{*} \beta^{*} \beta - \frac{1}{4} (\beta^{*} \beta)^{*}$
This looks just lite the scalar Lagrangian we considered much earlier
in the course, but the mass term has the wrong sign! IF
we write $A = T - V$, the quadratic and quartic terms are like
a potential energy, which we can plot as a function of $Re(\rho)$
and $Im(\rho)$:

(I'm bud at 30 renderings.) What this is meant to show is that $\varphi = 0$ is an unstable maximum of the potential. All Fearman diagrams we have computed thus far are an expansion around zero field values, so to Fix this, we need to find the true minimum of the potential, which will describe the ground state of the theory. But which ground state? The potential is a Function only of 101: $V(x) = -m^2 x^2 + \frac{1}{4} x^4$, where $x = |\phi|$. Find minimum by V'=0, V''>0. $V'(x) = -2mx + \lambda x^3 = x(-2m^2 + \lambda x^2)$, x = 0 is unstable maximum, so Solve $-2m^{+}+\lambda x_{0}^{+}=0 \implies x_{0}=\int \frac{2m^{+}}{\lambda}$ (take positive value since $|\ell| > 0$). Check: $V''(x) = -2m^2 + 3\lambda x^2$, $V''(x_0) = -2m^2 + 3\lambda (\frac{2m^2}{\lambda}) = 4m^2 > 0$ (as long as 2>0 so xo is real) Conclusion: there is a continuous family of minima, $\varphi = \sqrt{\frac{2m}{\lambda}} e^{i\theta}$, parameterized by θ . The theory has to pick one: by selecting a particular value of the angle along the circle, we are spontaneously breaking the U(1) rotation symmetry of the Lagrangian. Without loss of generality, define of such that the minimum is at 0=0, and reunite & as $\mathcal{P}(x) = (x_0 + \sigma(x))e^{\frac{1}{T}(x)}$, where $\sigma(x)$ and $\pi(x)$ are real. In other nods, we are just writing \$= reto in polar coordinates, and shifting the radial coordinate such that the ground state (on Figuration has or (x1 = Ti(x) = 0. By rewriting the Lagrangian in ferms of or and TI, we can go back to using Feynman rules and forget about any complications from the wrong-sign Mass term. We will see this again next lecture.

Back to SU(2) × SU(2) R. It should be plausible that we Can arrange for a spontaneous breaking of this symmetry by generalizing the previous Lagrangian to matrices:

 $\int = Tr(\partial_n z^+ \partial^n z) + m^2 Tr(z^+ z) - \frac{\lambda}{4} (Tr(z^+ z))^2$ $(an show (*Hw) that this Lagrangian is invariant under SU(z)_L × SU(y)_R,$

but the ground state is
$$Z_0 = \frac{V}{V_{\infty}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
 with $V = \frac{2\pi}{V_{\infty}}$.
This ground state is not invariant under the Full symmetry, since
 $g_{1} Z_0 g_{n}^{+} = \frac{V}{V_{\infty}} g_{1} g_{n}^{+}$, but it is invariant if we take $g_{1} = g_{n}$,
since $g_{1} and g_{n}$ are unitary matrices. Thus, this Lagragian spontaneously
breaks $SU(2)_{12} \times SU(2)_{n}$ to be subgroup $SU(2)_{12}$ with $g_{1} = g_{n}$, as desired.
As before, we can rewrite Z in "polar coordinats":
 $Z(x) = \frac{V + \sigma(x)}{V_{\infty}} \exp\left(2i\frac{\pi^{n}(x)T^{n}}{V}\right)$, where $\sigma(x)$ and $\pi^{n}(x)$ are real scalars,
and $T^{n} = \frac{\sigma}{T}$. This reduces to Z_0 when $\sigma = \pi = 0$, but it is not the
most general 2×2 complex matrix. Instead, we want Z to
parameterize the space of possible vacua, which is $\frac{V}{V_{\infty}} g_{1}g_{n}^{+}$, i.e. a
real constant times on $SU(2)$ matrix. We will actually go one step
further: we will decouple σ by taking $m = 3\infty$, $\lambda = \infty$ with V fixed.
This means it costs infinite potential energy to change σ_{7} so it is
"primed" at a constant value. The remaining degrees of Freedom Can be
written as $U(x) = \frac{sV}{V_{\infty}} Z(x) = \exp\left(2i\frac{\pi^{n}(y)T^{n}}{F_{m}}\right)$. This is a writing metrix,
satisfying $U^{+}U^{-}H$, and transforming as $U = g_{12}U_{2n}^{+}$. Fin is a
constant with dimensions of mass. In this normalization, $\langle U \rangle = 4$ which
is invariant under $g_{1} = g_{n}$, so U parameterizes the $SU(2)_{12} \times SU(2)_{12}$
breaking while throwing any all the information we due to know alignet
(after all, we have no idea where the Lagragian we started with
resumbles the GCD Lagragian at Gow energies)

$$\begin{aligned} & Upshot: we want to write the most general Lagrangian for U, invariant \\ & under SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R. \quad U^+ U = I, a constant term, so this won't catribute \\ to the equations of motion: we need derivatives. Loretz invariance requires \\ & at least two derivatives, and must have on equal number of U and Ut: \\ & \mathcal{L} = \frac{F_{\Pi}}{4} \operatorname{Tr}(\partial_{\pi} U \partial^{\pi} U^{+}) + O(\partial^{4}) \qquad this is the Chiral Lagrangian \\ & to lowest ader in derivatives \end{aligned}$$

That was a lot of formelism: now to physics.
Let
$$\pi^{\circ} = \pi^{3}$$
, $\pi^{\pm} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\pi' \pm i \pi^{2}) (\pi^{\circ} is real, \pi^{\pm} and \pi^{-} are co-plex conjugates)$
 $\mathcal{U} = \exp\left[\frac{i}{F_{\pi}} \begin{pmatrix} \pi^{\circ} & \sqrt{2} & \pi^{-} \\ \sqrt{2} & \pi^{\pm} & -\pi^{\circ} \end{pmatrix}\right]$

We will interpret the Chiral Lagrangian as a theory of pions. Note that there are no quarks or gluons anywhere to be found! This is the "charge of variables" that lets us understand QCD when g gets large.

There are 3 (almost) massless pias. Every tern has derivatives, so there is no term (ike $m^2 \pi^2$. This is an example of Goldstone's Theorem'. a spontaneously broken continuous global symmetry implies massless particles. We will explain the nonzero observed pion masses shorty, but already this notivates why $m_{\pi} = 130$ MeV \ll Mp = 1 GeV. pions are Goldstone bosons of the spontaneously broken Chiral symmetry of massless QCD. It also explains why there are 3 pions, corresponding to the 3 generators of the broken SU(2).

Pion interactions are highly constrained. The Lagrangian is an infinite series in powers of π . The coefficient $\frac{F_{\pi}^{+}}{9}$ ensures the usual normalization for scalar kinetic terms: $\frac{F_{\pi}^{+}}{4} \operatorname{Tr} (\partial_{\mu} \mathcal{U} \partial^{m} \mathcal{U}^{+}) = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\mu} \pi^{o}) (\partial^{\pi} \pi^{o}) + \partial_{\mu} \pi^{*} \partial^{\pi} \pi^{-} + \dots (BHw)$ But there is also an infinite series of two-derivative interactions:

$$\frac{1}{F_{\pi}}\left(-\frac{1}{3}\pi^{\circ}\pi^{\circ}\partial_{m}\pi^{\dagger}\partial^{m}\pi^{-}+\cdots\right)+\frac{1}{F_{\pi}^{4}}\left(\frac{1}{18}\left(\pi^{\dagger}\pi^{-}\right)^{2}\partial_{n}\pi^{\circ}\pi^{\circ}\cdots\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{F_{\pi}^{6}}\right)$$

All of tress coefficients are completely fixed in terms of one parameter F_{π} . We will show in a comple weeks how to determine F_{π} from the π^+ lifetime. This means that $\sigma(\pi^+\pi^- \supset \pi^\circ\pi^\circ)$ is completely determined once the π^+ lifetime is measured, (HW) π^- Note that there are no odd powers of π : no 3-point vertex π° even though this is Lorentz invariant, conserves charge, etc. . The pion mass is proportional to square roots of the quark masses. We can introduce up and down quark masses as $\mathcal{L}_{m} = \overline{q} \mathcal{M}_{q}$ with $\mathcal{M} = \begin{pmatrix} m \\ m \end{pmatrix}$ and $q = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ d \end{pmatrix}$. Clearly, this term breaks chiral symmetry, but we can still use it to write a chirallyinvariant Lagrangian by letting M be a constant field with the Same transformation properties as U. M-> g_Mg_R. $=> L_{m}^{\prime} = \frac{V^{3}}{2} T_{r} (M^{+} U + M U^{+})$ $= \sqrt{3}(m_{u}+m_{d}) - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{F_{T}^{2}}(m_{u}+m_{d})\left(\frac{1}{2}(\pi^{0})^{L} + \pi^{L}\pi^{-}\right) + O(\pi^{3})$ (end Scalar Conflex mess Scalar mess The coefficient V' is fixed by <uu> = <dd>= v, so the vacuum everyies in Im and I'm are equal. We then have $m_{\pi^0} = m_{\pi^{\pm}} = \frac{V^3}{F_{\pi^{\pm}}} (m_{\pi^{\pm}} + m_{\pi^{\pm}})$. So approximate equality of charged and neutral pion masses is not a result of m=my, but rather Mut md << V. Lattice QCD calculations Confirm this relationship · We can generalize SU(2) > SU(3) to include the strange quark, but at the cost of some accuracy since my is of the same order as V. But we expect 8 light resons, which we identify as Π°, Π[±], K°, K°, K[±], and η, whose interactions are constrained by approximate SU(3) Flavor symmetry.

[1]

The chiral Lagrangian is an example of an effective field theory, containing trong of dimension 6 and higher. We will see more examples like this in the last weeks of the course