Delayed "Choice" Quantum Eraser

Yoon-Ho Kim, Rong Yu, Sergei P. Kulik, Yanhua Shih, and Marlan O. Scully Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 1 – Published 3 January 2000

Team 8

Discovery of Double-Slit Interference



source: https://www.testandmeasurementtips.com/thomas-young-and-the-double-slit-experiment/

- An interference pattern arises when photons pass through two parallel slits.
- Originally carried out by Thomas Young in 1801.
- Any attempt to figure out which slit the photon went through destroys the interference pattern.
 - Example: By having a detector either before or after the slits.

"Which Way" Experiment to Determine Photon Path



source: https://www.testandmeasurementtips.com/thomas-young-and-the-double-slit-experiment/

- Coherence of the waves allows for the interference pattern to be observed.
- "which way" experiment is a modification of the double-slit experiment used to determine which slit the photon passes through.
- A test that is performed after the photons have passed through the slits is known as a **delayed choice** experiment.



A. Peruzzo et al., A Quantum Delayed-Choice Experiment (Science, 2012).







Wheeler's Delayed "Choice" Quantum Eraser

- Having both beam splitters constitutes a "quantum eraser".
- Having single beam splitter constitutes a "which way".
- Ensure a spacelike separation between the two beam splitters.
- Particle cannot know in advance which experiment is performed.
- Allows us to combine the quantum eraser with a delayed choice.

Experimental Setup



Full apparatus used in the experiment



Effect of Path Information on Detector Screen Distribution



Interference Disappears when Considered Collectively



The sum of the interference patterns produces a distribution similar to that of the photons whose which-path information was known

Conclusion

The study concluded that:

- Particlelike and wavelike behaviors of a photon can be determined via quantum entanglement.
- The which-path or both-path information of a quantum can be erased or marked by its entangled twin even after the registration of the quantum itself.

Critique

- Paper lacks information about experimental errors and uncertainties.
- For example, resolution of the step motor (determines photon location along x axis) is not provided.



Source: scopus



Total citations = 336 (scopus)

Number of citations in recent years shows continued interest in related topics.



85 citations in this date range

20

15

10

5

Citations

Source: scopus

Influence of the paper

- Sparked debate about the interpretation of data
- Retrocausality
- Popular interpretations: photon travels through both the slits
 - Pilot wave
 - Many-worlds
 - Spontaneous-collapse

Source: What Is Real?: The Unfinished Quest for the Meaning of Quantum Physics by Adam Becker

Controlled addition of the second beam splitter using parameter α .



Peruzzo, Alberto; Shadbolt, Peter J.; Brunner, Nicolas; Popescu, Sandu; O'Brien, Jeremy L. (2012). "A quantum delayed choice experiment". *Science*. **338** (6107): 634–637

Experimental setup where H \rightarrow hadamard, CH \rightarrow controlled hadamard.



Peruzzo, Alberto; Shadbolt, Peter J.; Brunner, Nicolas; Popescu, Sandu; O'Brien, Jeremy L. (2012). "A quantum delayed choice experiment". *Science*. **338** (6107): 634–637



Peruzzo, Alberto; Shadbolt, Peter J.; Brunner, Nicolas; Popescu, Sandu; O'Brien, Jeremy L. (2012). "A quantum delayed choice experiment". *Science*. **338** (6107): 634–637

- Delayed choice of Wheeler's proposal is replaced by a quantum controlled beam-splitter followed by a Bell inequality test.
- Continuous tuning between particle and wave measurements
- Maximal violation of Bell inequality
- Photon could not have known in advance whether to behave as a wave or a particle.

Peruzzo, Alberto; Shadbolt, Peter J.; Brunner, Nicolas; Popescu, Sandu; O'Brien, Jeremy L. (2012). "A quantum delayed choice experiment". *Science*. **338** (6107): 634–637

Summary

- Wheeler's thought experiment to determine whether photons somehow know the information about the experimental apparatus continues to provoke interpretations.
- Sean Carroll in his blog calls it "The Notorious Delayed-Choice Quantum Eraser."
- Experimentally, using entangled photons to show the behaviour was the key achievement of Kim et al. (2000).