Problem Sheet 2-Phys 598-Fall 2018

Department of Physics, UIUC

## Problem Sheet 2

## 1. Pair-breaking in the Cooper problem.\*

- (a) Consider a system of N-2 particles in equilibrium at T=0 in a Zeeman field  $\mathcal{H}$ , so that the energy of a particle with momentum  $\mathbf{k}$  and spin  $\sigma = \pm 1$  is  $\hbar^2 \mathbf{k}^2 / 2m \mp \mu_B \sigma \mathcal{H}$ . Repeat the Cooper calculation for two 'added' particles with the 'BCS' form of interaction  $(V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'} = -V_0)$  if  $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}, \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}'} < \epsilon_c$ , 0 otherwise), and find the condition for a bound state to exist, if the spin state of the added pair is a singlet; express this condition in terms of the original  $(\mathcal{H} = 0)$  bound state energy.
- (b) If we assume instead that the spin state is a triplet (e.g.  $\uparrow\uparrow$ ), can a bound state exist (i) for the BCS form of  $V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}$  (ii) for a more general form? (You are not required to find its energy.)
- (c) Returning to the original ( $\mathcal{H}=0$ ) Cooper problem, suppose that we require the added (singlet) pair to have finite com momentum  $\hbar \mathbf{K}$ . What is the maximum value of  $\mathbf{K}$  for which a bound state exists? (assume  $|\mathbf{K}| \ll \epsilon_c/\hbar v_F$ .)
- (d) Consider a metal containing a nonzero concentration of (nonmagnetic) impurities ('alloyed'). The single-particle eigenstates are still eigenstates of  $\sigma$ ; they are no longer eigenstates of  $\mathbf{k}$ , but any state  $|n,\uparrow\rangle$  will still have a 'time-reversed' partner  $|\bar{n},\downarrow\rangle$  which is degenerate with it  $(\epsilon_{\bar{n}\downarrow}=\epsilon_{n\uparrow})$ . Thus, the natural ansatz is to pair  $|n,\uparrow\rangle$  with  $|\bar{n},\downarrow\rangle$ . Assuming that the matrix element for scattering  $(n\uparrow,\bar{n}\downarrow)\to (n'\uparrow,\bar{n}'\downarrow)$  still has the BCS form (i.e. constant for  $|\epsilon_n|,|\epsilon_{n'}|<\epsilon_c$ , zero otherwise), repeat the Cooper calculation and find the bound state energy in terms of  $V_0$ ,  $\epsilon_c$  and single-particle DoS  $N(0) \equiv \sum_n \delta(\epsilon \epsilon_n)$ . If we assume that the last quantity is not appreciably affected by alloying, what inference might we reasonably draw about the effect of nonmagnetic impurities on (BCS) superconductivity?

<sup>\*</sup>Assume throughout this problem that the cut off energy  $\epsilon_c$  is much larger than both the Zeeman splitting and the  $\mathcal{H}=0$  bound-state energy. You may assume the result that the average over the unit sphere of  $|\ell n||\cos\theta||$  is 1.

(e)  $^{\dagger}$  (Optional, for bonus points): Suppose that  $\mathcal{H}$  is a little above the threshold field calculated in part (a). Is it possible, nevertheless, to form a bound pair by giving it finite linear COM momentum  $\mathbf{K}$ ? If so, what is (approximately) the best choice of  $|\mathbf{K}|$ ? Does the direction matter? What is the spin state of the pair?

## 2. Off-diagonal long-range order.

Consider the quantity

$$K_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}(\mathbf{r}_1\mathbf{r}_2\mathbf{r}_3\mathbf{r}_4) \equiv \langle \psi_{\alpha}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}_1)\psi_{\beta}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}_2)\psi_{\gamma}(\mathbf{r}_3)\psi_{\delta}(\mathbf{r}_4) \rangle$$

- (a) Find an expression for K for a noninteracting Fermi gas in thermal equilibrium at a temperature  $\ll T_{\rm F}$ , and in particular give an argument\* to suggest that it vanishes in the limit  $|\mathbf{r}_1 \mathbf{r}_2|$ ,  $|\mathbf{r}_3 \mathbf{r}_4|$  finite,  $R \equiv \frac{1}{2} |(\mathbf{r}_1 + \mathbf{r}_2) (\mathbf{r}_3 + \mathbf{r}_4)| \to \infty$
- (b) Evaluate the expression explicitly for  $T = 0, \mathbf{r}_1 = \mathbf{r}_2, \mathbf{r}_3 = \mathbf{r}_4$ , and estimate how fast it vanishes as a function of R.
- (c) Now consider a BCS superconductor at T=0. Show that there is now an extra term in K which is finite in the limit of part (a), for some choices of  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ ,  $\delta$  (which ones?).
- (d) Estimate the order of magnitude of the fluctuations in the total particle number N which result from the use of the BCS ground state wave function.

[Note: Part (d) is only loosely connected to the rest of the question.]

## 3. Coherence factors etc.

For some purposes, e.g. the calculation of spin diffusion, it is necessary to consider the spin current operator  $\mathbf{J}_{\mathrm{spin}}^{(\alpha)}(\mathbf{r},t)$  which is defined (provided the potential is spin-independent) by the continuity equation

$$\frac{\partial S_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r},t)}{\partial t} + \operatorname{div} \mathbf{J}_{\mathrm{spin}}^{(\alpha)}(\mathbf{r},t) = 0$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>In this part you may find the following result useful: The quantity  $-\int \frac{d\Omega}{4\pi} \ln|1-\alpha\cos\theta|$ , regarded as a function of (positive real)  $\alpha$ , has a maximum at  $\alpha=1$  equal to  $1-\ln 2$ 

<sup>\*</sup>You may assume the result that the Fourier transform of a smooth function tends to zero for sufficiently large values of its argument

where  $S_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r},t)$  is the density of the  $\alpha$ -th component of spin.

(a) Write down the expression for the spatial Fourier transform of  $\mathbf{J}_{\mathrm{spin}}^{(\alpha)}(\mathbf{r},t)$  in second- quantized form (i.e., in terms of the operators  $a_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}^{\dagger}$ ,  $a_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}$ ), and show that it satisfies a sum rule similar to the f-sum rule (again assume spin-independence of the potential).

Consider now a BCS superconductor at T=0:

- (b) Can the flow of the condensate give rise to a finite contribution to  $\mathbf{J}_{\text{spin}}^{(\alpha)}$ ? Why (not)?
- (c) \* Find an expression for the (Fourier-transformed) response function of  $\mathbf{J}_{\rm spin}^{(\alpha)}$  in terms of the energy gap and the normal-state energies. (Hint: use the Bogoliubov transformation), and evaluate it in the limit  $\omega = \mathbf{k} = 0$ .
- (d) Discuss qualitatively the behavior of the 'longitudinal' and 'transverse' spin current correlation function in the  $T \to 0$ , static, long-wavelength limit, and compare with that of the (electric) current correlation function. What is the fundamental reason for the differences?

[In parts (c-d), you are recommended to choose your spin axes so that  $\alpha$  corresponds to z.]

Solutions to be put in 598SC homework box (2nd floor Loomis) by 1 p.m. on Mon. 1 Oct.

<sup>\*</sup>Before attempting this part of the question you may find it helpful to read the discussion of coherence factors in lecture 8 (or Tinkham section 3.9)