Lecture 4: The alkalis

Readings: Foot Chapter 4

<u>Last time</u>: charge screening and energy structure in helium spin configurations in multi-electron atoms

<u>This time</u>: back to ``single''-electron atoms w/ the alkalis

- unpaired valence electrons
- due to screening from filled inner sub-shell structure, the relevant low-energy (eV-scale) excitations will all be of the valence electron

The alkalis



The alkalis

quantum degeneracy

~ add one electron to the inert gases (He, Ne, Ar, Kr, ...)

laser-cooling / trapping



How do the electron's fill up?





We saw that electron screening played a big role for He

Big jump in screening effects as you move up in n or l (big shift in distance of "next" electron from the nucleus)

How do the electron's fill up?





How do the electron's fill up?



How do the electron's fill up?





How do the electron's fill up?





How do the electron's fill up?





How do the electron's fill up?

1s²2s 1s²2s²2p⁶3s



Na 22.920 potassium 19 K 39.098 nutidum 37 Rb 55 Cs 55 Cs 132.91 tranoum 87 Fr p223

ithum 3

6.941 sodium

11

How do the electron's fill up?

1s²2s²2p⁶3s

1s²2s²2p⁶3s²3p⁶4s

 $1s^22s$

19hum 3 6.941 sodium 11 Na 22.990 potassium 19 K 39,098 rubidium 37 Rb 85,468 caesium 55 Cs 132.91 trancium 87 Fr [223]



Note: 4s fill before 3d for potassium



Some general considerations



It's pretty easy to rip the valence electron away, these elements can be a bit hard to handle



Some general considerations



It's pretty easy to rip the valence electron away, these elements can be a bit hard to handle



Some general considerations



It's pretty easy to rip the valence electron away, these elements can be a bit hard to handle





Some general considerations			Z	$\mathrm{IE}(\mathrm{eV})$
		He	2	24.6
10hum 3		Li	3	5.4
Li	1s ² 2s	Ne	10	21.6
6.941		\mathbf{Na}	11	5.1
11		Ar	18	15.8
Na	$1s^{2}2s^{2}2p^{6}3s$	Κ	19	4.3
22.990 referrirm		Kr	36	14.0
19	Why not $3s \rightarrow 3d?$	Rb	37	4.2
K		Xe	54	12.1
39.098 rubidam	Why not 3s \rightarrow 4s?	\mathbf{Cs}	55	3.9
37	,			
Rb				
85.468 caesium	It'll cost wow more energy to evoite	inn ar ch		lastron

55 Cs

132.91 trancium

⁸⁷ Fr It'll cost wayyy more energy to excite inner-shell electrons than the valence electrons. We'll mostly just care about excitations like:

Na: $1s^22s^22p^63s \rightarrow 1s^22s^22p^63p$, $1s^22s^22p^64p$, etc.

Quantum defects in the alkali metals

An empirical way to parameterize the effects of shielding

$$E_{nl} = \frac{hcR_{\infty}}{(n - \delta_l)^2} = -\frac{13.6 \,\text{eV}}{(n^*)^2}$$

Element	Z	IE (eV)					
He	2	24.6					
Li	3	5.4	Element	Configuration	n^*	δ_{s}	Z
Ne	10	21.6				- 5	
Na	11	5.1	Li	2s	1.59	0.41	3
Ar	18	15.8	No	20	1.62	1.27	11
Κ	19	4.3	INA	38	1.05	1.57	* *
Kr	36	14.0	Κ	4s	1.77	2.23	19
Rb	37	4.2	Rb	5s	1.81	3.19	37
Xe	54	12.1	Ca	6-	1.97	4 1 9	
\mathbf{Cs}	55	3.9	Us	US	1.07	4.15	55

<u>Pretty remarkable</u>: all ionization energies (and transition energies) are roughly equal despite Z² scaling of energies without shielding

Alkalis - wide range of physics to study

Consequently, transitions in a wide range of species (with very different Z values) can be addressed with roughly the same range of laser wavelengths (within a factor of <2)

Stable isotopes thum 3. ^{6,7}Li 6.941 sodium 11 ²³Na Na 22.990 potassium. 19 ^{39,40,41}K K 3,946,949 rubidum 37 ^{85,87}Rb Rb 85.468 caesium 55 ¹³³Cs Cs 132.91 trancium 87 ²²³Fr Fr (not really)

⁷Li BEC in 1997, ⁶Li DFG in 2001 – very light, very broad Feshbach resonances for both the bosonic and fermionic species

²³Na BEC in 1995 – stable scattering properties, stable mixtures used to explore spinor physics

⁴⁰K DFG in 1999, ^{39,41}K BECs in early 2000s – fermions, broad feshbach resonances,

⁸⁷Rb BEC in 1995; ⁸⁷Rb has stable scattering properties, nice Feshbach resonances in ⁸⁵Rb; large spin-orbit splitting allows for state-dependent potentials / Raman-dressing schemes

BEC in 2002 ; nice Feshbach resonances, mostly unstable in spin mixtures and mixtures with other species

Laser cooling and magneto-optical trapping for parity nonconservation studies

note that the mass number A = Z + N is written as, e.g., 87 Rb for the rubidium isotope with A = 87. (atomic number Z = # of protons) + (N = # of neutrons).

An odd value of A implies a fermionic isotope for the alkalis (1 "extra" valence electron).

Quantum defect for different orbitals



Cs: 1s²2s²2p⁶3s²3p⁶3d¹⁰4s²4p⁶4d¹⁰5s²5p⁶6s

Quantum defects in the alkali metals





Transition energies (wavelengths)

Recall $E_{2p} - E_{1s} \sim 10.2 \text{ eV}$ for hydrogen, relating to $\lambda_{1 \rightarrow 2} \sim 120 \text{ nm}$ hc = 1240 eV nm

>> Estimate the wavelength related to the Na $3s \rightarrow 4p$ transition

Eler	nent	Configuration	n^*	δ_{s}			
Ι	j	2s	1.59	0.41			
Ν	la	3s	1.63	1.37		hcR	13 6 eV
Tai	ble 9.2 (a) qu	Parameters of the errantum defects δ_1	nergy leve	els of the	alkalis. E_r	$a_{ll} = \frac{n c R_{\infty}}{\left(n - \delta_{l}\right)^{2}} =$	$=-\frac{13.00}{(n^*)^2}$
	Atom	<i>l</i> =	0	(s)	1 (p)	2 (d)	3 (f)
	Li		0.4	10	0.04	0.00	0.00
	Na		1.3	35	0.85	0.01	0.00
	K		2.1	9	1.71	0.25	0.00
	Rb		3.1	3	2.66	1.34	0.01
	Cs		4.(3.59	2.46	0.02

>> Is this the longest wavelength that can be used to excite g.s. sodium?

Quantum defects in the alkali metals



n → n' = n transitions are typically in the visible or near-IR > convenient range

for lasers



Solving the many-electron atom

Everything so far has been super empirical, results of experiment

How do you actually tackle the problem for a many-electron atom?

$$H = \sum_{i} \left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \overline{\nabla}_i^2 - \frac{Ze^2}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 r_i} \right] + \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{e^2}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 r_{ij}}$$

- no analytical solution to this problem

- we'll walk through one approximate way to treat the effect of many core electrons

$$H = \sum_{i} \left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \overline{\nabla}_i^2 - \frac{Ze^2}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 r_i} \right] + \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{e^2}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 r_{ij}}$$
$$H \approx H_{CF} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \overline{\nabla}_i^2 + V_{CF}(r_i) \right]$$

One a V_{CF} is assumed, this problem breaks down into N independent singleparticle Schrödinger equations.

For the *N* solutions (lowest energy eigenstate wave functions), the total wave function will be of the form

$$\psi_{TOT} = \psi_1 \psi_2 \psi_3 \dots \psi_N$$

or rather a properly symmetrized version of this (this text goes into more details on the use of Slater determinants to ensure proper w.f. antisymmetrization)

$$H = \sum_{i} \left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \vec{\nabla}_i^2 - \frac{Ze^2}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 r_i} \right] + \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{e^2}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 r_{ij}}$$
$$H \approx H_{CF} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \vec{\nabla}_i^2 + V_{CF}(r_i) \right]$$

 $V_{CF}(r) \rightarrow$ an effective, spherically symmetric potential that captures

(1) the static Coulomb potential of the nucleus and

(2) an effectively static, spherically symmetric potential due to the total electron charge distribution (for product states ψ this gives a Hartree term like in the He treatment)

> no longer 1/r potential, so E depends on l

> still spherically symmetric, so E independent of m_l

$$H = \sum_{i} \left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \vec{\nabla}_i^2 - \frac{Ze^2}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 r_i} \right] + \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{e^2}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 r_{ij}}$$
$$H \approx H_{CF} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \vec{\nabla}_i^2 + V_{CF}(r_i) \right]$$

Basically, an effective electric field



and an electron at distance r will experience the potential

$$V_{CF}(r) = -e\int_{r}^{\infty} E_{CF}(r')dr'$$





As intuition would suggest, the effective potential is unchanged by screening at small *r*, and looks like a Z = 1 potential at very large *r*





The effect of the centrifugal barrier can also be incorporated for $l \neq 0$ solutions

Solving the many-electron atom

In broad strokes, the basic procedure for obtaining solutions to this problem would look like:

1) Make an initial guess at ψ and V_{CF}

2) Solve the N Schrodinger equations (for N electrons), respecting the necessary antisymmetric nature of ψ

3) From the new ψ , get a new V_{CF}

4) Iterate

Note: experiments still do the best job at determining state energies (energy differences), up to a part in 10¹⁸ in some cases

Fine structure in the alkalis

An important result that comes from the central-field approximation

Recall from lecture 2 (hydrogen-like atoms with a 1/r potential), that the fine-structure splitting between states with j = l + 1/2 and j = l - 1/2 have the form

$$\Delta E_{s-o} = \frac{Z^2 \alpha^2}{nl(l+1)} E_{gross}(n) = \frac{Z^4 \alpha^4}{n^3 l(l+1)} mc^2$$

For the multi-electron atom, which does not follow a 1/r potential, and where Z_{eff} varies from Z to 1 as a function of r, this takes the modified form (the Landé formula):

$$\Delta E_{s-o} = \frac{Z_i^2 Z_o^2 \alpha^4}{(n^*)^3 l(l+1)} mc^2 \qquad \qquad Z_i \sim Z \\ Z_o \sim 1$$

Fine structure in the alkalis

See how well this scaling works $\Delta E_{s-o} \propto Z^2$

 $\Delta E_{s-o} / h$ Li 10.056 GHz
Na 516 GHz
K 1730 GHz
K 1730 GHz
Cs 16,610 GHz
Fr 50,562 GHz

Frequency splitting between "D1" and "D2" lines



What can this splitting be good for?



Why this splitting matters?



а b Energy, E(q)/E_L $|\uparrow'\rangle$ $|\downarrow'$ ¥δ/2 ħω, $|-1\rangle = |\downarrow\rangle$ δ/2 **★** $|\mathbf{0}\rangle = |\uparrow\rangle$ -3 $\hbar\omega_a + 3\delta/2$ -2 -1 0 Quasimomentum, q/k, d С k=0 k = 2kRaman coupling, $arDelta/E_{
m I}$ i = 0k = -2k3 $k = 2k_1$ = 0 5 = 0= -1.0 -0.5 0.0 0.5 1.0 -1.0 0.0 1.0 Minima location (k,) Quasimomentum, g/k,

Spielman





Spin-dependent potentials and Raman-dressing

Fine structure in the alkalis

See how well this scaling works $\Delta E_{s-o} \propto Z^2$

